My Trip to the CMRI and SSPX

XXX

R. J. M. I.

By

The Precious Blood of Jesus Christ,
The Grace of the God of the Holy Catholic Church,
The Mediation of the Blessed Virgin Mary,
Our Lady of Good Counsel and Crusher of Heretics,
The Protection of Saint Joseph, Patriarch of the Holy Family,
The Intercession of Saint Michael the Archangel
and the cooperation of

Richard Joseph Michael Ibranyi

To Jesus through Mary

Judica me Deus, et discerne causam meaum de gente non sancta as homine iniquo et doloso erue me

Ad Majorem Dei Gloriam

Original version: 9/2004; Current version: 9/2004

Mary's Little Remnant 302 East Joffre St. TorC, NM 87901-2878 Website: <u>www.JohnTheBaptist.us</u> (Send for a free catalog)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| INTRODUCTION | 5 |
|---|----|
| THE CMRI CONFRONTATION | 5 |
| GOD'S MERCY AND THE DOGMA | |
| ENLIGHTENMENT AND THE DOGMA | |
| INVINCIBLE IGNORANCE AND THE DOGMA | 8 |
| CMRI DOES NOT EVANGELIZE | 8 |
| Faith come by hearing not only by good deeds | 9 |
| Lost sheep are left lost | 9 |
| CMRI's non-evangelizing singing nuns | 10 |
| SUPERNATURAL FAITH AND THE DOGMA | |
| THE SSPX CONFRONTATION | 12 |
| Fr. Darcy implies laymen cannot detect heresy and denounce heretics | 12 |
| FR. DARCY IMPLIES THE TEN COMMANDMENTS IS THE OLD COVENANT | |
| Fr. Darcy denies the Salvation Dogma | 15 |
| SSPX IDOLIZES ITS DEMIGOD, BISHOP LEFEBVRE | 16 |



Introduction

From July 16 to July 20, 2004, I visited Mr. A's house in Spokane, Washington (CMRI Land). The trip was very fruitful. Mr. A has gathered together a good group of zealous Catholics who have abjured from the Great Apostasy. The main purpose of my visit was for their edification and further progress in the Catholic faith, that they may become holy and perfect as God is (Mt. 5:48; 1 Pt. 1:16), to let them know that entering the Church is just the beginning and no guarantee of salvation. One must not only profess the Catholic faith but also live it. If Catholics do not live the faith they profess, they give God a bad name, place their souls on the road to hell, and scandalize and thus prohibit the conversion of non-Catholics.

It was wonderful to see true Catholics on fire for the Catholic faith and not afraid to profess and defend it. It was especially wonderful to see the children doing the same and proof that the Catholic faith can even be known, professed, and defended by children, as God demands, and as proven by the many children that died as martyrs. It was reminiscent of the beginning of Joel's prophecy that speaks of the Holy Ghost being poured out in a special way in these final days:

"And it shall come to pass after this, that I will pour out my spirit upon all flesh: and your sons and your daughters shall prophesy: your old men shall dream dreams, and your young men shall see visions. Moreover, upon my servants and handmaids in those days I will pour forth my spirit." (Joel 2:28-29)

This was first fulfilled in a miraculous and public way on Pentecost Sunday and will happen again with Mary's Little Remnant. We are now waiting in the upper room for God's power and miracles to go before us. We are being purified and perfected so that we may be worthy of God's favor, protection, and miracles. "Work your work before the time, and he will give you your reward in his time." (Eclcus. 51:38) Without miracles and manifestations of God's power, there will be no mass conversions nor will we be able to survive during the reign of the Antichrist. God is preparing us to battle against the Antichrist, to give Him glory, and to uncompromisingly call souls to conversion by our words and example. God would never give such favors to those who are in any way in religious communion with non-Catholics. Instead, only God's wrath is being laid up in store for them.

God has already shown the faithful of Mary's Little Remnant manifest signs of His power and protection in many different and miraculous ways. But, sadly, not all of Mary's Little Remnant remains faithful, some fall away from the Catholic faith and others do not live a good Catholic life. They love the flesh and world more than God. It is one thing to profess the faith and another to live it.

The CMRI Confrontation

One fruit of my visit may be potential converts, whom I, Mr. A, his wife Mrs. B, and others spoke with at different times and in different places. It is my hope that all of the CMRI members will abjure their association with that non-Catholic sect and enter the Catholic Church to have a hope to be saved. God is going to draw those of good will out of the CMRI sect and the rest will go down into everlasting perdition. The leader of the sect in Spokane is the non-Catholic, heretic, and schismatic Fr. Casimir Puskorius. An

abjured Catholic woman called Fr. Casimir to set up a meeting with me at his office, and he refused the invitation. Some shepherd of souls! If he believes he has the truth, he should not have feared to meet with me.

Nevertheless, one of his non-Catholic followers, Mr. X, at least had the courage to meet with me (albeit, armed with a tape recorder). I pray that God will grant Mr. X a special grace of conversion for his courage misguided as it is. He taped our discussion (which turned into a heated confrontation) in which he was caught denying a dogma that he later affirmed. I told him that I hoped he was taping our confrontation so Fr. Casimir and others would hear it and thus aid in their conversion. I also asked one of his friends to get me a copy of the tape. I have not yet received the tape so I am paraphrasing what took place without changing the essential meaning of the questions and answers. Mr. A, his wife Mrs. B, and Christina (a recent convert and newly baptized Catholic woman) offered good Catholic testimony during the confrontation.

During the confrontation, I did not get a chance to mention all the following reasons that the CMRI is a non-Catholic sect: 1) it heretically teaches Catholics can knowingly pray in communion with non-Catholics by attending their Masses, thus encouraging its attendees to commit six mortal sins every time they do. For instance, it allows its attendees to attend the Masses of the non-Catholic Society of St. Pius X whom they doctrinally oppose. (See my book Faith Before the Mass); 2) it does not require fallen away Catholics to take specific abjurations as the Church requires, and it does not require its attendees to consent to position papers, and therefore, it has no way of knowing what they believe, point-by-point, regarding the many, prevalent heresies of these days, which fosters sacrilegious receptions of Holy Communion and active religious communication with non-Catholics. (See my book *The Abjuration from the Great Apostasy*); **3)** it defends the non-Catholic Bishop Noe Thuc and traces its line to him, and thus, it is a schismatic (illegal) sect that also shares in the heresies of Bishop Thuc by way of association. (See my book Against the Thucites); 4) it teaches that Natural Family Planning, also known as the Rhythm Method, is not contraception, which is heresy. (See my book *Natural Family* Planning is Contraception.)

Limited by time, the main point I covered with Mr. X was the CMRI's denial of the salvation dogma, which is the root of its problem. I asked Mr. X, "Does a Jew who dies not believing in the Most Holy Trinity go to hell?" He said, "I do not know." I then denounced him as a heretic for denying the salvation dogma. I then quoted from parts of the below Pope Eugene IV's Bull *Cantate Domino* that infallibly teaches they go to hell.

Pope Eugene IV, Council of Florence, Bull *Cantate Domino*, 1441: "The most Holy Roman Catholic Church firmly believes, professes, and preaches that none of those existing outside the Catholic Church, not only pagans, but also Jews, heretics and schismatics, can have a share in life eternal; but that they will go into the eternal fire which was prepared for the devil and his angels, unless before death they are joined with Her; and that so important is the unity of this ecclesiastical body that only those remaining within this unity can receive an eternal recompense for their fasts, their almsgivings, their other works of Christian piety and the duties of a Christian soldier. No one, let his almsgiving be as great as it may, no one, even if he pour out his blood for the name of Christ, can be saved, unless he remain within the bosom and the unity of the Catholic Church."

In order to believe in and profess this dogma, Mr. X had to answer that the dead Jew who died not believing in the Most Holy Trinity is in hell. Anything less is heresy. I denounced Mr. X as a heretic and said, "You are cursed by God." He said Jesus does not

judge or curse him. I said, "Oh yes He does! St. Paul says, 'but though we, or an angel from heaven, preach a gospel to you besides that which we have preached to you, let him be anathema." (Gal. 1:18) Anathema means you are cursed by God!" The gospel and the Catholic Church infallibly teach that all men who die not believing in Jesus Christ and the Most Holy Trinity go to hell. Since Mr. X said he did not know what happens to them, he is preaching another gospel. That either makes him anathema or God a liar.

God's mercy and the dogma

To sway the sentiments of men, the salvation heretics accuse us of being unmerciful or uncharitable when we profess what type of men are on the road to hell and what type of dead men are in hell, when, in fact, it is they who are unmerciful and uncharitable, because their heresy promises the possibility of salvation to those who are on the road to hell, giving them a false confidence of salvation. It also leads to silence, the lack of evangelizing non-Catholics. They imply that if God damned even one soul to hell, He would be unmerciful or unjust. And, yet, they must admit that the just punishment of both original sin and actual mortal sin is Hell, therefore each and every soul that is in Hell is there in pure Justice. That is true justice. True mercy and true charity is warning people of that horrible truth before it is too late! That is what the Salvation Dogma is all about, knowing what type of men are on the road to hell so as to warn them and warn others not to follow them.

Mr. X evaded answering the same question that was put to him many times: "Does a Moslem who dies not believing in the Most Holy Trinity go to hell?" (Meaning he dies as a Moslem) Instead of answering the question, he said, "God's mercy is infinite and has no limits. I cannot judge him. I am more merciful than you." The context in which he applies God's mercy is false, because God does condemn most people to hell (Mt. 7:13-14; 20:6). This does not reconcile with his heretical idea of God's mercy. Mr. X opens the gates of heaven to dead men whom God infallibly says cannot enter heaven. In fact, if just one man was in hell, Mr. X's idea of God's mercy is disproved. His false mercy implies that God is a liar and would be unjust for sending men to hell for not believing in what God Himself infallibly said they must believe to be saved. Therefore, a Catholic's assent to the true and only meaning of the salvation dogma does not require delving into or even understanding God's mercy and justice as it relates to the dogma, but only a simple assent as to what type of men are on the road to hell and what type of dead men are in hell. In other words, when the salvation heretics use a false idea of God's mercy to deny the dogma, one only need reply, "Do not change topics. I acknowledge God is merciful and just. I did not ask you about His mercy and justice. I asked you what God infallibly teaches through His Catholic Church regarding the fate of Moslems who die not believing in the Most Holy Trinity?"

If a Catholic wanted to delve into God's mercy and justice as it relates to the salvation dogma, he must reconcile God's mercy and justice with the dogma and not falsely define God's mercy and justice so as to deny the dogma.

God's mercy extends to all men. He will forgive any type and number of sins men commit provided they convert and repent. Yet, God's mercy is only offered to men for a limited time and then no more. He waits only so long for a sinner to convert and repent. When God sees that a sinner is ultimately obstinate, either presently or in the future by His foreknowledge, He either lets him die in his deadly sins or withdraws any future grace and enlightenment leaving him in darkness. The latter happens when a man

commits the unforgivable sin against the Holy Ghost. The fact that God sends souls to hell proves that His mercy is only offered for a limited time and requires men to cooperate with it. See my book "*The Salvation Dogma*: The Catholic Doctrine on Predestination."

Enlightenment and the dogma

Mr. X also evaded answering my question by adding nonexistent conditions. When I asked him, "Do Moslems who die not believing in the Most Holy Trinity go to hell?" he answered, "I do not know. God could have enlightened him." My question clearly implies that this particular Moslem died in a state of un-enlightenment, in darkness, as an unbeliever in the Most Holy Trinity. The question was again put to Mr. X, "We are not talking about a Moslem who dies enlightened by believing in the Incarnation, death, and resurrection of Christ, and the Most Holy Trinity, for he would no longer be a Moslem. We are talking about the Moslem who dies and goes to his particular judgment as a Moslem, meaning, not believing in the Most Holy Trinity? Does this Moslem go to hell?" He kept evading by saying that God could have enlightened him. He did not honestly address and answer my question. He then changed topics. He then spoke of a pagan on the island who is invincibly ignorant of the true God.

Invincible ignorance and the dogma

That is when Mr. A spoke up. He asked Mr. X, "Does ignorance remit original sin?" Mr. X said, "no." Mr. A then pointed out that ignorance of the knowledge of God is a punishment given to each of us for original sin; it is not a remedy for original sin. I added that this is the teaching of both the Church and saints. This ignorance is also a punishment for a man's other sins, in which God leaves him in darkness, even though he is not guilty of the sin of infidelity, of hearing of and rejecting the true God. Invincible ignorance can never give a man belief in the true God, which is necessary for salvation, and which only come by hearing the Gospels preached.

I then said to Mr. X, "Are dead unbaptized infants in hell?" He said, "They are in limbo" and seemed to imply they were not in hell. I said, "But do you believe that this limbo of children is in hell?" After evading, he eventually admitted they are in hell. That is when Christina stepped in and said, "Wait a minute! If dead unbaptized infants who were as invincibly ignorant of the gospel as anyone can be are in hell, then certainly dead pagan adults who were invincibly ignorant of the gospel are also in hell." Ah, good old common sense, for although some dogmas cannot be know by reason, others can be known by reason—such as this example—and none are contrary to reason, which is what the salvation heresy is, contrary to reason in light of the dogmatic teachings regarding salvation.

CMRI does not evangelize

The worst bad fruit of the CMRI is their lack of evangelization, which is a result of their denial of the Salvation Dogma. They do not attempt to truly evangelize the people in Spokane and elsewhere, which, second to giving glory to the true God, is a main purpose of the Church, to convert non-Catholics. This was our Lord's first mandate to the apostles: "Going therefore, teach ye all nations: baptizing them in the name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Ghost. Teaching them to observe all things whatsoever I have commanded you." (Mt. 28:19-20)

Faith come by hearing not only by good deeds

To prove this point during the confrontation, I asked Mr. X if he ever attempts to evangelize non-Catholics. He said that he does by his good deeds and good actions and then showed me his brown scapular and said, "This is my sermon." I said, yes, good actions, good works, are necessary but that is not near enough for faith only comes by hearing. Men cannot even know what they must believe to be saved unless they hear the truth, unless someone tells them. The scapular does not teach non-Catholics what they must know to be saved. One needs supernatural faith to be saved and this faith only comes by hearing: "How then shall they call on him in whom they have not believed? Or how shall they believe him of whom they have not heard? And how shall they hear without a preacher? And how shall they preach unless they be sent... Faith then cometh by hearing; and hearing by the word of Christ." (Rom. 10:14-16) Can the brown scapular do this? Even prayer, necessary as it is, cannot do this? I can picture a Jehovah Witness trying to convert Mr. X and instead of denouncing his false religion and calling him to conversion, he says nothing and just takes out his brown scapular and shows it to him.

Even a bad Catholic, one who does not live a Catholic life and is in mortal sin, can convert non-Catholics by teaching them the truths they need to be saved. St. Paul fears that his preaching will save others but if he is not living the Catholic life, he himself will become a castaway: "But I chastise my body and bring it into subjection: lest perhaps, when I have preached to others, I myself should become a castaway." (1 Cor. 9:27)

This "faith comes by good deeds and not words" excuse is common with those who deny the Salvation Dogma to cover their cowardness, their fear of the cross and persecution that follows true evangelization. They do not want to lose the comforts and friendships of this evil world so they shirk their obligation to convert the sinner and cover their shameful crime by saying good deeds alone are enough for others to learn of the Catholic faith; thus, the professed non-Catholics in CMRI land must learn the of Catholic faith and what they need to be saved by osmosis or a miracle from God who may send them an angel to teach them.

Lost sheep are left lost

Which brings us back to Christina, a newly converted and newly baptized Catholic. Previous to her conversion she was searching for the true Church among Protestant religions. She detected and rejected the basic falsehoods contained in them and left them. She then decided to visit the CMRI sect to see if that is where the true Church is and what she must do to be saved. During my confrontation with Mr. X about the CMRI's lack of evangelization, Christina spoke up and said that not one person in the CMRI whom she spoke with answered her questions or told her she must convert and become Catholic to be saved. She could not get her questions answered. She went to Fr. Casimir to get answers and he did not speak to her. Mr. X said that he probably was busy. She said that he did not even say a word to her. I then said that if he was busy, he could have at least set up an appointment with her and tell her that she must be Catholic to have a hope to be saved. That only takes a few seconds to say. Where is his concern for lost sheep? One such negative impression as this can chase away a good willed non-Catholic. After all, how many non-Catholics come to the CMRI to speak to Fr. Casimir? And, should this not have been his primary concern, for he can always talk to his flock at another time. Christina left discouraged. She then said that she met with several CMRI people and not one of them answered her questions and told her she had to be Catholic to be saved. She

said that it was common not to get answers or get evasive ones from those whom she was seeking the truth, which frustrated her. She did not receive any answers and was not told that she must be Catholic to be saved until she met Mrs. D., a Catholic who abjured from the Great Apostasy. Mrs. D. then brought her to Mr. A and Mr. C. She then got answers to her questions and began to learn the Catholic faith and prepared to enter the Church. She is now inside the Church and part of Mary's Little Remnant, thanks to God's grace and the evangelizing efforts of the abjured Catholics in the Spokane area.

CMRI's non-evangelizing singing nuns

During my confrontation with Mr. X about the CMRI's lack of evangelization, Mr. A's wife, Mrs. B, also spoke up. Several years ago, she traveled with CMRI's famous singing nuns, who sing before and entertain many non-Catholics. She said that nowadays, they do not actively evangelize during their performances for non-Catholics by denouncing their false religions, telling them they are on the road to hell, or by calling them to conversion, to enter the Catholic Church outside of which there is no salvation. They could do this with one simple statement before the concert begins and when it ends and hand out appropriate literature. For example, they could say, "What we are about to tell all you non-Catholics in the audience is for the love of your souls, because we love and do not hate you. This music you are about to hear can only make you temporally happy and not eternally happy. It is our most fervent hope that you will be eternally happy and that cannot happen where you are in your false religions. You cannot enter heaven while adhering to your false religions. You must abjure from them and enter the Catholic Church to have a hope to be saved." Of course, if they did this, they would no longer be famous among most non-Catholics. By not professing the faith and attempting to convert non-Catholics when one should, they sin by omission and implicitly deny the Catholic faith.

These singing nuns only entertain non-Catholics with no true consideration for their souls, which are in a state of damnation. What purpose is served to only make non-Catholics feel good for a moment with their singing while not warning them that they are on the road to hell and what they must do to have a hope to enter heaven? There is no singing in hell but only eternal torment. These nuns do not evangelize non-Catholics because they deny the Salvation Dogma. They actually believe these professed non-Catholics could be in the way of salvation. If they really believed they were on the road to hell, and if they really loved them, they would call them to conversion. Their singing could serve as a perfect lure to catch fish by getting their attention and then calling them to conversion. They are like a fisherman who lures fish with delectable bait with no hook to catch them. Our Lord said that Catholics are to be fishers of men, meaning, to catch souls by denouncing men's false religions and calling them conversion. These heretical and worldly nuns are luring flesh with no attempt to catch souls. I pray they will see the truth of what I say and repent, convert, and abjure.

Here is an example of evangelizing, Catholic, singing nuns from the Roman Martyrology, October 9: "At Antioch, St. Publia, abbess. While Julian the Apostate was passing by, she and her religious sang these words of David: 'The idols of the Gentiles are sliver and gold,' and 'Let them that make them, become like unto them.' By the command of the emperor, she was struck on the face and severely rebuked."

Supernatural faith and the dogma

At the end of the confrontation, Mr. A defended the Salvation Dogma in a very effective way, which will be contained in a book he is now writing on the dogma and will be made available on my website and in my catalog. He defends it by the infallible teaching that one must have supernatural (Divine) faith to be saved and thus natural faith is not sufficient for salvation.

Mr. A first quoted from Pope Pius X's Oath Against the Modernists: "[Supernatural] faith is a genuine assent of the intellect to truth received by hearing from an external source." Mr. A then asked Mr. X, "Can a man be saved without this supernatural faith which can only come by hearing?" Mr. X rightly said, "No."

Being this supernatural faith is the Catholic faith, the root of which is belief in the Incarnation, death, and resurrection of Jesus Christ and the Most Holy Trinity, which can only come from an external source (by hearing) and must also be believed, no man can have a hope to be saved unless he hears and believes in these basic dogmas. That rules out all those who never heard the saving truth and all those who heard it and do not believe it. To say the contrary is heresy as condemned by many popes, the Vatican Council of 1870, and the Oath Against Modernism that infallibly teach supernatural faith is necessary for salvation. With this in mind, Mr. A asked Mr. X, "Therefore, can a Moslem who dies without ever having heard the gospels have supernatural faith?" Mr. X then admitted that such a Moslem could not have supernatural faith and that he would go to hell as a result. At that point, Mr. A stopped him and said, "Do you admit that to teach the opposite would be heresy?" He said, "Yes." Mr. A then said that is the heresy Fr. Casimir believes in. Mr. X asked Mr. A how he knew. Mr. A said that he, Mario Virreyra, and Mrs. B met with Fr. Casimir, and Fr. Casimir said that certain men who die not believing in the Most Holy Trinity could be saved. Fr. Casimir, like many salvation heretics, pays lip service to the dogma. They will say there is no salvation outside the Catholic Church, and Fr. Casimir even said they need supernatural faith to be saved, but he believes that certain Moslems, Jews, and pagans who do not believe in the Most Holy Trinity can have supernatural faith and be inside the Catholic Church, and that is heresy, because supernatural faith includes an intellectual assent to belief in the Most Holy Trinity, which can only come through hearing.

Also, Fr. Casimir's boss, the head of the CMRI sect, the non-Catholic Bishop Pivarunus, believes in the same heresy and taught it in the Reign of Mary publication: Reign of Mary, Winter 1992, Vol. XXIV, No. 70, "The Salvation of those outside the Church"; Winter 1996, Vol. XXVI, No. 83; and Winter 2004.

Mr. X said he would confront Fr. Casimir to see if he really believed in this heresy. For his sake and that of others, I hope he does and then denounces Fr. Casimir as a heretic and abjures from his association with the non-Catholic CMRI sect, which is not just guilty of denying the Salvation Dogma but of other crimes that also make it a non-Catholic sect.

It is also my hope that Mr. X who taped the confrontation would be fair enough to give me a copy of the audiotape. He obviously made if for others to listen to, which I have no problem with and hope he does. Therefore, he would be very dishonest if he does not give me a copy so I can so the same and for the record.

The SSPX Confrontation

Fr. Darcy implies laymen cannot detect heresy and denounce heretics

On July 19, 2004, in Post Falls, Idaho, Mr. A, his wife Mrs. B, and I met with a heretic and schismatic priest, Fr. Darcy, who is a member of the schismatic and heretical *Society of St. Pius X* (SSPX). The SSPX is guilty of John Paul II's notorious crimes that it does not properly condemn; consequently, it is also guilty for not properly denouncing John Paul II as a notorious apostate, idolater, blasphemer, and heretic; consequently, it is also guilty for not denouncing John Paul II as an apostate antipope, being it knows the Church law that a notorious heretic cannot be pope. The SSPX also denies the Salvation Dogma by teaching certain men who die worshipping false gods and/or practicing false religions can be saved; it is schismatic for consecrating bishops contrary to the expressed will of a man they believe is the pope, John Paul II, and for setting up a parallel hierarchy of bishops in opposition to John Paul II's bishops; and, it teaches that the contraceptive method of Natural Family Planning, also known as the Rhythm Method, is not contraception, which is heresy for denying an infallible dogma of morals.

We first wanted to see if Fr. Darcy would condemn one of John Paul II's notorious crimes. Mr. A asked Fr. Darcy if the Old Covenant was still in force. He rightly said, "No." Mr. A then presented him with the below apostate teaching of John Paul II and the Vatican II Church that the Old Covenant is still in force and asked him if he agreed that this is heresy (it is also apostasy, because it denies the New Covenant by implication).

Apostate Antipope John Paul II, "Address to the Jewish Community in Mainz, West Germany" (Nov. 17, 1980): "The first dimension of this dialogue, that is, the meeting between the people of the Old Covenant, never revoked by God..."

At first, Fr. Darcy tried to evade answering the question. He said laymen could not make theological distinctions, implying they cannot judge or denounce anything or anyone in religious matters, which implies they cannot know the Catholic faith well enough so as to denounce someone when he clearly denies it. That is heresy, because it denies the very obligation of Catholic laymen to learn and profess the faith and perform the spiritual acts of mercy of admonishing and converting the sinner.

1917 Code of Canon Law: "1325.1 Obligation to Profess the Faith - The faithful are bound to profess their faith openly whenever under the circumstances silence, evasion, or their manner of acting would otherwise implicitly amount to a denial of the faith, or would involve contempt of religion, an offense to God, or scandal to the neighbor."

Pope Leo XIII, Sapientiae Christianae: "14. When necessity compels... each one is under obligation to show forth his faith, either to instruct and encourage others of the faithful, or to repel the attacks of unbelievers. ...15. The chief elements of this duty consist in professing openly and unflinchingly the Catholic doctrine, and in propagating it to the utmost of our power..."

The Spiritual Acts of Mercy: Admonish, instruct, and convert the sinner.

If laymen cannot know the faith well enough to detect when it is being denied and thus condemn sins and denounce sinners, then certainly these obligations are impossible to keep, and worse, they cannot even save their souls, because there are basic dogmas that all Catholics must know or they cannot be saved.

By logical implication, according to Fr. Darcy, the leaders, the bishops and priests, can sin against faith and morals with impunity and never be denounced by laymen. They can teach and do whatever they want and laymen must always believe them and can never know if they are wrong. No man is impeccable, above reproach, not even the Pope.

I got this same argument from the CMRI person, Mr. X, mentioned above, whom I denounced. He first tried to discredit my right as a Catholic to condemn sins and denounce sinners. He believed I had no right to teach and denounce, because I was a layman and not under a bishop. I told him that I did not know of any Catholic bishops or Catholic priests, and that is beside the point, because a Catholic layman does not need a Catholic bishop to detect and condemn heresy and denounce a heretic. In the history of the Church, local sees have been vacant and bishops became heretics, such as Bishop Nestorius who was denounced by the layman Eusebius when Nestorius taught Mary was not the Mother of God. In these cases, laymen were not under the guidance of a Catholic bishop; that did not prevent them from condemning heresy and denouncing heretics. Their obligation to profess the faith and perform the spiritual acts of mercy does not cease just because they do not have access to a Catholic bishop.

It is also an illogical impossibility to teach that a Catholic needs a Catholic bishop in his presence ever time he condemns sins and denounces sinners. If so, Catholic parents could not even condemn and denounce their children when they sin. They would have to ask the bishop to visit their house and perform this duty every time their children sin.

The CMRI and SSPX believe that only bishops and priests under bishops' authority can know the faith well enough to condemn heresy and denounce heretics. Thus, laymen are incapable of either and must blindly trust and follow their bishops and priests, which goes against the Catholic teaching that even superiors who sin are to be denounced by their inferiors.

Their denial of a laymen's obligation to profess the faith and denounce and avoid sinners is not just heretical but, again, is also illogical and hypocritical. If that were true, then the laymen who belong to their sects should have never left the Vatican II Church or bishops. They should have also blindly trusted and followed the Vatican II "popes," bishops, and priests. In other words, according to them, when these laymen were under obedience to the Vatican II "popes," bishops, and priests, they were capable of judging, of making theological distinctions, and thus condemn heresies and denounce and avoid their heretical superiors (priests and bishops and even "popes) and thus leave the Vatican II Church to join their sects. Yet, when they enter the CMRI or SSPX sect, they lose this ability and obligation and must now blindly follow its bishops and priests. This blind obedience is one of the true signs of an evil cult, akin to the blind obedience required of Freemasons. You know what our Lord says about blind leaders and those who follow them. "If the blind lead the blind, both will fall into the pit." (Mt. 15:14)

The cult leader, Jim Jones, offered his brainwashed followers spiritual and physical poison to drink, and they drank both. Spiritual poison is worse, because it kills souls. If a man were not first spiritually poisoned, he would not consent to being physically poisoned. The CMRI and SSPX sects offer their flocks this worst deadly poison to drink, spiritual poison, heresies by commission and omission, and the laymen blindly drink it and revel in their spiritual death and drink more until their cup is full to the brim. Their flocks are forbidden to even question their CMRI or SSPX bishops and priests.

-

¹ Neither sect actually even does that.

According to their heretical and illogical belief, one wonders by right did the CMRI and SSPX bishops and priests have to question, judge, denounce, and avoid John Paul II and his bishops?

Fr. Darcy implies the Ten Commandments is the Old Covenant

After Fr. Darcy's attempt to evade answering our above question, we put the question to him again. Instead of denouncing the above teaching as heresy, Fr. Darcy, making what he believed to be the proper theological distinction, said, "John Paul II is talking about the Ten Commandments, and the Ten Commandments have never been revoked." I said, "John Paul II did not mention the Ten Commandments. He said the Old Covenant. Read it again." Fr. Darcy attempted to justify his lie by calling it a proper theological distinction. He sees the Ten Commandments when, in fact, it says the Old Covenant. This is one proof of the veil of darkness he is under that comes upon God's once chosen when they lose the faith. I then told him that the Ten Commandments were part of the Old Covenant but was not the Old Covenant, and that the Ten Commandments are now part of the New Covenant, the only one in force. Instead of answering our question, he then said, again, laymen cannot judge such things. Fr. Darcy demands that his stupid flock blindly follow him and believe that the Old Covenant actually means the Ten Commandments, that the two are synonymous (mean the same thing).

Pagans, bad as they are, when presented with this evidence, would judge Fr. Darcy to be insane or an outrageous liar. As God said, when His chosen go bad, they are at least seven times worse, more blind, than self-professed non-Catholics. People get what they deserve. Only those who do not truly care about the truth, the Catholic faith, would stay in the SSPX in these latter days of the Great Apostasy when John Paul II's notorious crimes are manifest to all, and as such, they are on the road to hell.

During this part of our confrontation, an SSPX woman came into the room. We told her that we were talking about the Old Covenant and asked Father if he believed it was still in force. She said, "Oh, I do not know about such things and do not care. I trust Father and will not sit by while anyone talks against him." She then left the room. She acted as if she either did not know what the Old Covenant was or did not care about what the Church teaches about it or what John Paul II says about it. That is religious indifferentism to the highest degree, which is a sin against the faith in itself. All she cares about are the Mass and sacraments. This is a perfect example of the Mass-going, sacrament-receiving so-called Catholics in the days of Pope Pius X who were on the road to hell because of ignorance of things (basic dogmas) they must know in order to be saved.

Pope Pius X, *Acerbo Nimis*, 1905: "We are forced to agree with those who hold that the chief cause of the present indifference and, as it were, infirmity of soul, and the serious evils that result from it, is to be found above all in <u>ignorance of things divine</u>. And so, Our Predecessor Benedict XIV had just cause to write: "We declare that a great number of those who are condemned to eternal punishment suffer that everlasting calamity because of ignorance of those mysteries of faith which <u>must be known and believed</u> in order to be numbered among the elect." (April 15, 1905)

Any so-called Catholic that does not know, believe, and profess the basic dogma that Christ's death ended the Old Covenant and replaced it with the New Covenant is not Catholic. The same applies to all those, such as Fr. Darcy, who know this dogma but do not denounce John Paul II as a heretic for denying it when the evidence is presented to

them.² They can attend Mass and receive the sacraments, but they do so sacrilegiously adding mortal sin upon mortal sin.

This is just one example of the blind, cultish, obedience that all the SSPX laymen give to their superiors. Satan uses the SSPX to trap pre-Vatican II type Sunday Catholics (Catholics in name only) who put the Mass and sacraments before the faith, and in most cases care nothing at all about the faith or only about the dogmas that will not cause too much persecution, too much discomfort, too much loss of financial support.

Fr. Darcy denies the Salvation Dogma

We probably should have pinned Fr. Darcy down and pressed him further regarding our above question and brought to his attention the following evidence, which is contained in my Quick Reference Manual, which we gave Father.

Apostate Antipope John Paul II, *Crossing the Threshold of Hope*, p. 99: "The Old and the New Covenants are drawing closer together."

Apostate Antipope John Paul II, Message in the Western Wall, 3/26/2000: "We wish to commit ourselves to genuine brotherhood with the people of the Covenant [Talmudic Jews]."

But, we wanted to also discuss the Salvation Dogma. Mr. A told Fr. Darcy that Bishop Lefebvre denied the Salvation Dogma, that he believed certain Moslems who die in their false religions, meaning not believing in the Most Holy Trinity, could be saved. At first, Fr. Darcy denied Bishop Lefebvre ever taught such a thing. Mr. A said he would show him the evidence, which he never got a chance to do. Here it is:

Bishop Lefebvre, 1973: "...God knows all souls and, for that reason, knows that in Protestant communions, in Moslem communions, in Buddhist communions, in all humanity, there are souls of good will. And by the very fact that they do seek to do His holy will, they have the implicit baptism of desire." (From a lecture given in Paris during May).

Bishop Lefebvre, 1974: "... Those souls, whether Protestant, Buddhist or Moslem, who have implicitly this sincere desire to do the will of God, may have the desire for Baptism and so receive supernatural grace, the Grace of eternal life..." (from a lecture in Tourcoing on January 30).

Bishop Lefebvre, 1976: "...No doubt, the graces of God are distributed outside the Catholic Church; but those who are saved, even outside the Catholic Church, are saved by the Catholic Church, by Our Lord Jesus Christ, even if they do not know it, even if they are not aware of it ..." (from his sermon preached at the first Mass of one of his newly ordained priests in Geneva).

Bishop Lefebvre, 1986: "Outside the Catholic Church there is no salvation' ... Does that mean that no Protestant, no Muslim, no Buddhist or animist will be saved? No, it would be a second error to think that. ... The doctrine of the Church also recognizes *implicit*—baptism of desire. This consists in doing the will of God. God knows all men and He knows that amongst Protestants, Muslims, Buddhists and in the whole of humanity there are men of good will. They receive the grace of baptism without knowing it, but in an effective way. In this way they become part of the Church. (From his book *Open Letter to Confused Catholics*, 1986, Chapter X, Ecumenism, p. 73-4)

Mr. A then asked Fr. Darcy what he believed. Strangely, after denying Lefebvre taught this heresy, he said that certain men who die as Moslems could be saved. We then said that is heresy. Mr. A then quoted from the Vatican Council of 1870 that infallibly teaches

15

² In these latter days of the Great Apostasy, there is no one with the use of reason who does not know of at least one of John Paul II's notorious crimes. Whether they believe they are crimes or not is another thing, which will determine if they are explicitly guilty of the same crime or not.

supernatural faith is necessary for salvation, which can only come by exterior revelation (by hearing) and must be believed. He also quoted from the oath against Modernism that teaches that supernatural faith is a "true intellectual assent" to revealed truth. Mr. A then asked Father, "How can Moslems have supernatural faith when they do not give intellectual assent to truth, but intellectual assent to the error that Jesus is not God?" Father said they could get sanctifying grace. Mr. A said, "I did not ask you about sanctifying grace but about supernatural faith. Sanctifying grace can not exist without supernatural faith." I then asked Father, "So, according to you, there are certain Moslems who are Moslems and certain Moslems who are Catholics." He said, "Yes." I said, "That is blasphemy!!! You mean to tell me that you believe the Holy Ghost dwells in the soul of a Moslem who does not believe in the Most Holy Trinity, and worse, while he worships a false god, and even worse, while he explicitly denies the Most Holy Trinity?" He said, "Yes." I said. "You have blasphemed the Holy Ghost by placing Him in an unclean vessel, a Moslem, that practices a false religion and does not believe in Him. You have not only mixed the sacred with the profane, but even worse, you subjugated the sacred to the profane."

SSPX idolizes its demigod, Bishop Lefebvre

Mr. A then went outside to find the evidence against Lefebvre. While Mr. A was outside looking for the evidence against Lefebvre, I told Fr. Darcy that not only did Lefebvre deny the Salvation Dogma, but he also signed all of the heretical Vatican II documents. He then said, "Are you saying Bishop Lefebvre was wrong." I said, "Yes. He was a heretic!" Well, that was it. I insulted his idol, his demigod. He angrily hit his fist upon the table and said, "Get out! Leave!" I then said, "And you are a heretic also and on the road to hell!" He said, "You are on the road to hell also!" But right after that he contradicted himself by saying, "I do not judge you." I said, "But I do judge you. You are a heretic who is on the road to hell." Father left the room. Mrs. B and I waited for Mr. A to return. After several minutes, Fr. Darcy came back to the room and said, "Get out now!" I said, "Fine. I do not want to stay here anyway."

Fr. Darcy proves what I have said time and time again. The members of the SSPX place the apostate Bishop Lefebvre over God. It does not bother Fr. Darcy in the least to blaspheme the true God by saying He sanctifies and dwells in the souls of certain Moslems and that they are actually Catholics. Neither does the true nature of John Paul II's crimes bother him. He does not properly condemn John Paul II's notorious crimes nor does he properly denounce John Paul II, who blasphemes the true God. Yet, the only thing that got him angry was when I denounced his idol, Lefebvre. Lefebvre is more important to him than the God of the Catholic Church and His eternal truths.

Richard J. M. Ibranyi, Exurge Michael, Issue 1, January 2000, "Zeal (Righteous Anger) Vs. Bitter Zeal": An example of bitter zeal is the fanatical attachment of the *Society of St. Pius X* members toward Archbishop Lefebvre, who was a heretic and a schismatic, who in the good days of the Church would have been burnt at the stake as a heretic if he did not publicly repent. I can see their hair standing on edge if they just read this passage! Any attack against Lefebvre is worse than an attack against Almighty God, His Church, and His eternal truths. Any attack against Lefebvre, even the most diplomatic and half hearted one, is followed by a most fanatically, bitterly zealous defense of this heretic and schismatic, in spite of the overwhelming truth that condemns him and the sect he founded. Nay, the Lefebverists do not defend Almighty God and His Church with such zeal! It is Antipope John Paul II (JP2) who daily blasphemes God and teaches heresy and whom they are still very careful not to thoroughly condemn or accuse of

being a heretic and an apostate. They will not defend God when JP2 blasphemes Him and His eternal truths the way they defend Lefebvre. Just speak out harshly against JP2 and see how fast the SSPX priest comes to the aid of JP2 in an attempt to silence you and pacify your attack against the bastard Antipope John Paul II and the Conciliar Church. John Paul II's attack against God, when he says Jews and Moslems worship the same God as Catholics, does not seem to hardly bother them at all, but speak against Lefebvre, in anyway, and you will see the Lefebvrist's dander rise and a most bitter, cultish, zeal in defending him. In this I have presented to you a picture of how to detect bitter zeal. A man with bitter zeal is discovered by the fact that he ultimately, bitterly, and persistently defends a lie after the truth has been clearly presented to him.

September 2004