

The Republican Party's Stance against LGBT and Abortion Is Betrayed

By Richard Joseph Michael Ibranyi

Table of Contents

THE CURRENT PLATFORM OF THE REPUBLICAN PARTY IS THE 2016 PLATFORM	2
RINOS DO NOT UPHOLD THE REPUBLICAN PARTY PLATFORM	2
THE REASON THIS BOOK DEALS ONLY WITH HOMOSEXUALITY, TRANSGENDERISM, AND ABORTION	2
THE PROBLEM IS NOT THE PLATFORM BUT ENFORCEMENT	3
HISTORY OF SOCIETY'S AND REPUBLICAN PARTY'S STANCE AGAINST HOMOSEXUALITY	3
<i>In society</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>In the Republican Party</i>	<i>4</i>
President Dwight Eisenhower (1953-1961)	4
President Richard Nixon (1969-1974)	4
President Ronald Reagan (1981-1989)	4
2016 Platform of the National Republican Party	5
2022 Platform of the Texas Republican Party	5
HISTORY OF SOCIETY'S AND RINOS ACCEPTANCE OF HOMOSEXUALITY	6
<i>In society</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>In the Republican Party</i>	<i>8</i>
President George H. W. Bush (1989-1993)	8
President W. George Bush (2001-2009) and John McCain	9
Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger (2003-2011)	10
President Donald Trump (2017-2021)	11
Log Cabin Republicans	14
THOSE WHO BELIEVE HOMOSEXUALITY IS NORMAL HAVE NO REASON NOT TO TEACH IT TO CHILDREN	15
HISTORY OF THE REPUBLICAN PARTY'S STANCE AGAINST ALL ABORTIONS	16
HISTORY OF RINOS ACCEPTANCE OF SOME ABORTIONS	16
THE RINOS BIG UMBRELLA TURNED THE REPUBLICAN PARTY INTO AN AMORPHOUS PARTY	18
THE RINOS BIG UMBRELLA HAS NO ROOM FOR TRUE SOCIAL CONSERVATIVES	19
COMPROMISE ON CORE BELIEFS TO GET ELECTED IS DEFEAT BEFORE THE ELECTION	19
<i>Upholding the tenants is more important than winning an election</i>	<i>20</i>
THERE ARE GOOD RINOS AND EVIL RINOS	21
THE OPTIONS FOR TRUE REPUBLICANS AND GOOD RINOS	21
ON VOTING FOR THE LESSER EVIL	22

The Current Platform of the Republican Party is the 2016 Platform

The Republican Party updates its platform every four years. However, it canceled its update in the year 2020, and thus the current platform is the 2016 platform.

RESOLUTION REGARDING THE REPUBLICAN PARTY PLATFORM

WHEREAS, The Republican National Committee (RNC) has significantly scaled back the size and scope of the 2020 Republican National Convention in Charlotte due to strict restrictions on gatherings and meetings, and out of concern for the safety of convention attendees and our hosts;

WHEREAS, The RNC has unanimously voted to forego the Convention Committee on Platform, in appreciation of the fact that it did not want a small contingent of delegates formulating a new platform without the breadth of perspectives within the ever-growing Republican movement;...

RESOLVED, That the 2020 Republican National Convention will adjourn without adopting a new platform until the 2024 Republican National Convention;...

RESOLVED, That any motion to amend the 2016 Platform or to adopt a new platform, including any motion to suspend the procedures that will allow doing so, will be ruled out of order.

RINOs Do Not Uphold the Republican Party Platform

I was a Republican my whole life. I was born in 1955. Most of today's Republican officeholders do not hold the same social values that the Republican officeholders held when I grew up, especially regarding homosexuality, transgenderism, and abortion. Regarding these issues, it is not the platform of the Republican Party that is to be blamed, for it opposes these things, even today in 2023. It is the so-called Republicans who do not uphold its positions in this regard that are to be blamed. Hence they are called RINOs (Republican in name only).

The Reason this Book Deals only with Homosexuality, Transgenderism, and Abortion

There are other social issues that some RINOs do not uphold, such as the death penalty and other issues regarding law and order. This book will deal only with the social issues of homosexuality, transgenderism, and abortion. The reason is that sexual perversions and infanticide destroy the peace and security of a nation and society more than a military takeover by a foreign power. History alone tells us what happens to nations or countries that fall into massive sins of homosexuality, such as the pagan Greek and Roman Empires, which first fell from within and then from without because of these sins. And more important the Bible and thus God tells us what happens when nations fall into massive sins of homosexuality. Who does not know about Sodom and Gomorra!

St. Jude says, "As Sodom and Gomorrah ...having given themselves to fornication and going after other flesh [homosexuality] were made an example, suffering the punishment of everlasting fire, in like manner these men also defile the flesh and despise dominion, and blaspheme majesty... These are...unfruitful, twice dead, plucked up by the roots, raging waves of the sea, foaming out their own confusion, wandering stars, to whom the storm of darkness is reserved for ever." (Jude 1:7-8, 12-13)

Even the natural law, which God put in every man's heart, condemns homosexuality, transgenderism, and abortion. How can a society exist without procreation, and homosexuals cannot procreate. It also overturns the natural order of the family.

The natural law condemns abortion as murder. What good is it to have a powerful and prosperous country for the infants who are murdered in the womb and thus cannot enjoy it! And logical end of a society that promotes abortions is depopulation and eventual extinction if the policy is not stopped.

Lastly, the natural law curses all those who violate it with misery, diseases, and many other mental and physical disorders to one degree or another. Who does not know that almost everyone in the world today is a mental case in one way or another! While those who violate the natural law may be happy for a moment, it never lasts. And many refuse to acknowledge the misery in their life because they would have to address it cause, their sins.

The Problem Is Not the Platform but Enforcement

The problem with the Republican Party is not its platform in regard to these social issues but its enforcement of its platform. It allows members who oppose some of its positions to remain in the party. What good, then, is a platform if no one has to believe it or obey it! It is a free for all in which the party itself not only loses its identity but it can never have any distinguishable identity.

For example, so-called Republicans who oppose the social values of the Republican Party platform hold the same position as the current platform of the Democrat Party; and thus, they are more like Democrats than Republicans regarding these issues. And because they are allowed to remain in the Republican Party, the position of the party itself has become obscured and unknowable. In practice, it is pro or con regarding these issues. One republican can uphold the party position that abortion must not be allowed for any reason and another can oppose its position by supporting laws that allow abortion to one degree or another and is allowed to remain in the party. The reality, then, is that the Republican Party is perceived to have no position regarding abortion. What good are words (laws) without action because the good words are not upheld and enforced!

History of Society's and Republican Party's Stance against Homosexuality

The Republican Party's position on homosexuality and transgenderism is the same today as it always has been. It decrees that homosexuality and transgenderism are abnormal and are against American history and tradition. (See in this book 2016 Platform of the National Republican Party," p. [5](#).) What follows is a brief history of the society's and the Republican Party's stance against homosexuals and thus also against transgenders.

In society

Milestones in the American Gay Rights Movement, by American Experience:

December 15, 1950

A Senate report titled 'Employment of Homosexuals and Other Sex Perverts in Government' is distributed to members of Congress after the federal government had covertly investigated employees' sexual orientation at the beginning of the Cold War. The report states since homosexuality is a mental illness, homosexuals 'constitute security risks' to the nation because 'those who engage in overt acts of

perversion lack the emotional stability of normal persons.’ Over the previous few years, more than 4,380 gay men and women had been discharged from the military and around 500 fired from their jobs with the government. The purging will become known as the ‘lavender scare.’

April, 1952

The American Psychiatric Association lists homosexuality as a sociopathic personality disturbance in its first publication of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders.

In the Republican Party

What follows are Republican Presidents’ stance against homosexuality and homosexuals.

President Dwight Eisenhower (1953-1961)

Milestones in the American Gay Rights Movement, by American Experience: “**April 27, 1953:** President Dwight Eisenhower signs Executive Order 10450, banning homosexuals from working for the federal government or any of its private contractors. The Order lists homosexuals as security risks, along with alcoholics and neurotics.”

President Richard Nixon (1969-1974)

President Nixon to Bob Halerman, Audio Clip, April 1971: “I do not think that you glorify on public television, homosexuality! You ever see what happened, you know what happened to the Greeks? Homosexuality destroyed them. Aristotle was a homo, we all know that. So was Socrates... Do you know what happened to the Romans? The last six Roman emperors were fags. You see, homosexuality, dope, immorality in general, these are the enemies of strong societies. That’s why the communists and the left-wingers are pushing the stuff: they’re trying to destroy us.”

President Ronald Reagan (1981-1989)

Wikipedia, LGBT Conservatism in the United States: “Presidency of Ronald Reagan: On the 1980 campaign trail, Reagan said of gay civil rights movements,

‘My criticism is that [the gay movement] isn’t just asking for civil rights; it’s asking for recognition and acceptance of an alternative lifestyle which I do not believe society can condone, nor can I.’

“No civil rights legislation for LGBTQ+ individuals was passed during Reagan’s tenure... On August 18, 1984, President Reagan issued a statement on the issue of same-sex unions that read,

‘Society has always regarded marital love as a sacred expression of the bond between a man and a woman. It is the means by which families are created and society itself is extended into the future. In the Judeo-Christian tradition, it is the means by which husband and wife participate with God in the creation of a new human life. It is for these reasons, among others, that our society has always sought to protect this unique relationship. In part the erosion of these values has given way to a celebration of forms of expression most reject. We

will resist the efforts of some to obtain government endorsement of homosexuality.’

“Reagan made the comment in response to a questionnaire from the conservative publishers of the Presidential Biblical Scoreboard, a magazine-type compilation of past statements and voting records of national candidates.”

2016 Platform of the National Republican Party

The current platform of the Republican Party, as of 2016, says that homosexuals and transgenders must be discriminated against because their beliefs and lifestyles are “alien to America’s history and traditions.

Republican Party Platform 2016, Title IX, p. 35: “We emphatically support the original, authentic meaning of Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972... That same provision of law is now being used by bureaucrats — and by the current President of the United States — to impose a social and cultural revolution upon the American people by wrongly redefining sex discrimination to include sexual orientation or other categories. Their agenda has nothing to do with individual rights; it has everything to do with power. They are determined to reshape our schools — and our entire society — to fit the mold of an ideology alien to America’s history and traditions. Their edict to the states concerning restrooms, locker rooms, and other facilities is at once illegal, dangerous, and ignores privacy issues. We salute the several states which have filed suit against it.”

2022 Platform of the Texas Republican Party

As of 2022, the current platform of the Republican Party in Texas upholds the 2016 platform of the National Republican party regarding homosexuality and transgenderism.

Texas GOP's new platform calls gay people ‘abnormal’ and rejects trans identities, NBC News, by Matt Lavietes:

“The Texas Republican Party unveiled its official position on LGBTQ issues over the weekend, defining homosexuality as an ‘abnormal lifestyle choice’ and also opposing ‘all efforts to validate transgender identity.’

“Thousands of Republican activists met at the party’s biennial convention in Houston on Saturday to agree to the party’s platform on a range of issues... In a section titled ‘Homosexuality and gender issues,’ the party suggested that LGBTQ people should not be legally protected from discrimination and that being gay or trans is a choice. ‘Homosexuality is an abnormal lifestyle choice,’ the 40-page resolution reads. ‘We believe there should be no granting of special legal entitlements or creation of special status for homosexual behavior, regardless of state of origin, and we oppose any criminal or civil penalties against those who oppose homosexuality out of faith, conviction, or belief in traditional values.’

“In addition, Texas Republicans called for the ban of genderaffirming care — including the distribution of puberty blockers or hormone-suppressing therapies, and the performance of genderaffirming surgeries — for anyone under the age of 21...

“The Texas Republican Party blocked the Log Cabin Republicans, a longstanding group of gay conservatives — which also supports many of the party’s anti-LGBTQ policies — from having a booth at Saturday’s convention.”

Republican Party of Texas
Report on the Permanent 2022 Platform and Resolutions Committee

799 (Homosexuality and Gender Issues)

800 **143. Homosexuality:** Homosexuality is an abnormal lifestyle choice. We believe there should be no
801 granting of special legal entitlements or creation of special status for homosexual behavior, regardless of
802 state of origin, and we oppose any criminal or civil penalties against those who oppose homosexuality out
803 of faith, conviction, or belief in traditional values. No one should be granted special legal status based on
804 their LGBTQ+ identification.

805 **144. Gender Identity:** We oppose all efforts to validate transgender identity. For the purpose of attempting
806 to affirm a person age 21 or under if their perception is inconsistent with their biological sex, no medical
807 practitioner or provider may engage in the following practices:

- 808 a. Intervene in any way to prevent natural progression of puberty.
809 b. Administer or provide opposite sex hormones.
810 c. Perform any surgery on healthy body parts of the underage person.

811 **145. No Taxpayer Funding for Sex Change:** We oppose the use of taxpayer funds for any type of medical
812 gender dysphoria treatments or sex change operations and/or treatments. This includes but is not limited
813 to military personnel as well as inmates in federal, state, or local prisons or jails. Inmates must be housed
814 according to their biological sex. No Federal, state, insurance, or probate monies may be allocated for the
815 use of such treatment.

816 **146. Counseling Methods:** Therapists, psychologists, and counselors licensed with the State of Texas
817 shall not be forbidden or penalized by any licensing board for practicing Reintegrative Therapy or other
818 counseling methods when counseling clients of any age with gender dysphoria or unwanted same-sex
819 attraction.

History of Society's and RINO's Acceptance of Homosexuality

President H. W. Bush, a RINO, was the first president to oppose the Republican Party's stance against homosexuality. But it was not until the 21st century that it achieved its ultimate goal, total public acceptance of homosexuality among most officeholders in the Republican Party. What follows is a history of society's and RINO's incremental acceptance of homosexuality and transgenderism.

In society

Milestones in the American Gay Rights Movement, by American Experience:

January 13, 1958

In the landmark case *One, Inc. v. Olesen*, the United States Supreme Court rules in favor of the First Amendment rights of the lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender (LGBT) magazine 'One: The Homosexual Magazine.' The suit was filed after the U.S. Postal Service and FBI declared the magazine obscene material, and it marks the first time the United States Supreme Court rules in favor of homosexuals.

January 1, 1962

Illinois repeals its sodomy laws, becoming the first U.S. state to decriminalize homosexuality.

December 15, 1973

The board of the American Psychiatric Association votes to remove homosexuality from its list of mental illnesses.

January, 1974

Kathy Kozachenko becomes the first openly gay American elected to public office when she wins a seat on the Ann Arbor, Michigan City Council.

July 8, 1980

The Democratic Rules Committee states that it will not discriminate against homosexuals. At their National Convention on August 11-14, the Democrats become the first major political party to endorse a homosexual rights platform.

March 2, 1982

Wisconsin becomes the first U.S. state to outlaw discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation.

December 21, 1993

The Department of Defense issues a directive prohibiting the U.S. Military from barring applicants from service based on their sexual orientation. 'Applicants... shall not be asked or required to reveal whether they are homosexual,' states the new policy, which still forbids applicants from engaging in homosexual acts or making a statement that he or she is homosexual. This policy is known as 'Don't Ask, Don't Tell.'

May 20, 1996

In the case of *Romer v. Evans*, the United States Supreme Court decides that Colorado's 2nd amendment, denying gays and lesbians protections against discrimination, is unconstitutional, calling them 'special rights.'

April 1, 1998

Coretta Scott King, widow of civil rights leader Martin Luther King, Jr., calls on the civil rights community to join the struggle against homophobia. She receives criticism from members of the black civil rights movement for comparing civil rights to gay rights.

April 26, 2000

Vermont becomes the first state in the U.S. to legalize civil unions and registered partnerships between same-sex couples.

June 26, 2003

In *Lawrence v. Texas* the U.S. Supreme Court rules that sodomy laws in the U.S. are unconstitutional.

May 18, 2004

Massachusetts becomes the first state to legalize gay marriage. The court finds the prohibition of gay marriage unconstitutional because it denies dignity and equality of all individuals. In the following six years, New Hampshire, Vermont, Connecticut, Iowa and Washington D.C. will follow suit.

August 9, 2007

Sponsored by the Human Rights Campaign, the Logo cable channel hosts the first American presidential forum focusing specifically on LGBT issues, inviting each presidential candidate. Six Democrats participate in the forum, including Hillary Clinton and Barack Obama, while all Republican candidates decline.

November 4, 2008

California voters approve Proposition 8, making same-sex marriage in California illegal. The passing of the ballot garners national attention from gay-rights

supporters across the U.S. Prop 8 inspires the NOH8 campaign, a photo project that uses celebrities to promote marriage equality.

August 4, 2010

A federal judge in San Francisco decides that gays and lesbians have the constitutional right to marry and that Prop 8 is unconstitutional.

June 17, 2009

President Obama signs a Presidential Memorandum allowing same-sex partners of federal employees to receive certain benefits. The memorandum does not cover full health coverage.

October 28, 2009

The Matthew Shepard Act is passed by Congress and signed into law by President Obama on October 28th. The measure expands the 1969 U.S. Federal Hate Crime Law to include crimes motivated by a victim's actual or perceived gender, sexual orientation, gender identity or disability.

December 18, 2010

The U.S. Senate votes 65-31 to repeal 'Don't Ask, Don't Tell' policy, allowing gays and lesbians to serve openly in the U.S. Military.

February 23, 2011

President Obama states his administration will no longer defend the Defense of Marriage Act, which bans the recognition of same-sex marriage.

June 24, 2011

New York State passes the Marriage Equity Act, becoming the largest state thus far to legalize gay marriage.

June 26, 2015

With a 5-4 decision in *Obergefell v. Hodges*, the U.S. Supreme Court declares same-sex marriage legal in all 50 states.

In the Republican Party

President George H. W. Bush (1989-1993)

Wikipedia, LGBT Conservatism in the United States:

“Presidency of George H. W. Bush: As President, George H. W. Bush signed legislation that extended gay rights. On April 23, 1990, Bush signed the Hate Crime Statistics Act, which requires the Attorney General to collect data on crimes committed because of the victim’s race, religion, disability, sexual orientation, or ethnicity. It was the first federal statute to ‘recognize and name gay, lesbian and bisexual people’.

“On November 29, 1990, Bush signed the Immigration Act of 1990, which withdrew the phrase ‘sexual deviation’ from the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) so that it could no longer be used as a basis for barring entry of immigration to the U.S. for homosexuals.

“In a television interview, Bush said if he found out his grandchild was gay, he would ‘love his child’, but tell him homosexuality was not normal and discourage him from working for gay rights...

“The 1992 Republican Party platform adopted support for continuing to exclude homosexuals from the military as a matter of good order and discipline. The 1992 Republican Party platform also opposed including sexual preference in anti-discrimination statutes.”

President W. George Bush (2001-2009) and John McCain

Wikipedia, LGBT Conservatism in the United States:

“Presidency of George W. Bush: George W. Bush did not repeal President Clinton's Executive Order banning discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation in the federal civilian government, but Bush's critics felt as if he failed to enforce the executive order. He retained Clinton's Office of National AIDS Policy and was the first Republican president to appoint an openly gay man to serve in his administration: Scott Evertz as director of the Office of National AIDS Policy. Bush also became the second President, after President Clinton, to select openly gay appointees to his administration. Bush's nominee for ambassador to Romania, Michael E. Guest, became the second openly gay male U.S. Ambassador and the first to be confirmed by the Senate. He did not repeal any of the spousal benefits that Clinton had introduced for same-sex federal employees. He did not attempt to repeal Don't ask, don't tell, nor make an effort to change it.

“In April 2002, White House officials held an unannounced briefing in April for the Log Cabin Republicans.

“On June 27, 2002, President Bush signed a bill allowing death benefits to be paid to domestic partners of firefighters and police officers who died in the line of duty, permanently extending a federal death benefit to same-sex couples for the first time.

“In 2003, the United States Supreme Court ruled in *Lawrence v. Texas* that sodomy laws against consenting adults were unconstitutional. President Bush's press secretary Ari Fleischer refused to comment on the decision, noting only that the administration had not filed a brief in the case. In 2004, Bush said, ‘What they do in the privacy of their house, consenting adults should be able to do’.

“Previously, Bush said that he supported state's rights when it came to marriage; however, after *Goodridge v. Department of Public Health*, on February 24, 2004, Bush announced his support for an amendment to the US Constitution banning same-sex marriage. Due to his support of the Federal Marriage Amendment, the Log Cabin Republicans declined to endorse the reelection of George W. Bush in 2004...

“In an October presidential debate, Bush said he did not know whether homosexuality is a choice or not. The 2004 Republican Party platform removed both parts of that language from the platform and stated that the party supports antidiscrimination legislation.

“In 2007, Bush threatened to veto the Local Law Enforcement Hate Crimes Prevention Act of 2007, which would have included sexual orientation in hate crimes, and the Employment Nondiscrimination Act of 2007. In September 2008, Log Cabin Republicans voted to endorse the John McCain–Sarah Palin ticket in the 2008 presidential election. LCR President Patrick Sammon said the most important reason for their support was McCain's opposition to the proposed constitutional amendment to ban same-sex marriage.

“The 2008 Republican Party platform supported anti-discrimination statutes based on sex, race, age, religion, creed, disability, or national origin, but the platform was silent on sexual orientation and gender identity.

“In December 2008, the Bush administration refused to support the U.N. declaration on sexual orientation and gender identity at the United Nations that condemns the use of violence, harassment, discrimination, exclusion, stigmatization, and prejudice based on sexual orientation and gender identity.”

Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger (2003-2011)

World Net Daily, June 25, 2006:

“California Gov. Arnold Schwarzenegger is getting heat from pro-family activists for his plan to speak for the first time at a homosexual event, a Hollywood fundraiser this week for the Log Cabin Republicans.

“Though Schwarzenegger vetoed a same-sex marriage bill in September, he has otherwise signed every piece of pro-homosexual legislation to reach his desk.

“The homosexual Log Cabin Republicans – which publicly parted company with President Bush after he supported a federal marriage-protection measure – have stood by Schwarzenegger, the Associated Press reported.

“California-based Campaign for Children and Families yesterday blasted Schwarzenegger over his appearance at the event. ‘No Republican governor in California history has promoted transsexuality, bisexuality and homosexuality like Arnold Schwarzenegger has,’ said the organization’s president, Randy Thomasson, in a statement. ‘In the last three years, Schwarzenegger has delighted homosexual activists by signing most of the LGBT (lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender) bills that the Democrat-controlled Legislature has placed on his desk. This spring, Schwarzenegger signed an official proclamation celebrating the ‘success of the Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Pride Celebrations.’ Continued Thomasson: ‘Now, in an unprecedented act honoring transsexual-bisexual-homosexual ‘pride’ (which is parading through cities nationwide this month), Schwarzenegger has done the unthinkable: He’s agreed to be the top fund-raising speaker for homosexual activists.’...

“Thomasson asserts the Log Cabin Republicans want to ‘transform the California Republican Party into the party that supports homosexual “marriage” and the entire transsexual, bisexual and homosexual legislative agenda.’

“Last month, Schwarzenegger broke a policy of not commenting on pending bills, indicating through a spokesman he will veto SB 1437, a measure passed by the Senate and pending in the Assembly that would remove “sex-specific” terms such as ‘mom’ and ‘dad’ from textbooks and would require students to learn about the contributions homosexuals have made to society. SB 1437 passed the Senate May 11 with a 22-15 vote.

“ ‘The governor believes that school curriculum should include all important historical figures, regardless of orientation,’ said Schwarzenegger’s director of communications, Adam Mendelsohn, according to the Sacramento Bee. ‘However, he does not support the Legislature micromanaging curriculum.’ ”

President Donald Trump (2017-2021)

President Donald Trump was the first president to open wide the door for acceptance of homosexuality and homosexuals in the Republican Party.



In 2016, Trump Waves LGBT Rainbow Flag at Colorado Rally

Wikipedia, LGBT Conservatism in the United States:

“Presidency of Donald Trump: During his campaign for the Presidency, Trump was noted for being the first Republican nominee to make open overtures to the LGBTQ+ community, stating during the Republican National Convention that he would ‘do everything in [his] power to protect our LGBTQ+ citizens from the violence and oppression of a hateful foreign ideology’, referring to the Orlando nightclub shooting in 2016...

Upon taking office in 2017, President Trump decided to keep in place certain federal protections for LGBTQ+ workers implemented during the Obama administration. However, some of these protections were reversed in August 2019.

In July 2017, Trump announced that he was reinstating a ban on openly transgender troops serving in the US military, and the ban was later allowed to take effect by the U.S. Supreme Court...

“On August 8, 2017, David Glawe was sworn in as Under Secretary of Homeland Security for Intelligence and Analysis, making him the first openly gay Under Secretary of Homeland Security for Intelligence and Analysis to serve under a Republican presidential administration, and the first openly gay executive official serving in the Trump presidential administration.

“On December 11, 2017, James T. Abbott was sworn in as a member of the Federal Labor Relations Authority (FLRA), making him the first openly gay FLRA member to serve under a Republican presidential administration...

“On May 8, 2018, Richard Grenell was sworn in as the United States Ambassador to Germany, making him the first openly gay United States Ambassador to Germany to serve under a Republican presidential administration.

In July 2019, Trump nominated a third openly gay man, career Senior Foreign Service Officer Robert S. Gilchrist, as the ambassador to Lithuania.

In 2020, Trump appointed Richard Grenell as the Acting Director of National Intelligence making him the first openly gay cabinet-level official.

On May 16, 2019, Trump said that he was ‘absolutely fine’ with the same-sex marriage of Mayor Pete Buttigieg of South Bend, Indiana, a presidential candidate in the Democratic primaries.

Previously, he had sent mixed signals, saying both that he would consider judges who would overturn *Obergefell v. Hodges*, the landmark ruling in favor of same-sex marriage, while also saying he was fine with the legalization of same-sex marriage by the Supreme Court. [

In June 2019, Trump was the first sitting Republican president to recognize Pride Month. He also announced that he was making the global decriminalization of homosexuality a policy issue for his administration. Speaking to reporters about his Pride tweet, Kellyanne Conway, a counselor to the president and spokeswoman, claimed that Trump approves of same-sex marriage.

??In August 2019, the Trump administration proposed a policy change that would allow federal contractors to decline employment to people based on being LGBTQ+ or based on religion, and the administration excluded sexual orientation from its list of protections.

Also in 2019, Log Cabin Republicans endorsed Trump for reelection.

In 2020, the GOP retained the language from the 2016 platform including platform planks against same-sex marriage.

On June 7, 2019, the Trump administration requested U.S. embassies to discontinue flying the pride flag during Pride Month. During the Obama administration, the government granted blanket permission to embassies overseas to fly the pride flag during June.

In November 2019, The Trump administration proposed a rule that removed regulations that had banned discrimination based on sexual orientation in Health and Human Services programs – including adoption and foster care agencies. Under the rule, any organization – including foster care and adoption agencies or other entities that get funding from Health and Human Services – would be free to discriminate against gays if such discrimination was based on religious beliefs. In a statement, Alphonso David, the president of the Human Rights Campaign, called the proposal ‘horrific’ and said it would ‘permit discrimination across the entire spectrum of HHS programs receiving federal funding’. ‘The Trump-Pence White House is relying on the same flawed legal reasoning they’ve used in the past to justify discrimination against L.G.B.T.Q. people and other communities,’ he said.

At the Supreme Court, in 2019, the Trump administration argued that Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 does not include or extend to sexual orientation. Instead, the Trump administration argued that Congress needed to pass its own legislation banning discrimination against LGBTQ+ people. However, when Congress proposed the LGBTQ+ Equality Act, which would add sexual orientation and gender identity to federal civil rights law to prevent discrimination against lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender and queer people, Trump opposed the bill because he said it would conflict with ‘conscience and parental rights’...

In June 2020, President Trump rescinded non-discrimination protections for transgender people's access to healthcare services.

In August 2020, a federal court blocked the Trump administration's reversal of those non-discrimination protections citing the Supreme Court's 6–3 ruling in favor of interpreting the Civil Rights Act to include sexual orientation and gender identity. When the Supreme Court ruled in favor of interpreting the Civil Rights Act of

1964's protection from discrimination based on 'sex' as including sexual orientation and gender identity, President Trump referred to that ruling, as well as other rulings such as on DACA, as 'shotgun blasts' against him and the Republican Party.

Also in August 2020, President Trump retweeted that he was honored by the Log Cabin Republicans and Richard Grenell's endorsement of him. Richard Grenell joined the Republican National Committee to advise on outreach to LGBTQ+ voters, citing Trump's support of him. However, his record was further criticized over the Trump administration's efforts to deny citizenship to the children adopted or conceived by surrogacy, by same-sex parents. Even after a federal court ruled in favor of the citizenship for same-sex US parents, the Trump administration appealed the ruling.

President Trump nominated two openly LGBTQ+ candidates for the federal judiciary. In June 2018, he nominated Mary Rowland, who is openly lesbian and married, to the U.S. District Court for Northern Illinois. In October 2018, he nominated a prosecutor, Patrick Bumatay, who is openly gay, to the Ninth US Circuit Court of Appeals. In July 2019, the Senate confirmed the appointment of Mary Rowland, making her the first LGBTQ+ nominee to be confirmed during the Trump presidency. Also in 2019, Bumatay was confirmed by the Senate. President Trump also nominated judges with openly anti-LGBTQ+ records. Trump nominated, and the Senate confirmed, Matthew Kacsmaryk, a judge who once said that being transgender is a 'mental disorder' and that support for LGBTQ+ rights is based on the 'erotic desires of liberated adults'."

And Trump and Kari Lake supported, promoted, and glorified sodomites by hosting a Gay Gala for LGBTQ Republicans (called Log Cabin Republicans) at Trump's Mar a Lago on 12/15/2022, which should now be called Gomorra Lago. About 500 homosexuals and other LGBTQ freaks attended:

Scenes from a celebration of the same-sex marriage law — at Mara- Lago, by Meridith McGraw, 12/16/2022, on Politico.com:

"Thursday night's Log Cabin Republicans' 'Spirit of Lincoln' gala in the main ballroom of Donald Trump's Mar-a-Lago beachfront club was a joyous celebration of gay rights. Hundreds of guests in tuxedos of all styles — sequined, quilted, velvet — and colorful gowns sipped on Trump-branded champagne and martinis. Between courses of steak and bite-sized Key lime pie, they danced to 'YMCA' and 'Macho Man,' the disco anthems at Trump rallies.

"Thursday night's Log Cabin Republicans' 'Spirit of Lincoln' gala in the main ballroom of Donald Trump's Mar-a-Lago beachfront club was a joyous celebration of gay rights and — in a case of ironic timing — the historic same-sex marriage law signed by President Joe Biden days earlier.

"The long-planned event in honor of the conservative LGBTQ organization's 45th anniversary brought in Republican notables like former Ambassador Ric Grenell, Rep. Kat Cammack (R-Fla.), former State Department spokesperson Morgan Ortagus, who emceed the evening in a feathered turquoise gown, and former GOP gubernatorial candidate from Arizona Kari Lake, who was swarmed by guests eager to meet her and take a photo. But the main attraction, obviously, was Trump. He received a standing ovation after delivering an enthusiastic affirmation of gay rights not often heard in the GOP. 'We are fighting for the gay community, and we are fighting and fighting hard,' the former president and 2024 candidate said. 'With the help of many of the people here tonight in recent years, our movement has taken incredible strides, the strides you've made here is incredible.' Throughout the evening, speakers praised Trump for his embrace of the gay community."

(See RJMI video/audio [*Trump and Kari Lake Support Sodomites and Will Norris' Questions to Mike Lindell.*](#))

Log Cabin Republicans

Log Cabin Republicans is an organization formed by homosexual Republicans to work toward their acceptance in the Republican Party and then to further their agenda of welcoming other homosexuals who join the party. At first, most Republican officeholders did not accept the Log Cabin Republicans, but most eventually did. This is one meter of how most Republican officeholders incrementally opposed the National Party's position against homosexuality by their incremental acceptance of Log Cabin Republicans.

Wikipedia, Log Cabin Republicans:

The **Log Cabin Republicans (LCR)** is an organization affiliated with the Republican Party which advocates for equal rights for LGBT+ Americans.

Log Cabin Republicans was founded in 1977 in California as a rallying point for Republicans opposed to the Briggs Initiative, which attempted to ban homosexuals from teaching in public schools. In addition to sanctioning the termination of openly gay and lesbian teachers, the proposed legislation authorized the firing of those teachers who publicly 'supported' homosexuality...

1992 presidential election: The 1992 Log Cabin Republican convention was held in Spring, Texas, a Houston exurb. The main point of discussion was whether or not LCR would endorse the re-election of President George H. W. Bush. The group voted to deny that endorsement because Bush did not denounce anti-gay rhetoric at the 1992 Republican National Convention...

2000 presidential election: The group endorsed George W. Bush in 2000.

2004 presidential election: Due to his support of the Federal Marriage Amendment, the group declined to endorse the reelection of George W. Bush in 2004. The proposed amendment would have constitutionally defined marriage as exclusively between one man and one woman. Bush's defense of the FMA led the group to vote 22 to 2 against an endorsement of his reelection. The Palm Beach County chapter in Florida did endorse him, resulting in the revocation of their charter.

2008 presidential election: In September 2008, LCR voted to endorse the John McCain– Sarah Palin ticket in the 2008 presidential election. LCR President Patrick Sammon said the most important reason for their support was McCain's opposition to the proposed constitutional amendment to ban same-sex marriage.

2020 presidential endorsement: In November 2018, Jerri Ann Henry became the first woman to serve as Log Cabin executive director. A month later, she said in a television interview that, while she perceived Trump as having been 'vocally supportive' of LGBT people compared to other Republican presidents and presidential candidates, nevertheless there had been 'a lot of ups and downs in the last two years with some of the administration's actions. Despite the reservations of their executive director, the Log Cabins endorsed Trump over a year in advance of the election."

Log Cabin Republicans website:

"Log Cabin Republicans have a proud history of fighting to build a stronger, more inclusive Republican Party. LGBT Republicans and straight allies have chosen to transform the GOP from the inside, working to overcome the forces of exclusion and intolerance..."

“Throughout the nineties, Log Cabin members worked from the inside to educate members of the GOP about the important issues affecting LGBT Americans. Governor Bill Weld from Massachusetts, Governor George Pataki from New York, Governor Christine Todd Whitman from New Jersey, New York City Mayor Rudolph Giuliani and Los Angeles Mayor Richard Riordan became leading voices of inclusion and liberty. They share our vision that the GOP should be a big tent. That tent was damaged in 1996 when the presidential campaign of Bob Dole returned a \$1000 donation made by Log Cabin. Eventually, he accepted the money, but the episode showed how much work remained in transforming the GOP. Even so, throughout the late nineties, the GOP made slow but steady progress in reaching out to LGBT Americans.

“In 2000, then Texas Governor George W. Bush built his campaign around the philosophy of compassionate conservatism. He vowed to be a uniter, not a divider. During the campaign, Governor Bush met with a group of gay conservatives. After the meeting, he said he was a better man for having heard their stories and listened to their concerns. The 2000 campaign was notable for its lack of anti-gay rhetoric. Log Cabin endorsed Governor Bush in his campaign against Vice President Al Gore. After his election, President Bush initially fulfilled his pledge to be a uniter and a compassionate conservative. He kept in place an executive order from the Clinton Administration which prohibited discrimination against gay and lesbian federal workers. He appointed gay people throughout his administration. Also, the federal government provided benefits to the partners of gay and lesbian people who died on September 11th. In 2002, President Bush proposed an ambitious \$15 billion plan to tackle the global AIDS pandemic. Log Cabin members, meeting for our 2003 national convention in Washington, D.C., had a genuine sense of optimism about the direction the Republican Party seemed to be moving on gay and lesbian issues...

“September 2008, LCR voted to endorse the John McCain–Sarah Palin ticket in the 2008 presidential election. LCR President Patrick Sammon said the most important reason for their support was McCain’s opposition to the proposed constitutional amendment to ban same-sex marriage...

“2012 Presidential Election: LCR officially endorsed Mitt Romney d

“2016 Presidential Election: On October 22, 2016, the board members of LCR voted not to endorse the Republican nominee for President, Donald Trump.

“2020 Presidential Election: Log Cabin Republicans endorsed Trump over a year in advance of the election.”

Those who Believe Homosexuality Is Normal Have No Reason Not to Teach It to Children

Those who believe that homosexuality is normal or at least acceptable have no excuse not to teach it to children. It would be just as normal and acceptable, then, as heterosexuality. Hence while they may not want to teach the children the sexual details as to what occurs, they will teach them that it is just as normal to have two moms or two dads than as it is to have a mom and a dad. Hence the children would believe that it is normal for women to marry women and men to marry men, which by default teaches them that homosexuality is normal. Therefore, when tempted by the Devil to become a homosexual, they will be encouraged to consent. Consequently, the acceptance of homosexuality as normal leads, by logical conclusion, to the indoctrination of children with homosexuality, and thus this sin becomes rampant. One wonders, what parent in his right mind (or more correctly his right heart) would want his child to be a homosexual? The

answer is, “A parent who is a sexual pervert himself either because he is a homo or is committing some other kind of fornication or is committing some other kind of mortal sin, and thus he is a parent who does not have a right mind, a right heart.

History of the Republican Party’s Stance against All Abortions

The Republican Party’s position on abortion is the same today as it always has been. It is against all abortions. And thus it is against abortion for rape, incest, or the life of the mother.

Republican Party Platform 2016, The Fifth Amendment: Protecting Human Life, pp. 13-14: “The Constitution’s guarantee that no one can ‘be deprived of life, liberty or property’ deliberately echoes the Declaration of Independence’s proclamation that ‘all’ are ‘endowed by their Creator’ with the inalienable right to life.

Accordingly, we assert the sanctity of human life and affirm that the unborn child has a fundamental right to life which cannot be infringed. We support a human life amendment to the Constitution and legislation to make clear that the Fourteenth Amendment’s protections apply to children before birth... We support the appointment of judges who respect traditional family values and the sanctity of innocent human life.” FN ## 2020 platform

Trump: I would change GOP platform on abortion, by David Wright, CNN: “Donald Trump said Thursday he would change the Republican Party platform’s position on abortion to include exceptions for rape, incest and the life of the mother. Trump made the remarks during a town hall on the ‘Today’ show on NBC on Thursday morning when host Savannah Guthrie asked him about abortion exceptions. ‘The Republican platform every four years has a provision that states that the right of the unborn child should not be infringed,’ Guthrie said. ‘And it makes no exceptions for rape, for incest, for the life of the mother. Would you want to change the Republican platform to include the (abortion) exceptions that you have?’ ‘Yes, I would. Yes, I would. Absolutely,’ Trump said. ‘For the three exceptions, I would.’”

Hence the current position of the Republican Party is against abortion for any reason. And this has always been the party’s position.

A note is in order. The natural law condemns abortions for any reason except for when the life of the mother is in danger. In this case either the life of the mother or infant must be taken, as one or the other is going to die no matter what. But it is a deeper dogma of the Catholic Church that if the mother is Catholic and the infant will survive if the mother is killed that the mother must be killed so the infant can live. The reason is that the Catholic mother can be saved but the infant cannot be saved until he is born and baptized into the Catholic Church.

Take that the case of a non-Catholic mother whose infant is going to be raised as a non-Catholic. If the mother is killed she, will be damned; and if the infant is killed, he will be damned. In either case, the one that lives will have a chance to convert and be saved but the one who dies will be damned. So the choice is between allowing the mother to live so that she can have a chance to convert and be saved or let the infant live so he can have a chance to convert and be saved. In this case abortion would not be immoral, would not be sinful.

History of RINOs Acceptance of Some Abortions

Many so-called Republicans (RINOs) betray the Republican Party’s platform against all abortions by allowing abortion for either rape or incest. And some even allow abortion in all cases for several weeks after conception. For example, Presidents Ronald Reagan, George H. W.

Bush, George W. Bush, and Donald Trump support abortions for one or more reasons and thus have betrayed the party's platform in this regard.

Wikipedia, Political positions of the Republican Party, Abortion and embryonic stem cell research:

“Not all Republicans support abortion restrictions and the human life amendment. Though anti-abortion planks have been part of the party platform since 1976, before 1988 there was little difference between Republicans and other voters regarding abortion, and in 2015, 40 percent of Republicans supported legal abortion. Despite their divergence from the party platform, pro-abortion rights Republicans are unlikely to switch parties. Pro-abortion rights ideology has been present in the Republican Party since before the *Roe v. Wade* decision in 1973, and the pro-abortion rights ideology is still present today...

“There are several organizations and Political Action Committees that support pro-abortion rights republican candidates. The most prominent are Republican Majority for Choice, Republicans for Choice, and The Wish List. These organizations provide money, endorsements, and training to candidates who support abortion rights. Republican Main Street Partnership has shown support for pro-abortion rights legislation.

“1970s and 1980s

“Early abortion laws only allowed the procedure when the woman's life was in danger...

During his presidency, President Gerald R. Ford took a moderately conservative stance on abortion, despite First Lady Betty Ford's urges for him to take a liberal stance on the issue. Ford believed abortions should be allowed in certain circumstances, such as rape and incest, and opposed a human life amendment to the Constitution. After winning the primary, Ford stated he was also unconditionally anti-abortion and fully supported the Republican platform in 1976. Still, Ford later stated that he was pro-abortion rights after he had left office and Betty Ford was supportive of the decision made by the court in *Roe v. Wade*.

“The 1976 Republican Party Platform was the first to include an anti-abortion stance. This came during the same year that the Hyde Amendment was passed. Ronald Reagan's run in the primary for the nomination played a role in getting an anti abortion plank along with some other positions on other issues into the platform.

“Democrat and Republican Party elites and elected officials became more divided on the issue of abortion in the 1980s. Still, Ronald Reagan ran and won the election in 1980, stating he was against all abortions except for saving the life of the mother. He firmly supported *Roe v. Wade* being overturned and a constitutional amendment banning abortion. Robert Dole, who ran in the primary for president in 1980, also firmly opposed abortion. George H. W. Bush, who also ran in the primary, was firmly pro-abortion rights. Bush wound up being Reagan's vice presidential running mate and after that, he distanced himself from that issue. It was not until after Republicans in Congress started consistently voting against abortion in the 1980s that polls showed Republican opposition to abortion.

“1990 to current

“Until 1988 there was little difference in pro-abortion rights attitudes among Democrat and Republican voters. George H.W. Bush entered politics with a reputation as a moderate on social issues. However, during a 1988 presidential debate he stated after some reflection he came to the conclusion abortion was

morally wrong and should only be legal for rape, incest, and to save the life of the mother. He chose Dan Quayle as his running mate, who also opposed abortion.

“During the 1992 election, President Bush and Vice President Quayle tended to downplay the importance of abortion during the election so they would not risk turning away Republican voters who supported abortion rights. A substantial number of Pro-abortion rights republicans in the 1992 election did not vote for President Bush because of his stance on abortion. Most of these pro-abortion rights Republicans voted for Perot. While President Bush and the Republican Party took an anti-abortion stance in 1992, First Lady Barbara Bush stated that she believed abortion to be a ‘personal choice.’

“In an interview in 2001, First Lady Laura Bush stated that she believed *Roe v. Wade* should not be overturned and later stated that abortion should remain legal because she believes ‘it’s important for people, for medical reasons and other reasons.’...

“After the 2012 election, Senator John McCain, who is anti-abortion, advised his fellow Republicans to ‘leave the issue [abortion] alone.’ He warned against going beyond stating one’s anti-abortion belief and actions could hurt the Republican party with women voters and young voters....

“Mitt Romney as governor of Massachusetts was firmly pro-abortion rights but he became anti-abortion running for president in 2012...

“Donald Trump has shifted his position on abortion. For decades as a Democrat and independent he was firmly pro-abortion rights, but by at least 2011 (when he was considering running for president), Trump often iterated a pro-life stance... Trump did state he believed in exceptions of rape and incest as well as to saving the life of the mother on his anti-abortion stance.”

A note is in order. A Republican can still uphold the party’s position that bans all abortions while voting for a lesser evil law that limits abortions. (See in this book “On Voting for the Lesser Evil,” p. [22](#).)

The RINOs Big Umbrella Turned the Republican Party into an Amorphous Party

By not enforcing its platform, the Republican Party has let those who do not uphold its platform regarding one or more of its positions into the party. They are called RINOs. These RINOs invented the Big Umbrella or Big Tent policy to justify their betrayal of the party platform. They say that this new Republican Party will now let those who oppose tenants of the Republican Party under the umbrella, under the tent. Hence this new party is a mix of Republican Party tenants, Democrat Party tenants, and other parties’ tenants. Consequently, this new Republican Party is actually a new party and can be called the Amorphous Party.

For example, a tenant of the Republican Party is against homosexuality and homosexuals and thus bans them from the party and thus from holding an office in the Republican Party. Well, the RINOs, with their big umbrella heresy, now let homosexuals come under that umbrella and thus into the new Republican Party and into offices. Hence this new party is no longer against homosexuals and homosexuality and thus allows them to hold offices in this new Republican Party. But this new Republican Party not only has no platform to stand on but actually opposes the current party platform.

The RINOs Big Umbrella Has No Room for True Social Conservatives

However, there is no room under the RINOs' Big Umbrella for true social conservatives, such as those who are against homosexuality and against abortion in all cases. If true social conservatives dare speak up and try to push their position (which happens to be the position of the Republican Party's 2026 platform), they are silenced. And if they do not remain silent, they are ostracized and treated like lepers by the RINOs. They are also prevented from holding offices. And if they do hold an office, they are removed from it.

For example, William George Norris was elected as Member at Large in the Sierra County Republican Party on 1-14-2023. But he was removed from office on 6-8-2023 because he upheld conservative social values. Julie Stroup, the chair of the Republican Party in Sierra County, spearheaded this witch hunt against William. The main point in this whole charade is that William was removed from office for daring to question Republican officeholders and those running for office about their positions on the sexual perversion of children, on homosexuality, and on transgenderism, and for threatening those who either did not condemn these sexual perversions or did so insufficiently with being voted out of office, with being exposed as hypocrites and RINOs [Republicans In Name Only], and, more, importantly, that God would punish them for sinning against the natural law and God's law.

And the worst part is that William was removed from office without being shown any law that he violated. He asked them, time and time again, to show him the law he violated that sets in motion a vote to remove from office, and they never showed him any law. Even at his trial when he was voted out of office, he was not shown any law that he violated. In fact, those who removed him were violating the law and the Republican Party's position that condemns these sexual perversions as contrary to American history and traditions and as abnormal. And his removal from office was done in secret in which the public was not allowed to attend. And he was not allowed to record the meeting so that his testimony would be in the public record. (For detailed information, see *The Case of William Norris against the Republican Party of New Mexico*, accessed in the [Mary's Little Remnant's Political Works](#) page.)

Compromise on Core Beliefs to Get Elected Is Defeat before the Election

Another way the RINOs betray the tenants of the Republican Party is by compromising its tenants in order to get elected. This especially occurs in Blue States and counties; that is, States and counties in which Democrats are in the majority. In essence, they want to appear more like Democrats or Independents than Republicans in order to get elected. This leaves the true Republicans with no real Republicans to vote for. Their only choice they have is between a Democrat, an Independent, or a RINO whose tenants are a mixture of the Democrat, Independent, and Republican parties. Therefore, no matter who gets elected, true Republicans lose before the election even begins because there is no true Republican running for office.

Take for example of a RINO running for election in a primary in a Blue State. He is only running against other Republicans and only Republicans can vote. Hence he does not have to worry about the Democrat vote. Therefore, he will express more of the conservative positions of the Republican Party than if it were a general election when he is running against a Democrat in which all the citizens can vote. But even in the primary, he is careful not to express the conservative positions of the party too explicitly or forcefully because it can be used against him in the general election. For example, in the primary he will say that he is for the traditional family. He wants the Republicans to take this as a code word that he is against homosexual marriages, homosexual civil unions, and against homosexuality. But he never actually said any of these things. In the general election, one can interpret his statement in different ways; such as, he

is only against homosexual marriages but no homosexual civil unions and not against homosexuality. But it gets even worse, because when running in the general election, he no longer even says he is for the traditional family. He just leaves that out.

The RINO does the same thing with abortion. When running in the primary, he is more open about his opposition to abortion or abortion in most cases. But he still veils his words so they cannot be used against him when running for the general election. But in the general election, he weakens his stance against abortion either by remaining silent about it or by weakening his stance against abortion. He may say he is pro-life in the general election (like Biden does), but he will not say that he will work for laws to ban all abortions or most abortions. Hence the RINO will never, in the primary or general election, say he is against abortion in all cases, and thus he denies position of the Republican Party which is against abortion in all cases. (See *The Case of William Norris against the Republican Party of New Mexico*: William Was Vetted by Ryan Cangioli, Yvette Herrell, and Crystal Diamond. It can be accessed in the Mary's Little Remnant's Political Works page in the www.JohnTheBaptist.us website)

Upholding the tenants of the Republican Party is more important than winning an election

Upholding the tenants of the Republican Party is more important than winning an election for several reasons.

One, of which I already mentioned in the previous section, if the tenants are not upheld, then the election is lost before it begins, as there would be no true Republican to vote for.

Two, there would be no way to convert non-Republicans into the party because the party's position would not be heard and known by the voters, but instead it would sound like the Democrat Party's or Independent Party's position. Hence the voters would have no reason to leave those parties and join the Republican Party. And if some did convert, they would only be RINOs because they would not hold the Republican Party's position.

Yes, Republicans who uphold the party's positions in Blue States or counties will lose for several cycles, but at least the voters will have a chance to hear the Republican Party's positions in an uncompromising and convicted way and thus have a chance to convert and become true Republicans.

Let us take Christianity as an example. In the beginning Christianity was opposed by almost everyone. But the apostles and other Christians did not compromise or hide the gospel but preached it on the housetops. And they eventually converted more and more men to true Christianity. If they had compromised the gospel either by not teaching parts of it and hiding some of it or by denying parts of it, then they would not be teaching the true gospel and they would not be true Christians, and thus their converts would not be true Christians. They would be converting men to a false Christianity. St. Paul mentions this:

"I wonder that you are so soon removed from him that called you into the grace of Christ, unto another gospel. Which is not another, only there are some that trouble you, and would pervert the gospel of Christ. But though we, or an angel from heaven, preach a gospel to you besides that which we have preached to you, let him be anathema." (Gal. 1:6-8)

"For such false apostles are deceitful workmen, transforming themselves into the apostles of Christ. And no wonder: for Satan himself transformeth himself into an angel of light." (2 Cor. 11:13-14)

This is true with any organization, ideology, or religion if it is to be consistent with its core tenants. Hence the same can be said of the RINOs: There are some who pervert the Republican Party's positions and thus teach another position and thus another Party, and therefore let them be

anathema, excommunicated from the party. For they transform themselves into Republicans but are not.

There Are Good RINOs and Evil RINOs

Technically the Republican Party would have the right and duty to kick me out of the party because I too oppose some of its positions; such as equal rights for women and its stance against slavery. And I would respect and laud them for this, but only if it also kicks out all who oppose its positions; such as those who are not against homosexuality and those who are not against abortion in all cases.

Therefore, I am a RINO also but not the same as the RINOs who are for things that are against the natural law and God's law. Hence there are good RINOs and evil RINOs. Good RINOs base their opposition to Republican Party's positions upon the natural law and God's law, which is above human law and thus supersedes human law when it is contrary to the natural law and God's law. Here is a testimony from The Word of God:

St. Peter says, "We ought to obey God rather than men," (Acts 5:29) when it comes to things that contradict the natural law and God's law.

And King David says, "It is good to confide in the Lord, rather than to have confidence in man. It is good to trust in the Lord, rather than to trust in princes."
(Ps. 117:8-9)

The things evil RINOs oppose are not only against the Party's positions but also contrary to the natural law and God's law.

As a good RINO, I will take advantage of its laxity in not enforcing its positions in order to try to change it from within for the better, according to the natural law and God's law. I will be wise as a serpent and simple as a dove (Mt. 10:16). But it is getting to the point that Republicans who uphold all of the party's positions and good RINOs, like myself, have no room in the party. Our voices are being silenced, and we are being banned from holding offices.

The Options for True Republicans and Good RINOs

If true conservative Republicans and good RINOs do nothing, then nothing will change. There are three options:

- Stay in party but do not give money to the party but only to individual candidates who are the best regarding natural law values. This will hit them where it hurts the most. They use that money anyway only for evil RINOs. However, a Republican Party in a State or a county should be given money if it holds all the socially conservative positions.
- Leave the party and become an Independent.
- I am thinking of forming a Natural Law Party in Sierra County, which as it gains in numbers, it will have great influence and could put the Republicans or at least the evil RINOs out of office in Sierra County.

On Voting for the Lesser Evil

A politician (be he an evil RINO or a Democrat) who does all in his power to promote or defend laws that promote and defend homosexuality and abortion (even if he does not personally believe in these things) is evil in the eyes of God, because his ultimate goal is to promote or defend laws that promote or defend these evil things. For example, Joe Biden says he is personally against abortion but does all in his power to pass laws that promote and defend abortion. That same applies to evil RINOs who do the same to get elected in Blue States, states in which the majority of the people are Democrats and believe in abortion.

A politician who not only publically opposes these evil things but also does all in his power to promote and defend laws that ban these things must sometimes vote for a lesser evil law which does not promote or defend these evil things as much as the current law. But his ultimate goal is to ban all these evil things. Hence his actions are justified in the eyes of God. (See my book [On Voting for the Lesser Evil](#).)

For the glory of God; in honor of the Blessed Virgin Mary, St. Michael, St. Joseph, Ss. Joachim and Anne, St. John the Baptist, the other angels and saints; and for the salvation of men

Original version: 6/2023; Current version: 6/2023

Mary's Little Remnant

302 East Joffre St.

Truth or Consequences, New Mexico 87901-2878, USA

Website: www.JohnTheBaptist.us