

Against the Antisemitism Awareness Act

By Richard Joseph Michael Ibranyi

Table of Contents

THE ACT IS DEFINED BY THE IHRA’S WORKING DEFINITION OF ANTISEMITISM (5/26/2016)	2
<i>Point 1 (Anyone who says Jews should be persecuted in name of religion)</i>	3
<i>Point 2 (Anyone who says Jews control the world)</i>	3
<i>Points 4 and 5 (Anyone who denies the Holocaust)</i>	4
<i>Point 6 (Anyone who says a Jew is more loyal to Israel than his host nation)</i>	5
<i>Point 7 (Anyone who says apostate Jews have no right to self-determination)</i>	5
<i>Point 8 (Anyone who says Jews killed Christ or are guilty of the blood of Christ)</i>	6
<i>Point 9 (Anyone who says Israeli policy is that of the Nazis)</i>	7
PASSED BY AN EXECUTIVE ORDER FROM PRESIDENT TRUMP (12/11/2019)	8
INTRODUCED BY SENATE BILL S. 4127 AND REFEREED TO THE COMMITTEE (4/16/2024)	9
PASSED BY HOUSE BILL H.R. 6090 (5/1/2024)	10
<i>Those who voted Yes</i>	11
<i>Those who voted No</i>	12
<i>Those who did not vote</i>	12
BUT H.R. 6090 NOT VOTED ON BY THE SENATE (5/2/2024)	13
BUT IT IS STILL IN FORCE BY TRUMP’S EXECUTIVE ORDER	13
YOU WOULD NOT KNOW HOW EVIL THE EXECUTIVE ORDER AND BILLS ARE UNLESS YOU READ THE IHRA’S DEFINITION..	13
CONDEMNATION OF THOSE WHO SUPPORT THE ACT AND DO NOT VOTE FOR THEM	13
THE DIFFERENT REASONS FOR OPPOSING THE ACT	14

The Act Is Defined by the IHRA's Working Definition of Antisemitism (5/26/2016)

Antisemitism, as contained in the Antisemitism Awareness Act, is defined by the *International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance* (IHRA). Most of its definitions are false and thus have nothing to do with hating Jews or the Jewish race. And some of its definitions are anti-Bible, anti-Christian, racist for banning legitimate criticisms and punishments of Jews and Israel, and ban legitimate history and science that exposes apostate Jewish lies and conspiracies that point out certain clear and evident truths regarding apostate Jews and apostate Israel.



26 May 2016

IHRA non-legally binding working definition of antisemitism

Adopted by the IHRA Plenary in Bucharest

In the spirit of the Stockholm Declaration that states: "With humanity still scarred by ...antisemitism and xenophobia the international community shares a solemn responsibility to fight those evils" the committee on Antisemitism and Holocaust Denial called the IHRA Plenary in Budapest 2015 to adopt the following working definition of antisemitism.

On 26 May 2016, the Plenary in Bucharest decided to:

Adopt the following non-legally binding working definition of antisemitism:

"Antisemitism is a certain perception of Jews, which may be expressed as hatred toward Jews. Rhetorical and physical manifestations of antisemitism are directed toward Jewish or non-Jewish individuals and/or their property, toward Jewish community institutions and religious facilities."

To guide IHRA in its work, the following examples may serve as illustrations:

Manifestations might include the targeting of the state of Israel, conceived as a Jewish collectivity. However, criticism of Israel similar to that leveled against any other country cannot be regarded as antisemitic. Antisemitism frequently charges Jews with conspiring to harm humanity, and it is often used to blame Jews for "why things go wrong." It is expressed in speech, writing, visual forms and action, and employs sinister stereotypes and negative character traits.



Contemporary examples of antisemitism in public life, the media, schools, the workplace, and in the religious sphere could, taking into account the overall context, include, but are not limited to:

Here are some of the more troubling definitions from IHRA's Working Definition of Anti-Semitism:

Point 1 (Anyone who says Jews should be persecuted in name of religion)

IHRA: "Calling for, aiding, or justifying the killing or harming of Jews in the name of a radical ideology or an extremist view of religion."

In the name of religion, God himself has justly ordained the killing of many fallen-away Jews, and many times he has other nations carry out the killing or harming. For example,

These are some of the punishments God has decreed for evil Jews: "But if thou [Israelites/ Jews] wilt not hear the voice of the Lord thy God, to keep and to do all his commandments and ceremonies, which I command thee this day, all these curses shall come upon thee, and overtake thee." (Deut. 28:15) Read the rest of that chapter to see all the curses.

In the name of religion, Moses killed 23,000 idol worshipping Jews: "Then standing in the gate of the camp, he [Moses] said: If any man be on the Lord's side let him join with me. And all the sons of Levi gathered themselves together unto him. And he said to them: Thus saith the Lord God of Israel: Put every man his sword upon his thigh. Go, and return from gate to gate through the midst of the camp and let every man kill his brother and friend and neighbour. And the sons of Levi did according to the words of Moses, and there were slain that day about three and twenty thousand men." (Ex. 32:26-28)

St. Paul says that certain sinners, Jews included, are "worthy of death": "Who, having known the justice of God, did not understand that they who do such things are worthy of death; and not only they that do them, but they also that consent to them that do them." (Rom. 1:32)

Jesus Christ says, "But as for those my enemies who would not have me reign over them [such as Christ-denying Jews], bring them hither and kill them before me." (Lk. 19:27)

So this point condemns God and the Bible as being extremist, both the Old Testament and the New Testament.

Point 2 (Anyone who says Jews control the world)

IHRA: "Making mendacious, dehumanizing, demonizing, or stereotypical allegations about Jews as such or the power of Jews as collective — such as, especially but not exclusively, the myth about a world Jewish conspiracy or of Jews controlling the media, economy, government or other societal institutions."

So much for free speech! But more importantly so much for the truth before the very eyes of anyone with a ounce of goodwill who can see that apostate Jews, indeed, control most of the

world. And many of them are not afraid to admit this publicly.¹ For example, the apostate Jew Alan Dershowitz is proud to say that Jews control the world.

Alan Dershowitz: “People say Jews are too powerful, too strong, too rich... and we often apologize... do not do that. Never apologize for using our strength and influence... God will give the Jewish people strength... Never apologize for using your strength.”

The irony is the Dirty Dershowitz was present when Trump signed the Executive Order that made the IHRA Working Definition of Antisemitism the law of the land:



So, low and behold, Dirty Dershowitz is guilty of antisemitism according to Trump’s Executive Order, which Dershowitz approved of, because Dershowitz said Jews have great riches and power in this world.

And any so-called Christian should know that apostate Jews control most of the world because the Bible speaks of them controlling the world in order to bring the Antichrist to power. So this point is diverting your attention away from these evil Jews and their plots and ploys and is putting the blame on anyone who speaks the truth regarding the great riches, power, and influence of the apostate Jews in this evil world.

Points 4 and 5 (Anyone who denies the Holocaust)

IHRA: “Denying the fact, scope, mechanisms (e.g. gas chambers) or intentionality of the genocide of the Jewish people at the hands of National Socialist Germany and its supporters and accomplices during World War II (the Holocaust).”

“Accusing the Jews as a people, or Israel as a state, of inventing or exaggerating the Holocaust.”

On 4/25/2017, here is what Trump said regarding the Holocaust and Holocaust deniers:

Time, Washington, DC, April 25, 2017: “That is why we are here today, to remember and to bear witness, to make sure that humanity never, ever forgets [that] the Nazis massacred 6 million Jews. Yet even today, there are those who want to

¹ See Apostate [Judaism and Zionism Conspiracy](#) page: Apostate Jews Control the USA and the World.

forget the past. Worse still, there are even those filled with such hate, total hate, that they want to erase the Holocaust from history. Those who deny the Holocaust are an accomplice to this horrible evil and we'll never be silent. We just won't. We will never, ever be silent in the face of evil again."

Anyone with a ounce of good will and is not a coward would know that the Holocaust is a hoax once he sees the evidence.² The apostate Jews used the so-called Holocaust to demonize Hitler and the Nazi's, to turn apostate Jewish criminals into victims, to exalt and idolize apostate Jews and apostate Israel, to prevent any criticism or punishments of them, and, more importantly, to attack the New Testament and thus Christianity and Christians as unjust for justly condemning and punishing Christ-deney Jews.

Point 6 (Anyone who says a Jew is more loyal to Israel than his host nation)

IHRA: "Accusing Jewish citizens of being more loyal to Israel, or to the alleged priorities of Jews worldwide, than to the interests of their own nations."

According to this, then, no Jew with dual citizenship can be accused of putting the nation of Israel above that of the other nation of which he is a citizen. Wow, talk about racism. This exempts every Jew with dual citizenship simply because he is a Jew from being more loyal to Israel and from ever being a spy for Israel against his host nation. Well, history refutes this lie because USA-Israeli Jews have been convicted of spying for Israel against the USA, such as Joathan Pollard.

Point 7 (Anyone who says apostate Jews have no right to self-determination)

IHRA: "Denying the Jewish people their right to self-determination, e.g., by claiming that the existence of a State of Israel is a racist endeavor."

Men and races do not always have the right to self-determination. Many times God takes that right away.

"A kingdom is translated from one people to another because of injustices and wrongs and injuries and divers deceits." (Eccus. 10:8)

"Behold the eyes of the Lord God are upon the sinful kingdom, and I will destroy it from the face of the earth." (Amos 9:8)

For example, many times when the Jews went bad during the Old Covenant era, God cast them out of the promised land, Israel, and sent them into captivity under pagan rulers, and thus they lost the right of self-determination.³ In 721 BC, God allowed the pagan Assyrians to conquer the whole Northern Kingdom of Israel and take most of the inhabitants into exile and replaced them with Assyrians:

"And in the ninth year of Osee, the king of the Assyrians took Samaria [Northern Kingdom of Israel], and carried Israel away to Assyria and he placed them in Hala and Habor by the river of Gozan, in the cities of the Medes. For so it was that the children of Israel had sinned against the Lord their God... And the Lord was very angry with Israel, and removed them from his sight, and there remained only the kingdom of Juda [the Southern Kingdom]... And the king of the Assyrians brought people from Babylon, and from Cutha, and from Avah, and from Emath, and from Sepharvaim: and placed them in the cities of Samaria instead of the children of Israel: and they possessed Samaria, and dwelt in the cities thereof." (4 Ki. 17:6-7, 18, 24)

² For detailed information, see my [The Holocaust Conspiracy](#) page.

³ See my book [Catholic Captivity](#).

In 6th century BC, God allowed the pagan Babylonians to conquer the Southern Kingdom of Juda and take most of the inhabitants into exile in Babylon:

“For among my people are found wicked men... They are grown gross and fat: and have most wickedly transgressed my words... Shall I not visit for these things, saith the Lord? or shall not my soul take revenge on such...” (Jer. 5:26, 28-29) “For I have set my face against this city for evil, and not for good, saith the Lord: it shall be given into the hand of the king of Babylon, and he shall burn it with fire...” (Jer. 21:10) “And now I have given all these lands into the hand of Nabuchodonosor king of Babylon my servant...” (Jer. 27:6) “For the sins that you have committed before God, you shall be carried away captives into Babylon by Nabuchodonosor the king of Babylon.” (Baruch 6:1) “Gird thee with sackcloth, O daughter of my people, and sprinkle thee with ashes: make thee mourning as for an only son, a bitter lamentation...” (Jer. 6:26)

And Jesus told the Christ-denying Jews that Second Temple would be destroyed and they would be taken into exile and no longer have the right to the land of Israel until they become Christians:

“For the days shall come upon thee, and thy enemies shall cast a trench about thee, and compass thee round, and straiten thee on every side, and beat thee flat to the ground, and thy children who are in thee: and they shall not leave in thee a stone upon a stone: because thou hast not known the time of thy visitation. And entering into the temple, he began to cast out them that sold therein, and them that bought.” (Lk. 19:43-45)

“Jerusalem, Jerusalem, that killest the prophets, and stonest them that are sent to thee, how often would I have gathered thy children as the bird doth her brood under her wings, and thou wouldest not? Behold your house shall be left to you desolate. And I say to you that you shall not see me till the time come when you shall say: Blessed is he that cometh in the name of the Lord [until they become Christians].”

This occurred in AD 70 when the pagan Romans destroyed the Temple, killed many Jews, and took the rest into exile. Consequently, apostate Jews have no right from God to possess Israel and have a Temple, until they become Catholic, until they say, “Blessed is He [Jesus Christ] who cometh in the name of the Lord.” The history of the Christ-denying Jews’ failed attempts to occupy Israel and rebuild the Temple proves this. But Christ also said there will come a time when an abomination of desolation will be in Judea, in a place where it should not be:

“And when you shall see the abomination of desolation, standing where it ought not, he that readeth let him understand; then let them that are in Judea, flee unto the mountains.” (Mk. 13:14)

The first abomination in a place where it does not belong was the founding of the State of Israel in 1947, which is controlled by Christ-denying Jews. This is in preparation for another abomination where it does not belong, the Temple in Jerusalem; then the main abomination of desolation in a place where it does not belong, the Antichrist sitting in the Temple showing himself as if he were God (2 Thess. 2:1-4).

Hence Christ-denying Jews have no right from God to self-determination and thus their current occupation of Israel is illegal in the eyes of God. (See my video [Jerusalem Belongs to the Catholic Church](#).)

Point 8 (Anyone who says Jews killed Christ or are guilty of the blood of Christ)

IHRA: “Using the symbols and images associated with classic antisemitism (e.g., claims of Jews killing Jesus or blood libel) to characterize Israel or Israelis.”

This point condemns the New Testament and thus Christianity as being anti-Semitic because the New Testament and thus Christianity teaches that Jews killed Christ and that all Christ-denying Jews are guilty of the blood of Christ.⁴ For example,

“Jesus walked in Galilee; for he would not walk in Judea, because the Jews sought to kill him.” (Jn. 7:1)

St. Peter says, “Ye men of Israel, hear these words: Jesus of Nazareth... being delivered up, by the determinate counsel and foreknowledge of God, you by the hands of wicked men have crucified and slain.” (Acts 2:22-23) “Be it known to you all and to all the people of Israel, that by the name of our Lord Jesus Christ of Nazareth, whom you crucified...” (Acts 4:10) “The God of our fathers hath raised up Jesus, whom you put to death, hanging him upon a tree.” (Acts 5:30)

St. Paul says, “The Jews, who both killed the Lord Jesus, and the Prophets, and have persecuted us, and are not pleasing to God, and are the adversaries to all men. For whom the wrath of God has come upon them to the end.” (1 Thess 2:14-16)

Denouncing the Jews for killing the Christ, St. Stephen said to them, “Which of the prophets have not your fathers persecuted? And they have slain them who foretold of the coming of the Just One; of whom you have been now the betrayers and murderers.” (Acts. 7:52)

Regarding Jesus, the Christ-denying Jews said, “And the whole people answering, said: His blood be upon us and upon our children.” (Mt. 27:25)

Catholic commentary on Psalm 108:14: “The Jews prayed, Let his blood be upon us, and upon our children; (Mt. 27:25), and thus all Christ-denying Jews in future generations are chargeable with all the crimes of their ancestors. (Mt. 23:35.)”

No more religious liberty, then, for those who correctly believe that the New Testament teaches that Jews killed Christ and that Christ denying Jews are guilty of the blood of Christ. This, passage, no doubt got its inspiration from the apostate Second Vatican’s *Nostrae Aetate*, Paragraph 4, which says that “*the Jews should not be spoken of as rejected or accursed as if this followed from Holy Scripture.*” That is heresy and racism for teaching that all current-day Jews, by the mere fact that they are racially Jewish, are not cursed. Apostate Jews are cursed with original sin; cursed when they commit voluntary sin, which includes the worship of their false god and adherence to their false religion of Talmudic Judaism or whatever false god or religion they adhere to; and uniquely cursed for murdering Christ. The Bible and the Catholic Church mention all these curses.⁵

Point 9 (Anyone who says Israeli policy is that of the Nazis)

IHRA: “Drawing comparisons of contemporary Israeli policy to that of the Nazis.”

This part about Nazi’s is ironic. Because according to their own definition of Nazis, they are the ones who are the Nazi’s, the fanatical Zionists. The true Nazi’s were not near as evil as the apostate Jews portray them. The fanatical Zionists are way more evil than the Nazis were.⁶

⁴ See RJMI book *The Jews*: [Christ denying Jews are guilty of Deicide](#) and [Bloodguilt of the Unbelieving Jews](#).

⁵ See RJMI book *The Jews*: [Apostate Jews Are Doubly Cursed](#). See RJMI video/audio lecture *Accursed Jews*, [Part 1](#) and [Part 2](#). And see RJMI book *Woe to You Who Call Evil Good!*: [The modern popes and apostate antipopes were and are racists](#).

⁶ See RJMI books [Woe to You Who Call Evil Good](#) and [Apostate Hitler’s Sins and Non-Sins](#).

Passed by an Executive Order from President Trump (12/11/2019)

On 12/11/2019, President Trump signed an executive order that made Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act apply to anti-Semitic acts as defined by the IHRA's Antisemitism Awareness Act.



Title VI bans discrimination based on race, color or national origin in programs and activities, such as colleges and universities, that receive federal funding. The executive order will extend the ban to discrimination based on anti-Semitism. The draft order suggests that those charged with enforcing Title VI consider the definition of anti-Semitism adopted by International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance. The order points in particular to the alliance's "Contemporary Examples of Anti-Semitism." Here is an excerpt from the order:

President Trump, Executive Order, 12/11/2024" "By the authority vested in me as President by the Constitution and the laws of the United States of America, it is hereby ordered as follows:

"Section 1. Policy. My Administration is committed to combating the rise of anti-Semitism and anti-Semitic incidents in the United States and around the world.

Anti-Semitic incidents have increased since 2013, and students, in particular, continue to face anti-Semitic harassment in schools and on university and college campuses.

"Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Title VI), 42 U.S.C. 2000d et seq., prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, and national origin in programs and activities receiving Federal financial assistance. While Title VI does not cover discrimination based on religion, individuals who face discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin do not lose protection under Title VI for also being a member of a group that shares common religious practices. Discrimination against Jews may give rise to a Title VI violation when the discrimination is based on an individual's race, color, or national origin.

"Sec. 2. Ensuring Robust Enforcement of Title VI. (a) In enforcing Title VI, and identifying evidence of discrimination based on race, color, or national origin, all executive departments and agencies (agencies) charged with enforcing Title VI shall consider the following:

"(i) the non-legally binding working definition of anti-Semitism adopted on May 26, 2016, by the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA), which states, "Antisemitism is a certain perception of Jews, which may be expressed as hatred toward Jews. Rhetorical and physical manifestations of

antisemitism are directed toward Jewish or non-Jewish individuals and/or their property, toward Jewish community institutions and religious facilities”; and
“(ii) the “Contemporary Examples of Anti-Semitism” identified by the IHRA, to the extent that any examples might be useful as evidence of discriminatory intent.”

Introduced by Senate Bill S. 4127 and Referred to the Committee (4/16/2024)

On 4/16/2024, the Antisemitism Awareness Act was introduced into the senate by Rep. Tim Scott, and it was cosponsored by several senators. It was then referred to committee, which, as of this 7/2024, has not been taken up, so it has not yet passed the Senate.

Shown Here:
Introduced in Senate (04/16/2024)

118TH CONGRESS
2^D SESSION

S. 4127

To provide for the consideration of a definition of antisemitism set forth by the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance for the enforcement of Federal antidiscrimination laws concerning education programs or activities, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

APRIL 16, 2024

Mr. SCOTT of South Carolina (for himself, Mr. CASEY, Mr. LANKFORD, Ms. ROSEN, Mr. SCOTT of Florida, Mr. WYDEN, Mr. MORAN, Mr. BENNET, Mr. BOOZMAN, Ms. CORTEZ MASTO, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. COONS, Mr. CRAPO, Ms. SINEMA, Mr. GRASSLEY, Mrs. GILLIBRAND, Mr. HAWLEY, Mr. HICKENLOOPER, Mrs. BRITT, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. RICKETTS, Mr. FETTERMAN, Mr. BARRASSO, Mr. CARDIN, Mr. COTTON, Mr. MANCHIN, Mr. CORNYN, Ms. HASSAN, Mrs. CAPITO, and Ms. CANTWELL) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions

SEC. 4. DEFINITIONS.

For purposes of this Act, the term “definition of antisemitism”—

(1) means the definition of antisemitism adopted on May 26, 2016, by the IHRA, of which the United States is a member, which definition has been adopted by the Department of State; and

(2) includes the “[c]ontemporary examples of antisemitism” identified in the IHRA definition.

Passed by House Bill H.R. 6090 (5/1/2024)

On 5/1/2024, the Congress passed the H.R. 6090 Bill. This bill provides statutory authority for the requirement that the Department of Education's Office for Civil Rights take into consideration the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance's (IHRA's) working definition of antisemitism when reviewing or investigating complaints of discrimination based on race, color, or national origin in programs or activities that receive federal financial assistance.

118TH CONGRESS
2^D SESSION

H. R. 6090

AN ACT

To provide for the consideration of a definition of antisemitism set forth by the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance for the enforcement of Federal antidiscrimination laws concerning education programs or activities, and for other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SEC. 4. DEFINITIONS.

For purposes of this Act, the term “definition of antisemitism”—

(1) means the definition of antisemitism adopted on May 26, 2016, by the IHRA, of which the United States is a member, which definition has been adopted by the Department of State; and

(2) includes the “[c]ontemporary examples of antisemitism” identified in the IHRA definition.

Roll Call 172 | Bill Number: H. R. 6090

SHARE    

[XML View](#)

MAY 01, 2024, 04:50 PM | 118TH CONGRESS, 2ND SESSION

VOTES

Vote Question: On Passage

★ Yea: 320

Antisemitism Awareness Act

Nay: 91

Vote Type: Yea-And-Nay

Present: 0

Status: Passed

Not Voting: 18

VOTES BY PARTY

PARTY	YEAS	NAYS	PRESENT	NOT VOTING
Republican	187	21	0	9
Democratic	133	70	0	9
Independent	0	0	0	0
Total	320	91	0	18

Those who voted Yes

Republicans:

Aderholt; Alford; Allen; Amodei; Armstrong; Babin; Bacon; Baird; Balderson; Banks; Barr; Bean (FL); Bentz; Bergman; Bice; Bilirakis; Bishop (NC); Bost; Buchanan; Bucshon; Burchett; Burgess; Calvert; Cammack; Carey; Carl; Carter (GA); Carter (TX); Chavez-DeRemer; Ciscomani; Cline; Comer; Crawford; Curtis; D'Esposito; ; DesJarlais; Duarte; Duncan; Dunn (FL); Edwards; Ellzey; Emmer; Estes; Ezell; Fallon; Feenstra; Ferguson; Finstad; Fischbach; Fitzgerald; Fitzpatrick; Fleischmann; Flood; Foxx; Franklin, Scott; Fulcher; Garbarino; Garcia, Mike; Gimenez; Gonzales, Tony; Good (VA); Gooden (TX); Granger; Graves (LA); Graves (MO); Green (TN); Griffith; Guest; Guthrie; Harris; Harshbarger; Hern; Hill; Hinson; Houchin; Hudson; Huizenga; Issa; Jackson (TX); James; Johnson (LA); Johnson (SD); Jordan; Joyce (OH); Joyce (PA); Kean (NJ); Kelly (MS); Kelly (PA); Kiggans (VA); Kiley; Kim (CA); Kim (CA); Kustoff; LaHood; LaLota; LaMalfa; Lamborn; Latta; LaTurner; Lawler; Lee (FL); Lesko; Letlow; Loudermilk; Lucas; Luetkemeyer; Luttrell; Mace; Malliotakis; Maloy; Mann; Mast; McCaul; McClain; McClintock; McCormick; McHenry; Meuser; Miller (IL); Miller (OH); Miller (WV); Miller-Meeks; Mills; Molinaro; Moolenaar; Mooney; Moore (AL); Moore (UT); Moran; Nehls; Newhouse; Nunn (IA); Obernolte; Ogles; Owens; Palmer; Pence; Perry; Pfluger; Posey; Reschenthaler; Rodgers (WA); Rogers (AL); Rogers (KY); Rose; Rouzer; Rutherford; Salazar;

Scalise; Schweikert; Scott; Austin; Self; Sessions; Simpson; Smith (MO); Smith (NE); Smith (NJ); Smucker; Spartz; Stauber; Steel; Stefanik; Steil; Steube; Strong; Tenney; Tiffany; Timmons; Turner; Valadao; Van Drew; Van Duynes; Van Orden; Wagner; Walberg; Waltz; Weber (TX); Webster (FL); Wenstrup; Westerman; Williams (NY); Williams (TX); Wilson (SC); Wittman; Womack; Yakym; Zinke.

Democrats:

Adams; Aguilar; Allred; Barragan; Beatty; Bera; Bishop (GA); Blunt Rochester; Boyle (PA); Brown; Brownley; Budzinski; Caraveo; Carbajal; Cartwright; Castor (FL); Cherfilus-McCormick Chu; Clark (MA); Cohen; Connolly; Correa; Costa; Courtney; Craig; Crow; Cuellar; Davids (KS); Davis (NC); Dean (PA); DeLauro; DelBene; Deluzio; Dingell; Escobar; Eshoo; Espaillat; Fletcher; Frankel, Lois; Golden (ME); Goldman (NY); Gonzalez, Vicente; Gottheimer; Flarder (CA); Flayes; Flimes; Florsford; Floulahan; Floyer; Fluffman; Ivey; Jackson (NC) Jackson Lee; Jeffries; Kamlager-Dove; Kaptur; Keating; Kildee; Kilmer; Krishnamoorthi; Kuster; Landsman; Larsen (WA); Larson (CT); Lee (NV); Levin; Lieu; Lynch; Manning; Matsui; McBath; Meeks; Menendez; Meng; Mfume; Morelle; Moskowitz; Moulton; Mrvan; Mullin; Napolitano; Neal; Neguse; Norcross; Pallone; Panetta; Pappas; Pascrell; Pelosi; Peltola; Perez; Peters; Pettersen; Phillips; Quigley; Raskin; Ross; Ruiz; Ruppersberger; Ryan; Salinas; Sarbanes; Schiff; Schneider; Scholten; Schrier; Scott, David; Sewell; Sherman; Sherrill; Slotkin; Smith (WA); Sorensen; Soto; Spanberger; Stanton; Stevens; Strickland; Suozzi; Swalwell; Thanedar; Thompson (CA); Titus; Tonko; Torres (CA); Torres (NY); Trahan; Vargas; Vasquez; Veasey; Wasserman Schultz; Wild; Wilson (FL).

Those who voted No

Republicans:

Biggs; Boebert; Brecheen; Burlison; Cloud; Clyde; Collins; Crane; Davidson; Donalds; Gaetz; Gosar; Greene (GA) Hageman Higgins (LA) Hunt Luna; Massie; Norman; Rosendale; Roy.

Democrats:

Amo; Auchincloss; Balint; Beyer; Blumenauer; Bonamici; Bowman; Bush; Cardenas; Carson; Carter (LA); Casar; Case; Casten; Castro (TX); Clarke (NY); Clyburn; Crockett; Davis (IL); DeGette; DeSaulnier; Doggett; Evans; Foster; Foushee; Frost; Garamendi; Garda (IL); Garcia (TX); Green, Al (TX); Hoyle (OR); Jackson (IL); Jacobs; Jayapal; Johnson(GA); Kelly (IL); Khanna; Kim (NJ); Lee (CA); Lee (PA); Leger Fernandez; Lofgren; McClellan; McCollum; McGarvey; McGovern; Moore (WI); Nadler; Ocasio-Cortez; Omar; Pingree; Pocan; Porter; Pressley; Ramirez; Sanchez; Scanlon; Schakowsky; Scott (VA); Stansbury; Takano; Thompson (MS); Tlaib; Tokuda; Underwood; Velazquez; Waters; Watson Coleman; Wexton; Williams (GA).

Those who did not vote

Republicans:

Arrington; Cole; Crenshaw; De La Cruz; Diaz-Balart; Grothman; Langworthy; Murphy; Thompson (PA).

Democrats:

Cleaver; Gallego; Garcia, Robert; Gomez; Grijalva; Magaziner; Nickel; Sykes; Trone.

But H.R. 6090 Not Voted On By the Senate (5/2/2024)

After the House passed HR 6090, it went to the senate. But the senate did not vote on it. Hence, as of 7/2024, it is dead in the senate.

But it is still in force by Trump's Executive Order

However, the Antisemitism Awareness Act still has the force of law by Trump's Executive order. President Biden did not annul it but instead expressed his support for it:

Jewish Insider, Biden admin implementing Trump-era executive order on antisemitism, July 13, 2023: "A White House official confirmed on Wednesday that the Biden administration is continuing to use a 2019 executive order issued by former President Donald Trump that broadened how the government defines race and national origin to include the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance's (IHRA) working definition of antisemitism. 'The Trump EO is still in effect. No change in approach to IHRA,' the official told JI. The order calls on departments enforcing Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, including the Department of Education, to adopt the IHRA definition. The order also calls on departments to consider "Contemporary Examples of Anti-Semitism" identified by the IHRA, to the extent that any examples might be useful as evidence of discriminatory intent."

You Would Not Know How Evil the Executive Order and Bills Are Unless You Read the IHRA's Definition

If you only read Trump's Executive Order and the Bills S. 4127 and H.R. 6090, you would not know how evil and false they are because they do not define acts of antisemitism but refer you to the IHRA's definition in its Antisemitism Awareness Act. So you would have to access that and then read it. So there is a bit of deception in all of this.

Condemnation of Those Who Support the Act and Do Not Vote for Them

Any so-called Christian who approves this Act, such as Trump and all who voted yes for S. 4127 and H.R. 6090, is a Judas Iscariot, a traitor to the highest degree, a hypocrite, and is helping in a big way to bring the Christ-deny Jews and their Antichrist to worldwide power.⁷

All Christians, nominal Christians, and men of good must not vote any politician who supports the Antisemitism Awareness Act, because some of its definitions are anti-Bible, anti-Christian, racist for banning legitimate criticisms and punishments of Jews and Israel, and ban legitimate history and science that exposes apostate Jewish lies and conspiracies that point out certain clear and evident truths regarding apostate Jews and apostate Israel. And they deprive Christians of the religious liberty to profess and live by what they believe.

⁷ See my [The Great Apostasy](#) page and [Apostate Judaism and Zionism Conspiracy](#) page.

(For other reasons not to vote for Trump and any other RINO, see my article and video *Christians, Nominal Christians, and Other Social Conservatives Must Not Vote for Trump nor Any Other RINO.*)

The Different Reasons for Opposing the Act

For the main reasons a Christian and nominal Christians must oppose this Executive Order and these bills, see Points 1, 7, and 8 in the first section of this article.

The Controversy Surrounding the Antisemitism Act, Explained, by USNews, Aneta Mathur-Aston, May 7, 2004:

“*Why Is the Bill Getting Criticism From Some Far-Right Republicans?* The bill’s text quickly drew criticism from several Republicans, who quickly defected over the idea that the definition would outlaw some parts of the Bible. Rep. Marjorie Taylor Greene of Georgia said she opposed it because it ‘could convict Christians of antisemitism for believing the Gospel that says Jesus was handed over to Herod to be crucified by the Jews.’ ... Similarly, Rep. Matt Gaetz of Florida called the bill a ‘ridiculous hate speech bill.’ He added that while antisemitism is wrong, ‘this legislation is written without regard for the Constitution, common sense, or even the common understanding of the meaning of words.’ He maintained that one of the ‘contemporary examples of antisemitism’ included in the definition is ‘claims of Jews killing Jesus,’ adding that ‘the Bible is clear. There is no myth or controversy on this.’ The Anti-Defamation League considers the centuries-old belief that Jesus was killed by Jews to be an antisemitic myth used to justify violence against Jewish people... However, the idea quickly spread in the far-right community, with Charlie Kirk, a Republican activist, asking rhetorically on social media, ‘Did the House of Representatives just make parts of the Bible illegal?’ Conservative commentator Tucker Carlson responded, saying ‘yes’ and ‘The New Testament.’ ... Other hard-right opponents of the bill included Rep. Lauren Boebert of Colorado, Rep. Anna Paulina Luna of Florida, Rep. Chip Roy of Texas, Rep. Paul Gosar of Arizona and Rep. Andy Biggs of Arizona.”

Any man with a ounce of good will, common sense, and is not afraid of telling the truth should oppose the other points. For example, some apostate Jews do not idolize all Jews and the State of Israel and thus know that there are bad Jews and bad Jewish leaders who do criminal or other sinful things and that this Act would silence any such criticism or punishing of them. And all who profess to uphold the Freedom of Speech should also oppose this bill or else they are hypocrites

Ibid: “*Why Is the Bill Getting Criticism from Democrats?* “The bill text also drew sharp criticism from some Democrats, who argued it is too broad and infringes on free speech rights. Seventy Democrats voted against the bill in the House along with 21 Republicans. Rep. Jerry Nadler of New York, a Jewish lawmaker who described himself as a ‘deeply committed Zionist,’ urged his colleagues to vote against the ‘misguided’ bill because it ‘threatens to chill constitutionally protected speech.’ ‘Speech that is critical of Israel – alone – does not constitute unlawful discrimination. By encompassing purely political speech about Israel into Title VI’s ambit, the bill sweeps too broadly.’ He opted to vote against it. He was joined by Democratic Rep. Jake Auchincloss of Massachusetts, who said in a statement that he didn’t support it because he believed it to be unconstitutional. ‘The IHRA definition of antisemitism is a critical tool for the federal government that the Department of Education already uses to understand and address antisemitism. But, as the Foundation for Individual Rights & Expression has persuasively argued and as even the author of IHRA has asserted, codifying IHRA as the only definition for Title VI investigations will unconstitutionally constrain academic freedom.’

Auchincloss was referring to Kenneth Stern, an antisemitism expert at the American Jewish Committee who helped draft the working definition. He later came out against its current usage, saying it was never meant to ‘chill’ free speech on college campuses. ‘Anyone who cares about education should be focusing on not only how to respond in the moment but how we can work collaboratively to build a better campus for the future,’ wrote Stern...

“The bill’s text drew the ire of civil rights groups, which agreed that it went too far. ‘This misguided and harmful bill is a direct attack on the First Amendment,’ Chris Anders, director of ACLU’s Democracy and Technology Policy Division, said in a statement. He added that ‘addressing rising antisemitism is critically important, but sacrificing American’s free speech rights is not the way to solve that problem.’ ‘This bill would throw the full weight of the federal government behind an effort to stifle criticism of Israel and risks politicizing the enforcement of federal civil rights statutes precisely when their robust protections are most needed. The Senate must block this bill before it’s too late,’ he said. Tyler Coward, lead counsel for government affairs at the Foundation for Individual Rights and Expression, a non-profit civil liberties group, tells U.S. News that it opposes the bill because it ‘would chill speech on the Israeli-Palestinian conflict in the exact places where these difficult conversations and debates should be occurring – on our nation’s campuses.’ ‘The Antisemitism Awareness Act would require the U.S. Department of Education to adopt an unconstitutionally vague and overbroad definition of antisemitism that would pressure schools to investigate and censor students for speech protected by the First Amendment,’ says Coward. He adds that lawmakers should reject the ‘chilling legislation’ and called on the Senate to instead ‘pass a bill that equips the Department of Education and our colleges and universities with the tools they need to combat unlawful harassment on campus without infringing on free expression.’ ...Similarly, Rep. Pramila Jayapal of Washington, who also voted against it, said, ‘By putting forward divisive bills that misrepresent what is and isn’t antisemitism, the GOP is muddying the waters on this important issue. Congress should be spending our time and efforts to meaningfully combat antisemitism.’ Other opponents of the bill include progressive Democratic Rep. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez of New York, Rep. Ilhan Omar of Minnesota, Rep. Rashida Tlaib of Michigan and Rep. Cori Bush of Missouri.”

For the glory of God; in honor of the Blessed Virgin Mary, St. Michael, St. Joseph, Ss. Joachim and Anne, St. John the Baptist, the other angels and saints; and for the salvation of men

Original version: 8/2024; Current version: 8/2024

Mary’s Little Remnant

302 East Joffre St.

Truth or Consequences, New Mexico 87901-2878, USA

Website: www.JohnTheBaptist.us