

On RJMI's Changing Beliefs

Questions to RJMI

Mr. X: Hi, I have a question. In Richard's "Second Coming of Jesus Christ" 1996 video, he has corrected himself about Vatican II & the antipopes. So, the people who were in his group back in the 90's believe the same way as Richard and died before Richard corrected his belief, are they in hell?

Mr. Y: RJMI corrects a lot of things. What happens to those people who believe him, then they died, then Richard changes his beliefs after they have died?

RJMI's Reply

Thank you for your good questions.

I did not come into the Catholic Church right away, overnight. It was a long journey coming into the Church, just as Abraham's was. Those who followed me were in the same boat of being outside the Catholic Church but moving toward the Catholic Church and thus not moving further away or stagnating. One reason I was not in the Catholic Church is because I was adhering to non-Catholic churches and attending Masses at non-Catholic churches, in which case inculpable ignorance does not excuse. I quote from my article, *How Catholic Churches Become Non-Catholic Churches*:

"Definition of a Local Church: One way that baptized men manifest their beliefs is by the local church they adhere to. A local church is the church that people go to for religious services and to learn their faith. If that church is a non-Catholic church, even if it calls itself a Catholic church, then all the people who adhere to it, infants included, are guilty of the mortal sin of schism because they adhere to a non-Catholic church and thus do not adhere to the true Catholic Church even if they think they do."

Another reason is that I denied a basic dogma for believing Catholics are allowed to be in religious communion with heretics for the sake of receiving the sacraments. I relied upon heretical nominal Catholic theologians and invalid and heretical laws. But common Catholic sense should have prevailed, and eventually did, as I renounced my formal heresy in this regard. A basic reading of the Bible condemns this heresy. And upon further research, I discovered that the Catholic Church's ordinary magisterium from Pentecost Day in AD 33 condemned this heresy and then the solemn magisterium condemned it.

Another reason is because I did not condemn all the so-called Catholics and so-called Catholic churches that promoted the belief in Santa Claus as idolatrous bastards. Santa Claus is as false a god as they come. He claims the power of God. He knows all things, sees all things, judges all things (the good and the evil), can go anywhere, and can punish you. If that is not a false god, I do not know what is. Yet, every nominal Catholic I grew up with and knew promoted this false god. On this point alone, they were all non-Catholic idolaters. And any so-called Catholic church that promotes or allows Santa Claus is a non-Catholic church. When I was coming into the Church, I always hated Santa Claus and knew he was a false god, but I did not condemn the nominal Catholics and nominal Catholic churches that promoted or allowed Santa Claus as idolaters.

Hence, those who followed me when I made the Second Coming speech in 1996 were outside the Catholic Church, as I was. However, we were moving into the Catholic Church one step at a time, as the evidence presented itself to us. If those who followed me were ultimately of good will, God would not have let them die until they entered the Catholic Church and died in a state of grace.

Also, once in the Catholic Church, a Catholic can err regarding the facts about a person, such as referring to a formal heretic or idolater as a Catholic because of lack of evidence that shows he was a formal heretic or idolater. Or they can err the other way by believing a Catholic was a formal heretic or idolater based upon false evidence, only to later discover he was not a formal heretic or idolater and thus he was Catholic. None of these false judgments are sinful due to inculpable ignorance.

Also, when I was inside the Catholic Church, some of the dogmas I denied (such as regarding the Holy Eucharist¹) were deeper dogmas that I was inculpably ignorant of, and thus I was only a material heretic and hence I was Catholic. When I discovered the evidence regarding these deeper dogmas, I renounced the heresies and professed the dogmas. If I did not, I would have become a formal heretic and thus would have fallen outside the Catholic Church. The same applies to all who follow me or belong to my religious order.²

So you can choose to stay where you are in heresy, idolatry, or schism, or move forward into the Catholic Church. It does no good to stay in a burning house, especially after you know it is burning; either way, you burn and die unless you get out.

I will make it easy for you. I am the only person I know who is teaching the full deposit of the Catholic faith. For example, no one that I know of who calls himself Catholic condemns as heresy, idolatry, or immoral, the desecration of Catholic places with images of false gods, heretics, or immorality; and if they do, they do not condemn the desecrators and those who consent to the desecrations by sins of commission or omission as heretics, idolaters, or immoral.³ That is just one reason of many why I am the only person I know of who is teaching the full deposit of the Catholic faith. So, if you want to be Catholic and have a hope to save your soul, follow me.

Sincerely,

Richard Joseph Michael Ibranyi (RJMI)

For the glory of God; in honor of the Blessed Virgin Mary, St. Michael, St. Joseph, Ss. Joachim and Anne, St. John the Baptist, the other angels and saints; and for the salvation of men

Original version: 7/2022; Current version: 7/2022

Mary's Little Remnant

302 East Joffre St.

Truth or Consequences, New Mexico 87901-2878, USA

Website: www.JohnTheBaptist.us

¹ See RJMI book *Some Dogmas and Heresies regarding Confirmation and the Holy Eucharist*.

² See RJMI's *Catechism Excerpt 1: On Revelation, Infallibility, Dogmas, Heresy, and Schism: Heresy and heretics*.

³ See RJMI book *The Desecration of Catholic Places*.