

What's New?

What's New Archive

What's New Archive 2013

12/31/13 - Added *Mary's Little Remnant Catholic Calendar 2014* to the "Pastoral Menu."

12/23/13 - New RJMI audio sermon:

- **Men Must Do Penance** (12/13)

This lecture teaches that penance is necessary for salvation. It also teaches the reasons for, benefits of, and types of penance. It also warns that penance done for wrong motives is not only worthless but also sinful.

10/4/13 - New RJMI video and audio:

- **The Catholic Funeral and Burial of Our Brother Louis Wurth**

The first member of Mary's Little Remnant to die was our Brother Louis Wurth. He died on September 24, 2013, on the Feast Day of Our Lady of Ransom. He was 97 years old. God raised Brother Louis from the dead on Tuesday, August 26, 2008, and, as we have good hope to believe, kept him alive long enough to enter the Catholic Church and be in a state of grace. I say good hope because we had many signs that he is in purgatory. But if he is not and thus is in the hell of the damned, then so be it. God's will be done! The video contains excerpts from his funeral and burial. It can be accessed on the new video menu titled "Religious Ceremonies." The audio is the eulogy. It can be accessed on the "RJMI Audio Lectures" menu and is titled "The Eulogy for Brother Louis Wurth."

10/4/13 - New link added to the "Videos" menu:

- **Religious Ceremonies**

10/2/13 - New RJMI audio sermon:

- **Men Must Be Frugal** (9/29/13)

Frugality means to not waste things and to budget your money by not spending more than you make. It means buying things at the best prices, recycling or reusing things

when you can, not wasting utilities or food, not replacing things that are working well enough, and doing without things that you cannot afford.

9/18/13 - New RJMI audio sermon:

- **Men Must Learn the Catholic Faith to Be Saved** (9/8/13)

To be saved, men must make a diligent and sincere effort to learn the Catholic faith. To learn the Catholic faith, men must ask God to open their understanding and give them true wisdom. And they must have humility and desire to live a moral life and obey all of God's commandments. If not, then they will not get true wisdom and thus will not truly understand what they are learning, "for wisdom will not enter into a malicious soul, nor dwell in a body subject to sins." (Wis. 1:4) St. Peter says the "unstable wrest...the...scriptures...to their own destruction." (2 Pt. 3:16) That is why there are almost as many Protestant religions as there are Protestants and why there are so many nominal Catholic churches and religions.

9/5/13 - New *MLR Catholic Crossfire News* report:

- **Justice for George Zimmerman** (8/31/13)

In this broadcast, William George Norris exposes the lies and hypocrisy of the media, politicians, United States government, and the citizens of the United States regarding the unjust arrest and trial of George Zimmerman in the aftermath of his shooting of Trayvon Martin in self defense on February 26, 2012.

9/4/13 - New RJMI audio sermon:

- **Sins That Send Men to Hell** (9/1/13)

Proof that you love God is that you obey all of His commandments. And no one can be saved unless he truly loves God and thus unless he truly obeys all of God's commandments. Jesus said, "If thou wilt enter into life, keep the commandments." (Mt. 19:17) When men disobey even one of God's commandments, they sin. This sermon lists a few of the many sins that send men to hell.

8/29/13 - New RJMI audio sermon:

- **Men Must Love God to Be Saved** (8/25/13)

This sermon teaches that to be saved men must love God, and loving God means loving the truth and obeying all of God's commandments. If you do not love the truth and obey all of God's commandments, you do not really love God no matter how

much you may *think* or *feel* that you love Him.

8/24/13 – New RJMI article:

- **On RJMI Works**

This two-page article lists the changes that have been made or need to be made to my works due to new evidence or to heresies I held.

8/24/13 – Revised and updated RJMI article:

- **RJMI's Position and Authority**

This two-page article updates RJMI's position and shortened the part on RJMI's authority to publicly teach the Catholic faith.

8/24/13 – The look and layout of the *Saint John the Baptist Website* has been slightly modified.

8/20/13 – New RJMI audio sermon:

- **Few Are Saved (8/11/13)**

From the fall of Adam to the end of the world, only few men will be saved. God has told us this, time and time and time again. Not only will all unbelievers (which during the New Covenant era are all non-Catholics) be damned to eternal hell, but most of God's chosen people also. Mankind is so evil that at times God has said that none are good, no not so much as one. And that includes God's chosen people. And thus God tells us that many times all of His religious rulers and secular rulers apostatized along with all the priests and all the laymen. Let this be a warning to nominal Catholics who think that the Catholic Church always has to have a pope, cardinals, and priests in order to survive. God teaches the opposite. Regarding His second coming, Jesus Christ says, "Yet the Son of man when he cometh, shall he find, think you, faith on earth?" (St. Luke 18:8)

8/15/13 – New RJMI article:

- **St. Augustine on Formal Heretics and the Salvation Dogma**

This article presents St. Augustine's allowable opinion that the *only* way baptized men become formal heretics is by the culpable doubt or denial of a dogma, be it a deeper dogma or a basic dogma. According to this opinion, then, some baptized men who adhere to non-Catholic sects, such as Protestants, are not formal heretics if they

do not culpably doubt or deny a dogma. But it is not an allowable opinion and thus is heresy to believe that these baptized men are inside the Catholic Church and thus Catholic. It is a basic dogma that baptized men who do not adhere to the Catholic Church are outside the Catholic Church and thus not Catholic and a deeper dogma that they are formal schismatics. St. Augustine held both of these dogmas and thus upheld the Salvation Dogma. St. Augustine also taught that a so-called Catholic who does not know or inculpably doubts or denies a basic dogma cannot be Catholic, cannot be Christian. Yet he also taught that this man cannot be a formal heretic and thus taught by implication that he is a catechumen preparing to enter the Catholic Church as soon as he knows and believes all the basic dogmas. This article also presents my allowable opinion that every baptized man who does not adhere to the Catholic Church is a formal heretic for every heresy he holds.

8/14/13 - New RJMI articles:

- **The Catholic Way to Read about or View Idols, False Gods, and False Religions**
- **When Naked Does Not Mean Immodestly Naked**

8/6/13 - New RJMI audio sermon:

- **God Loves All Men and Wants All Men to Be Saved (8/4/13)**

Even though God loves some men and races more than others, He nevertheless loves all men and all races and wants all men to be saved. "But thou hast mercy upon all, because thou canst do all things, and overlookest the sins of men for the sake of repentance. For thou lovest all things that are and hatest none of the things which thou hast made: for thou didst not appoint or make any thing hating it." (Wis. 11:24-25) "God our Saviour, who will have all men to be saved and to come to the knowledge of the truth." (1 Tim. 2:3-4) Hence God even loved and wanted to save the obstinately evil Canaanites, even though He knew most of them would not be saved.

8/3/13 - Additions and corrections to RJMI video and audio lecture *The Desecration of St. Peter's Basilica: Culpability Regarding Desecrations:*

- **Corrections:**

I said that all so-called Catholics who do not condemn notorious immoral desecrations as heretical are formal heretics. This is not true. There are certain circumstances in which they would only be material heretics. It is a deeper dogma that notorious desecrations against morals are heretical. Hence a Catholic who is inculpably ignorant of this deeper dogma and thus does not condemn the desecration

as heretical is only a material heretic. But he must at least condemn it as immoral, denounce all who support or allow it as guilty of the mortal sin of immorality, and not attend religious services in the desecrated place.

I said that all so-called Catholics who include in the Te Igitur prayer of the Mass a so-called officeholder who they know is not Catholic are formal heretics. This is not true. There are certain circumstances in which a Catholic who includes a non-Catholic so-called officeholder in the Te Igitur prayer of the Mass is only a material heretic. (See Point 13 below.)

What follows is a list of the thirteen points from the “Culpability Regarding Desecrations” section of the video:

1. Catholics who are guilty of the mortal sin of heresy or idolatry are formal heretics or idolaters and thus are not Catholic.
2. So-called Catholics who support or allow desecrations against the faith are guilty of the mortal sin of heresy or idolatry and thus are not Catholic.
3. So-called Catholics who support or allow desecrations against morals are guilty of the mortal sin of immorality. And if these desecrations are notorious, then they are also guilty of the mortal sin of heresy and thus are not Catholic.
4. So-called Catholics who do not condemn immoral, heretical, or idolatrous desecrations as immoral, heresy, or idolatry are guilty of a mortal sin of omission and a mortal sin of immorality, heresy, or idolatry.
5. So-called Catholics who do not denounce those who support or allow immoral, heretical, or idolatrous desecrations as immoral, heretics, or idolaters are guilty of a mortal sin of omission and a mortal sin of immorality, heresy, or idolatry.
6. So-called Catholics who are knowingly in religious communion with those who support or allow desecrations against the faith are guilty of a mortal sin of heresy or idolatry and thus are not Catholic.
7. So-called Catholics who are knowingly in religious communion with those who support or allow notorious desecrations against morals and know the deeper dogma that these desecrations are heretical are guilty of the mortal sin of heresy and thus are not Catholic.
8. Places notoriously desecrated with images against the faith or morals are non-Catholic places. Hence a church notoriously desecrated with images against the faith or morals is a non-Catholic church. (See RJMI book *The Desecration of*

Catholic Places: The Effects of Desecrations.)

9. So-called Catholics, infants included, who belong to a church notoriously desecrated with images against the faith or morals are outside the Catholic Church and thus not Catholic because they adhere to a non-Catholic church.
10. So-called Catholics who visit desecrated places and know about the desecrations but nevertheless attend religious services in these places are guilty of a mortal sin of heresy or idolatry if the desecrations are against the faith. Or they are guilty of a mortal sin of immorality if the desecrations are against morals; and if the immoral desecrations are notorious and they know the deeper dogma that these desecrations are heretical, then they are also guilty of the mortal sin of heresy and thus are not Catholic.
11. It is a deeper dogma that non-Catholics are banned from holding offices in the Catholic Church. Hence all who support or allow any kind of desecration against the faith or notorious desecrations against morals are banned from holding offices. If they have an office, they automatically lose it. If they are candidates for offices, they cannot validly obtain the offices. Catholics who know or should know this deeper dogma must denounce these offenders as non-officeholders, under pain of the mortal sin of heresy.
12. It is a deeper dogma that non-Catholics must not be included in the *Te Igitur* prayer of the Mass.
13. Catholics who are inculpably ignorant of the deeper dogmas that non-Catholics are banned from holding offices and that non-Catholics must not be included in the *Te Igitur* prayer of the Mass and thus include a so-called officeholder who they know is not Catholic are only material heretics. But they must nevertheless denounce him as a non-Catholic heretic or idolater and not be in religious communion with him. In the *Te Igitur* prayer Catholics pray *for* not *with* the people mentioned in it. Hence the *Te Igitur* prayer is not an act of religious communion with those mentioned in it. It is an act of governmental communion and Church communion. But in this case the Catholics do not intend to include the non-Catholic putative officeholder in the part of the *Te Igitur* prayer “for all orthodox believers” because they know they are not in Church communion with him because they know he is not Catholic. Hence they only intend to include him in the part of the prayer for the officeholders and thus only intend to be in governmental communion with him because they believe he holds the office. (For an in-depth teaching on this topic, see RJMI book *Ban on Holding Offices: On praying for non-Catholic putative officeholders in the Te Igitur prayer of the Mass.*)

- **Abraham Was Not A Jew (7/28/13)**

Abraham was an Adamite, Semite, and Heberite but was not an Israelite or a Jew. The Israelite race began with Abraham's grandson Jacob (aka Israel) and Jacob's twelve sons and hence Abraham was not an Israelite or a Jew. The strict meaning of the word "Jew" is an Israelite of the tribe of Juda. But the most common meaning of the word Jew since the end of the Babylonian captivity includes all Israelites.

7/26/13 – New RJMI abjuration and audio lecture, both with the same title:

- **RJMI's Abjuration of July 2013**

What follows is the Introduction to my abjuration:

"I, Richard Joseph Michael Ibranyi, held several heresies that denied basic dogmas and thus was a formal heretic and not Catholic. I originally held these basic dogmas but then denied them because of my mortal sin of human respect for not wanting to condemn so-called popes and so-called saints and because of my mortal sin of allowing myself to be infected and corrupted by heretical so-called papal teachings and decrees, so-called sacred congregation decrees, so-called canon laws, canon law commentaries, catechisms, and theology books. I was also guilty of the mortal sin of presumption for presuming that the apostasy could not have been so great and for so long in spite of the *fact* that I knew that so-called popes and so-called saints taught and did things that were idolatrous, heretical, immoral, harmful, and contradictory. (For further explanation, see RJMI audio lecture *RJMI's Abjuration of July 2013*.)"

The abjuration document is in the "Catholic Forms" menu and the "RJMI Catholic Articles" menu.

7/26/13 –The following RJMI works have been corrected in regards to the dogmas listed in *RJMI's Abjuration of July 2013*:

Books

- Sin, Guilt, and the Passion of Christ
- Damned Infants
- Apostate Antipope Pius IX (*still being corrected*)
- Bad Books on Salvation
- Apostate Antipopes, Heretical Books, and the Salvation Heresy
- Baptism Controversy Revision

Articles

- Cajetan's and Bellarmine's Heresies on Formal Heretics and Loss of Papal Office
- "The Seven Necessary Things to Be Catholic," now titled "The Six Necessary Things to Be Catholic"

6/5/13 - New pictures from 2013 added to "Picture Gallery of MLR Good Friday Processions" on the "Pictures" menu.

5/18/13 (Vigil of Pentecost) - New RJMI video and audio lecture:

- **The Desecration of Saint Peter's Basilica** (Easter 2013)

This lecture is one piece of irrefutable proof that the Great Apostasy was great indeed in the 15th century when St. Peter's Basilica was desecrated with idols, false gods, false religions, immodesty, and pornography. And the desecration remains to this day! It proves that the apostate antipopes who approved or allowed this and other desecrations were as evil as the apostate antipopes of the Vatican II Church. And it proves that the new, heretical theology of scholasticism, which is the glorification of philosophy, led to these desecrations and many heresies, incompetencies, non-judgmentalism, non-punishmentalism, false saints, and massive immorality. Below is a list of the chapter headings of this three-hour lecture:

1. Idolatrous and immodest doors of St. Peter's Basilica "The Enemies on the Gates"
2. Apostate Catholics hide the evidence
3. Desecrations inside St. Peter's Basilica "The Enemies inside the Gates"
4. The hypocrisy of the "Entrance Sign" banning immodestly dressed visitors
5. The Renaissance desecrations were worse than the Vatican II Church's desecration of the Basilica of St. Francis of Assisi
6. Apostate Catholic Europeans are the same as Apostate Catholic Indians
7. What if St. Patrick would have had idols in his church?
8. Anathemas against idols and the desecration of holy places
9. Churches desecrated with idols and immodesty are non-Catholic churches
10. Culpability regarding desecrations
11. The idolatrous doors desecrated St. Peter's Basilica in 1445

12. Some of the heresies of the Great Apostasy
13. Some of the incompetencies
14. There have been no true popes or true cardinals since 1445 when St. Peter's Basilica was desecrated
15. Pedophilia prevalent in the 16th century
16. Idolatrous and immodest tombs in St. Peter's Basilica
17. Apostate Antipope Pius V gave idols to others and allowed them to remain on the doors and in other places
18. Apostate Antipope Pius X allowed naked and idolatrous art to remain in churches and other places
19. Other crimes of idolatry, heresy, and immorality
20. What led to the desecration and the unchaining of Satan
21. God will destroy St. Peter's Basilica
22. The Catholic way to learn about and exhibit idols and false gods
23. Unless you condemn the idolatry and idolaters, do not talk to us true Catholics

As the saying goes, a picture is worth a thousand words, and in this case a million words. It proves how perverse and corrupt their faith and theology were – even if one never studied the thousands of books, tomes, and summas of their perverse, corrupt, and heretical theology!

4/19/13 – Correction to RJMI lecture *Restoration of the Catholic Faith: 2012*

- **Correction:**

I corrected the parts in which I taught that religious communion with heretics makes the offenders gravely suspect of heresy and automatically excommunicated. The dogma is that religious communion with heretics makes the offenders *formal* heretics and automatically excommunicated.

3/12/13 – Two corrections are being made to RJMI works:

- **First correction on religious communion with heretics:**

My former position was that Catholics who enter into religious communion with non-Catholics (if the prayer or other act of religious communion is not heretical in itself) are gravely suspect of heresy and thus automatically excommunicated and not Catholic. The correct and dogmatic position is that they are not gravely suspect of heresy but are formal heretics. Their act of religious communion with non-Catholics is beyond suspicion. It is notorious! And it is a dogma from the promulgation of the Catholic Church on Pentecost Sunday that so-called Catholics who enter into any kind of religious communion with heretics, even if the religious act is orthodox, are formal heretics and thus not Catholic. Beware, then, of modern heretic theologians and modern heretical laws that teach that Catholics who enter into religious communion with non-Catholics are only *suspect* of heresy or that they are not automatically excommunicated, as does the heretical 1917 Code of Canon Law in Canons 2315-2316, which I condemned as null and void even in my former position because they do not automatically excommunicate these offenders.

To Summarize:

1. It is a basic dogma that all so-called Catholics who enter into any kind of religious communion with non-Catholics are guilty of mortal sin and are automatically excommunicated from the Catholic Church and thus are not Catholic. I always held this basic dogma.
2. It is a basic dogma that if the religious act is heretical or idolatrous in and of itself then the offender is a formal heretic or idolater. I always held this basic dogma.
3. It is a deeper dogma that if the religious act is orthodox in and of itself (such as the Our Father prayer) the offender is nevertheless a formal heretic for praying in communion with heretics. I have not always held this dogma. My old heretical position was that these offenders are automatically excommunicated for the grave suspicion of heresy instead of the dogmatic position that they are automatically excommunicated for formal heresy. I now hold this deeper dogma.

- **Second correction on sins of omission:**

My former position was that a Catholic who sins by omission for not professing the Catholic faith when he is obliged to becomes gravely suspect of heresy and thus is automatically excommunicated and not Catholic. The correct and dogmatic position is that he is not gravely suspect of heresy but is a formal heretic and thus this is the reason he is automatically excommunicated and not Catholic. The dogma is that men share fully in the guilt of the offence or offender they do not sufficiently condemn or sufficiently denounce. He who does not sufficiently condemn adultery is an adulterer.

A baptized man who does not sufficiently condemn heresy is a formal heretic. He who does not sufficiently denounce an adulterer is an adulterer. A baptized man who does not sufficiently denounce a heretic is a formal heretic. Hence a so-called Catholic can become a formal heretic not only by thought, word, and deed but also by omission.

RJMI works that have been corrected:

Articles

- Seven Necessary Things to Be Catholic
- Cajetan's and Bellarmine's Heresies on Formal Heretics and Loss of Papal Office
- Popes Do Not Always Condemn Their Predecessors' Crimes
- Popes, Antipopes, Possible Antipopes, and Presumed Antipopes

Books

- Sacraments without a Priest
- Apostate Antipopes, Heretical Books, and the Salvation Heresy
- Basic Dogmas

Refutations

- Against the Heretic Fr. Leonard Feeney
- Against the Heretic Thomas More

RJMI works removed until corrected:

Books

- Faith before the Mass and Sacraments
- Heresy and Heretics
- The Salvation Dogma

2/16/13 – New RJMI book and video lecture:

- **Book: Idolatrous World's Parliament of Religions of 1893**

If one thinks that the idolatrous and heretical interreligious abomination that took place at Assisi in 1986 was the first time that apostate Catholics respected false religions and false gods and entered into religious communion with self-professed non-Catholics, he would be mistaken. The rotten, corrupted, and putrid waters of this kind of abomination were first tested in 1893 in Chicago, USA, at the World's Parliament of Religions, in which apostate Catholic bishops, priests, laymen, and pretend Cardinals respected false gods and false religions and prayed in communion

with various self-professed non-Catholics, such as Hindus, Buddhists, Apostate Jews, Moslems, Protestants, Schismatics, etc. Apostate Antipope Leo XIII not only knew about the idolatrous and heretical World's Parliament of Religions and the nominal Catholic attendees but actually blessed it and them. After two years of more idolatrous and heretical interreligious events held or attended by nominal Catholics in the USA, Leo XIII received vehement complaints from conservatives who opposed these abominations. Only then did Apostate Antipope Leo XIII take action by banning the event planned for Paris and future events. But his response was not only woefully insufficient but also heretical because he did not condemn these events as idolatrous and heretical nor denounce the nominal Catholic attendees as automatically excommunicated idolaters and heretics. And he heretically referred to Protestants and other non-Catholics as "dissenting brethren."

- **Video/audio: Assisi 1893: Chicago's Idolatrous World's Parliament of Religions (2/2013)**

See description above.

2/2/13 - Two new RJMI video and audio lectures:

- **The Magisterium of the Catholic Church (Christmas 2012)**

This lecture teaches about the Catholic Church's magisterium, which consists of all the dogmas of the Catholic Church, the full deposit of the Catholic faith. Some of the things presented in this lecture are as follows:

1. The solemn magisterium is all the infallible papal teachings on faith and morals;
2. The ordinary magisterium is all the teachings of the unanimous consensus of the Church Fathers on faith and morals. These teachings are infallible and are known as ordinary magisterium dogmas. The first Church Fathers were the twelve apostles;
3. The solemn and the ordinary magisterium were created by Jesus Christ and promulgated by the Holy Spirit speaking through the twelve apostles on Pentecost Sunday;
4. The ordinary magisterium was promulgated and used (exercised) before the solemn magisterium. On Pentecost Sunday the dogma of the ordinary magisterium was first promulgated and then the ordinary magisterium promulgated the dogma of the solemn magisterium, of papal infallibility;
5. The dogma of the ordinary magisterium (the infallibility of the unanimous consensus of the Church Fathers on faith and morals) was an ordinary

magisterium dogma from Pentecost Sunday. The first time it became a solemn magisterium dogma, from the information I have, was in 451 by Pope St. Leo the Great at the Council of Chalcedon. It was then a dogma of both the ordinary and the solemn magisterium;

6. The dogma of the solemn magisterium (of papal infallibility) was an ordinary magisterium dogma from Pentecost Sunday. The first time it became a solemn magisterium dogma, from the information I have, was in 517 by Pope St. Hormisdas in his profession of faith titled *Libellus Professionis Fidei*.
7. The dogma of papal supremacy was an ordinary magisterium dogma from Pentecost Sunday. The first time it became a solemn magisterium dogma, from the information I have, was in 381 by Pope St. Damasus I at the First Council of Constantinople.

- **The Restoration of the Catholic Faith: 2012** (12/2012)

This lecture teaches how 2012 was a very important year but not for the reasons other men thought it would be. It was a big year in restoring the Catholic faith and exposing the extent of the Great Apostasy, as it reaches back to the 13th century and involves many apostate antipopes, heretical theologians, and false saints. We at Mary's Little Remnant learned some deeper dogmas this year. Simony bans offenders from holding offices, even from holding the papal office. Secret formal heresy bans offenders from holding offices, even from holding the papal office. Hence it is possible to have a man who everyone thinks is the pope but is not the pope because of secret crimes that ban him from holding the papal office. And we learned the deeper dogma that popes can be brought to trial for notorious crimes and juridically judged, sentenced, and deposed.

This lecture teaches the ordinary magisterium deeper dogma that all non-Catholics are banned from holding offices. Hence any officeholder who becomes a formal heretic automatically loses his office because he is not Catholic and thus not a member of the Catholic Church. This was taught by the unanimous consensus of the Church Fathers and thus is an ordinary magisterium dogma.

This lecture also teaches the basic dogma that all formal heretics, and thus even secret formal heretics, are automatically excommunicated and hence are not Catholic and thus not members of the Catholic Church. It shows how the notorious heretics Cajetan and Bellarmine denied this basic dogma and how Cajetan even believed that public formal heretics (notorious heretics), such as Protestants, are Catholic and members of the Catholic Church.

2/2/13 – Corrections and additions to the following two RJMI articles:

- **History of the Solemn and the Ordinary Magisterium**

The dogma of the ordinary magisterium was first made a dogma of the solemn magisterium in 451 by Pope St. Leo the Great at the Council of Chalcedon and not at the invalid Council of Trent. The Council of Trent was invalid because Apostate Antipope Pius IV approved it. Apostate Antipope Pius IV did not condemn notorious astrologers and was in religious communion with them. And he glorified the pagan classics (pagan religions and their false gods).

I added the following: The ordinary magisterium dogma of papal supremacy was first made a solemn magisterium dogma in 381 by Pope St. Damasus I at the First Council of Constantinople.

- **Banned from Office for Simony or Secret Formal Heresy**

I originally presented the Fifth Lateran Council and Paul IV's *Cum ex Apostolatus Officio* as valid. But they are invalid and thus fallible because apostate antipopes approved them. The Fifth Lateran Council's Session 5 on simony and *Cum ex Apostolatus Officio* are invalid and thus fallible because they were approved by apostate antipopes, Leo X and Paul IV. Apostate Antipope Leo X practiced astrology. He was also guilty of many other crimes. And Apostate Antipope Paul IV did not condemn notorious astrologers and was in religious communion with them. And Paul IV also culpably glorified scholasticism and the notorious heretic Thomas Aquinas. He was guilty of other crimes also. (See RJMI book *Apostate Antipopes*.) Nevertheless, both of these decrees, the Fifth Lateran Council's Session 5 on simony and *Cum ex Apostolatus Officio*, teach the dogmas that simony and formal heresy, even secret formal heresy, ban offenders from holding offices, as infallibly defined by the unanimous consensus of the Church Fathers and by previous popes.

1/11/13 - Correction to RJMI articles:

- **Fallible Vatican Council of 1870**

It is now titled "Invalid Vatican Council of 1870." The lack of papal approval makes its disciplinary decrees null and void and its decrees on faith or morals fallible. Below is a quote from the first paragraph of the article:

"The Vatican Council of 1870 is invalid because it lacks papal approval. Apostate Antipope Pius IX approved it. Hence its disciplinary decrees are null and void and its decrees on faith or morals are fallible. The Vatican Council could become an ecumenical council if a true pope officially approves it."

- **Banned from Office for Simony or Secret Formal Heresy**

The Fifth Lateran Council is most probably an invalid council. Below is the pertinent addition to the article:

“In 1517 in the Fifth Lateran Council’s Session 5, Julius II re-defined the dogma that simony renders one automatically incapable of holding an office. Before I quote the council, it must be noted that it is most probable that the Fifth Lateran Council is invalid because it is most probable that the so-called popes who called and approved it, Julius II and Leo X, were apostate antipopes for practicing astrology:

‘The History of the Popes, by Ludwig Pastor, 1898:

‘Vol. 5, Introduction, Part II, pp. 148-149: “Astrology was so bound up with Italian life that many even of the Popes, Sixtus IV., Julius II., Leo X., and still later Paul III. were influenced by the notions of their time.”

‘Vol. 6, b. 2, c. 2, p. 233: “Everything depended on the attitude taken up by the new Pope [Julius II], whose coronation took place with great pomp on 28th November, 1503.†

‘Footnote †: “See also Appendix, N. 18, *Desp. of Nov. 20. On the Coronation itself, the day for which had been fixed in accordance with the horoscope taken by the astrologers...”

“Hence if it is true that Julius II and Leo X practiced astrology, then the Fifth Lateran Council is invalid because it lacks papal approval and thus its disciplinary decrees are null and void and its decrees on faith or morals are fallible. Nevertheless, its decree on simony reflects the solemn and ordinary magisterium dogma that simony bans all offenders from holding offices and thus even the papal office, as infallibly defined by the unanimous consensus of the Church Fathers and by past popes.”

Mary's Little Remnant

302 East Joffre Street

Truth or Consequences, New Mexico 87901-2878

Website: www.JohnTheBaptist.us

(Send for a free catalog)