

Supplement  
to  
“Against the Society of Saint Pius X”



R. J. M. I.

By

The Precious Blood of Jesus Christ,  
The Grace of the God of the Holy Catholic Church,  
The Mediation of the Blessed Virgin Mary,  
Our Lady of Good Counsel and Crusher of Heretics,  
The Protection of Saint Joseph, Patriarch of the Holy Family,  
The Intercession of Saint Michael the Archangel  
and the cooperation of

Richard Joseph Michael Ibranyi

To Jesus through Mary

*Judica me Deus, et discerne causam meam de gente non sancta  
as homine iniquo et doloso erue me*

Ad Majorem Dei Gloriam

Original version: 1/2001; Current version: 11/2003

**Mary's Little Remnant**

302 East Joffre St.

TorC, NM 87901-2878

Website: [www.JohnTheBaptist.us](http://www.JohnTheBaptist.us)

(Send for a free catalog)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>THE SOCIETY OF ST. PIUS X'S ABSURD AND DISHONEST TEACHINGS.....</b>	<b>5</b>
BISHOP WILLIAMSON AND THE SSPX WILL NOT OBEY.....	5
BISHOP RICHARD WILLIAMSON BLASPHEMES GOD.....	7
<i>SSPX Walks Two Ways Upon Earth</i> .....	7
TWO HEADS OF THE MONSTER MEET: JOHN PAUL II AND BISHOP FELLAY.....	14
<i>Bishop Fellay: Give us the Mass Forget the Faith!</i> .....	14
<b>DISHONEST TACTICS OF THE SSPX.....</b>	<b>15</b>
1) <i>Omits Church teaching that manifest heretics cannot hold office</i> .....	17
2) <i>Misrepresents Sedevacantist Teachings</i> .....	17
3) <i>Puts Family Before God</i> .....	18
4) <i>Deceive by false analogies</i> .....	20
A Pope is a Spiritual Father, not a Biological Father .....	20
5) <i>Evasion by changing topic</i> .....	21
Where is the Pope and the Church? .....	21
The Numbers Game: We are more than you.....	21
<b>MANDATORY QUESTIONS TO ASK SSPX BISHOPS AND PRIESTS.....</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>GIVE US THE MASS; FORGET THE FAITH .....</b>	<b>24</b>
PART ONE .....	24
PART TWO .....	25



# **The Society of St. Pius X's Absurd and Dishonest Teachings**

## ***Bishop Williamson and the SSPX Will Not Obey***

*"It is like the sin of witchcraft, to rebel: and like the crime of idolatry, to refuse to obey."*

- 1 Kings 15:23 -

I will be quoting from a letter from the non-Catholic heretic and schismatic, Bishop Richard Williamson, who is the SSPX bishop for the United States. In this letter Bishop Williamson is speaking of Rome's initiative to make contact with the schismatic SSPX in order to convert them and bring them back into the Church. Remember, Bishop Williamson believes that those in Rome are Catholic and legitimate Church authorities. He believes that John Paul II is Catholic and a pope, and he believes his bishops in Rome are Catholic bishops with authority and jurisdiction. Keeping this in mind we will now read Bishop Williamson's schismatic teachings.

Bishop Richard Williamson, St. Thomas Aquinas Seminary, "Contacts With Rome," February 1, 2000: "Let us be very clear that the initiative for these latest contacts came from Rome. It was Rome that opened up these latest contacts last summer with the Society, and not the Society that opened them up with Rome."

Here he clearly teaches that the SSPX is not in contact with Rome, that he believes is the Catholic hierarchy. He arrogantly and in a condescending tone speaks of Rome as inferior to the SSPX, in that it is Rome who must contact the SSPX and not the SSPX who needs to contact, or be in contact with Rome. Remember, Bishop Williamson believes that those in Rome are the command center of the Catholic Church in which resides the pope and Catholic bishops.

Ibid: "Rome still being, by Our Lord's design, the command-centre of the Catholic Church."

But, alas, not only does he not need to be in contact with this centre, he arrogantly shows no desire at all to be in contact with it, until the command centre bows to his wishes and submits to him and the SSPX. With his lips he says Rome is the command centre, but, in his heart, which is proven by his works and deeds, he acts as if the SSPX is the command centre. *"Let us not love in word nor in tongue, but in deed and in truth."* (1Jn. 3:18) Below, he makes it clear that he does not even want to enter into negotiations with Rome, let alone be in contact with Rome.

Ibid: "The simple fact of having entered into negotiations will have played for Rome and against the organization in the Society's position ...if in exchange they have succeeded in putting a leash and/or muzzle upon the Society which was until then free to serve God as best it understood, what will such a Society have gained?"

A Protestant heresiarch could not have said it better. Bishop Williamson does not want to negotiate with Rome, nor does he want to submit to Rome. He refers to submission to a man he believes is the pope and his hierarchy, as being leashed and muzzled, because, like all Protestants and Schismatics, he wants to remain "free" to serve God as he and the SSPX best understands, without submission too, or interference from Rome. Is this not what all the Protestants and Schismatics have done, freed themselves from the domination of Rome, from being submissive and obedient to Rome? Are not all Protestants and Schismatics now "free," as they say, to make their own doctrines and rules; free to follow God as best they understand? Remember, dear reader, Bishop Williamson believes that those in Rome are Roman Catholic officials, the rulers of the Roman Catholic Church. He speaks of being trapped by Rome if the SSPX talks with Rome, a Rome he believes is Catholic, and thus he and the SSPX will surely lose their freedom, so he recommends the SSPX does not talk with Rome at all.

Ibid: “The Society’s position stands a good chance of falling into a Roman trap. At best, it obtains unsure concessions in exchange for a sure loss of freedom... the Society’s best course in the circumstances would be not to talk with Rome at all.”

This is Jansenism and Gallicanism Schism all over again; a professed belief in the papacy and in the pope, while in deed they disobey the pope and his Roman hierarchy, and place themselves above it. Bishop Williamson has it upside down and backward. It is he who must be in contact with and submission to the Rome he believes is the Catholic centre, not Rome in submission to him and the SSPX. It is he and the SSPX who must prove their faith to the Rome they believe is the Catholic centre. It is they who must first satisfy what they believe is Catholic Rome, the Apostolic See, the pope, before even attempting to convince other men of their orthodoxy in faith and discipline. They waste their words on others if they are not in contact with, approved of, and reconciled to a man they believe is the pope and his hierarchy. The SSPX stands condemned just as the past schismatics were, their spiritual brothers.

Apostate Antipope Pius IX,<sup>1</sup> *Quartus Supra*, 1873: “8. For any man to be able to prove his Catholic faith and affirm that he is truly a Catholic, he must be able to convince the Apostolic See of this. For this See is predominant and with it the faithful of the whole Church should agree. And the man who abandons the See of Peter can only be falsely confident that he is in the Church. As a result, that man is already a schismatic and a sinner who establishes a see in opposition to the unique See of the blessed Peter from which the rights of sacred communion derive for all men. ...“9. The Council of Constantinople held in the year 536, Mennas the bishop of that city affirmed openly with the approval of the fathers, ‘We follow and obey the Apostolic See, as Your Charity realizes and we consider those in communion with it to be in communion with us, and we too condemn the men condemned by it’. Even more clearly and emphatically St. Maximus, abbot of Chrysopolis, and a confessor of the faith, in referring to Pyrrhus the Monothelite, declared: ‘If he wants neither to be nor to be called a heretic, he does not need to satisfy random individuals of his orthodoxy, for this is excessive and unreasonable. But just as all men have been scandalized at him since the chief man was scandalized, so also when that one has been satisfied, all men will doubtless be satisfied. He should hasten to satisfy the Roman See before all others. For when this See has been satisfied, all men everywhere will join in declaring him pious and orthodox. For that man wastes his words who thinks that men like me must be persuaded and beguiled when he has not yet satisfied and beseeched the blessed Pope of the holy Roman Church. From the incarnate word of God Himself as well as from the conclusions and sacred canons of all holy councils, the Apostolic See has been granted the command, authority and power of binding and loosing for all God's holy churches in the entire world’. For this reason John, Bishop of Constantinople, solemnly declared—and the entire Eighth Ecumenical Council did so later—‘that the names of those who were separated from communion with the Catholic Church, that is of those who did not agree in all matters with the Apostolic See, are not to be read out during the sacred mysteries’. This plainly meant that they did not recognize those men as true Catholics. All these traditions dictate that whoever the Roman Pontiff judges to be a schismatic for not expressly admitting and reverencing his power must stop calling himself Catholic.”

Bishop Williamson and the SSPX waste their words trying to convince other men of their innocence, it is the Apostolic See, Peter, the man he believes is the pope, John Paul II, whom he must satisfy and convince. Instead, Bishop Williamson and the SSPX teach that the Rome they believe is the Catholic centre must be in submission to them and not them in submission to Rome. And worse, he does not even want to talk to Rome at all. “The Society’s best course in the circumstances would be not to talk with Rome at all.” Below, he again refers to those in Rome, as Roman Church officials, whom he does not want to cut a deal with, and whom he refers to in a disparaging manner as only Protestants and Schismatics would.

---

<sup>1</sup> Pius IX lost the papal office in 1856. See RJMI article “Pius IX Denied the Salvation Dogma and Lost His Office.” (Added on October 2012.)

Ibid: "Roman Church officials are masters of negotiating ...they have 2000 years of experience in out-witting whoever happens to be facing them ...the Society is in peril if it tries to cut a deal with these Romans."

The Greek Schismatics and Martin Luther could not have said it better. True Roman Church officials are the ultimate masters at negotiating because they have God as their source and guide for all they do. But, Bishop Williamson links the true Roman Church officials with the apostates in Rome, and in so doing sacrilegiously discredits all the past Roman Church officials by inferring that they are deceitful negotiators, dishonest, and not to be trusted. Therefore, he and the SSPX do not want to cut a deal with them; with these deceitful and lying Romans, who he believes are the hierarchy of the Catholic Church. Where does that place Bishop Williamson and the SSPX? It places them in direct opposition and in the opposite camp of what he believes is the hierarchy of the Holy Catholic Church. It places him, by his own implied admission, outside the Catholic Church. Greek schismatics set up their Patriarch as their final arbitrator, in place of the pope. The Lutherans set up Martin Luther as their final arbitrator, in place of the pope. The Anglicans set up the King, Henry VIII, as the final arbitrator in England in place of the pope. The SSPX has set up Bishop Lefebvre, and now Bishop Fellay, as their final arbitrator, in place of a man they believe is the pope. The SSPX is worse, and more dangerous. They are akin to the Jansenist and Gallican schismatics, who verbally profess allegiance to the pope and the papacy, while treating him as if he has no supremacy, power, and authority over them. (See: **Error! eference source not found.**, p. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**)

## ***Bishop Richard Williamson Blasphemes God***

### **SSPX Walks Two Ways Upon Earth**

*"Be not incredulous to the fear of the Lord: and come not to him with a double heart. Be not a hypocrite in the sight of men, and let not thy lips be a stumblingblock to thee. (Eclcus. 1:36-37) Woe to them that are of double heart... and to the sinner that goeth on the earth two ways. (Eclcus. 2:14) The fear of the Lord hateth evil: I hate arrogance, and pride, and every wicked way, and a mouth with a double tongue." (Prv. 8:13)*

Out of one side of its mouth the SSPX teaches that John Paul II is Catholic and a pope and his bishops are Catholic bishops with offices and ordinary jurisdiction. They believe the Conciliar (Vatican II) Church is the Catholic Church, while out of the other side of their mouth they speak of them as non-Catholic entities. Bishop Williamson speaks of the Conciliar Church as a "Newchurch" and implies they are not the "true Church"

Bishop Richard Williamson, St. Thomas Aquinas Seminary, Letter to Benefactors, March 3, 1998: "Now, if people deny that there is a crisis in the Catholic Church, then little of anything that the Society of St. Pius X does can make much sense. But if anybody grants that on the one hand the true Church owes Catholics all the help they need to live up to its demanding laws, and that on the other hand the Newchurch is not providing that help, then it makes sense that the Society, amongst others, will stop into that gap."

Note carefully the two hands Bishop Williamson's presents, one "the true Church" and the other, "the Newchurch," which he places in opposition with the "true Church." It is clear that in this passage he is saying that the Conciliar Church is not the true Church; is not the Catholic Church, but rather, it is a "Newchurch." Yet, out on the other hand he teaches the Conciliar Church is the Catholic Church, and John Paul II is Catholic, and the pope. Both cannot be true. Light has nothing to do with darkness, and woe to them that call evil good and good evil (Isa. 5:20). The Holy Catholic Church is not the Newchurch invented at Vatican II. The Holy Catholic

Church was created on Pentecost Sunday. Yet, Bishop Williamson will have us believe this Newchurch is also the Catholic Church, thus he implies there has been a New Pentecost and a Newer New Covenant, just as apostate, Antipope John Paul II heretically teaches. Bishop Williamson speaks of Rome; a Rome he believes has a pope and Catholic hierarchy, as being a home for the Devil and those in Rome as being wolves, who have to convert.

Bishop Richard Williamson, St. Thomas Aquinas Seminary, "Contacts With Rome," February 1, 2000: "Our Lord said to his disciples, 'I send you out as sheep amongst wolves', but that is no excuse for putting oneself in the wolf's throat. ... True, the Romans may always convert. ... There will certainly be a next attack on the Society from the Devil or from his Rome."

Again, a Protestant and Greek Schismatic could not have said it any better, by accusing Rome that Bishop Williamson believes is the Catholic centre, as being run by wolves and the home of the Devil, that is sacrilege and blasphemy. He clearly teaches that those in Rome have lost the faith by saying, "the Romans may always convert." He says they must convert, but he does not even accuse them of teaching heresy and of being heretics who are excommunicated. One must then ask, from what must they convert? He goes on to teach that Rome, a Rome he believes is the command centre of the Catholic Church (the Apostolic See), does not have the truth, but the SSPX does.

Ibid: "The Society happens to have guarded the Deposit of Faith. ... The Society has the truth while Rome has not. Of course from the moment when Rome returns to the truth, Rome would be back in the driving-seat."

He says Rome is not in the drivers seat, meaning he and the SSPX are, but he still refers to Rome as the command centre of the Catholic hierarchy. He says the SSPX has guarded the deposit of faith! Talk about bold lies. The SSPX would not know what a heresy is, or who is a heretic, if it bit them on the nose. Imagine that, the apostate, heretical, and schismatic SSPX is guarding the deposit of the Catholic faith. That is like having thieves guard a store, or wolves guard sheep, or prideful idiots teach the uneducated. If Rome, those in Rome, do not have the truth, then how can they be the Catholic hierarchy? And if Rome does not have the truth and the SSPX does, then does that not indicate two Churches? Yet, Bishop Williamson and the SSPX count themselves as members of this Roman Church that does not teach the truth. Pope Pius XI condemns Bishop Williamson and the SSPX.

Pope Pius XI, *Mortalium Animos*: "8. ... Shall We suffer, what would indeed be iniquitous, the truth, and a truth divinely revealed, to be made a subject for compromise? For here there is question of defending revealed truth. Jesus Christ sent His Apostles into the whole world in order that they might permeate all nations with the Gospel faith, and, lest they should err; He willed beforehand that they should be taught by the Holy Ghost: has then this doctrine of the Apostles completely vanished away, or sometimes been obscured, in the Church, whose ruler and defense is God Himself? If our Redeemer plainly said that His Gospel was to continue not only during the times of the Apostles, but also till future ages, is it possible that the object of faith should in the process of time become so obscure and uncertain, that it would be necessary to-day to tolerate opinions which are even incompatible one with another? If this were true, we should have to confess that the coming of the Holy Ghost on the Apostles, and the perpetual indwelling of the same Spirit in the Church, and the very preaching of Jesus Christ, have several centuries ago, lost all their efficacy and use, to affirm which would be **blasphemy**.... 9. ... Everyone knows that John himself, the Apostle of love, who seems to reveal in his Gospel the secrets of the Sacred Heart of Jesus, and who never ceased to impress on the memories of his followers the new commandment 'Love one another,' altogether forbade any intercourse with those who professed a mutilated and corrupt version of Christ's teaching: 'If any man come to you and bring not this doctrine, receive him not into the house nor say to him: God speed you....' We ask, can men who follow contrary opinions, belong to one and the same Federation of the faithful?"

Bishop Williamson teaches the SSPX has the truth and Rome does not, yet, they belong to the same Federation. Every time the SSPX priests pray with John Paul II in the *Te Igitur* prayer they are saying “God speed” to him and the whole Conciliar Church, whom they are professed members of. Mind you dear reader, this Rome that Bishop Williamson says does not have the truth and needs to convert, is the Rome he still believes is Catholic and is the home of a man he believes is the pope, the head of the Church, who is the living representative of the Apostolic See. We will now review the infallible teachings of the Holy Catholic Church regarding the traits of a pope, the Apostolic See, and the disposition Catholics must have toward them.

First Vatican Council, 1870: “Sess. 4, cap. 4. The first condition of salvation is to maintain the rule of the true faith. And since that saying of our Lord Jesus Christ, You are Peter, and upon this rock I will build my church, cannot fail of its effect, the words spoken are confirmed by their consequences. For in the apostolic see the Catholic religion has always been preserved unblemished, and sacred doctrine been held in honour. Since it is our earnest desire to be in no way separated from this faith and doctrine, we hope that we may deserve to remain in that one communion which the apostolic see preaches, for in it is the whole and true strength of the Christian religion.”

First Vatican Council: “Sess. 4, cap. 4. It was for this reason that the bishops of the whole world, sometimes individually, sometimes gathered in synods, according to the long established custom of the churches and the pattern of ancient usage referred to this apostolic see those dangers especially which arose in matters concerning the faith. This was to ensure that any damage suffered by the faith should be repaired in that place above all where the faith can know no failing. For the Holy Spirit was promised to the successors of Peter not so that they might, by His revelation, make known some new doctrine, but that, by His assistance, they might religiously guard and faithfully expound the revelation or deposit of faith transmitted by the apostles. Indeed, their apostolic teaching was embraced by all the venerable fathers and revered and followed by all the holy orthodox doctors, for they knew very well that this see of St. Peter always remains unblemished by any error, in accordance with the divine promise of our Lord and Saviour to the prince of his disciples: I have prayed for you that your faith may not fail; and when you have returned, strengthen your brethren.”

By Bishop Williamson identifying the manifestly heretical John Paul II as a pope, he has implied the Apostolic See (the infallible rock of the Catholic Church) has become blemished and thus defected, because he teaches Rome, what he believes is the Apostolic See, does not have the truth. Pope Leo XIII condemns Bishops Williamson blasphemous and heretical teachings and practices regarding the Apostolic See, which is the living, authoritative, Magisterium of the Catholic Church.

Pope Leo XIII, *Satis Cognitum*: “9. Wherefore, as appears from what has been said, Christ instituted in the Church a living, authoritative and permanent Magisterium, which by His own power He strengthened, by the Spirit of truth He taught, and by miracles confirmed. He willed and ordered, under the gravest penalties, that its teachings should be received as if they were His own. As often, therefore, as it is declared on the authority of this teaching that this or that is contained in the deposit of divine revelation, it must be believed by every one as true. If it could in any way be false, an evident contradiction follows; for then God Himself would be the author of error in man. ‘Lord, if we be in error, we are being deceived by Thee’. In this wise, all cause for doubting being removed, can it be lawful for anyone to reject any one of those truths without by the very fact falling into heresy? without separating himself from the Church? - without repudiating in one sweeping act the whole of Christian teaching? For such is the nature of faith that nothing can be more absurd than to accept some things and reject others.”

The Catholic Church is greater than any man on earth, and no man, not even a true pope, can destroy or blemish the Catholic Church, rather if a pope sought to do so by teaching manifest heresy it is the man who defects not the Catholic Church and Her spotless, unblemished Apostolic See. While Bishop Williamson believes John Paul II is the pope and representative of the Apostolic See (the living Magisterium), he does not refer anything to him at all and wants no

contact with him, which is a violation of the Vatican Council decrees regarding the pope and the Apostolic See. Indeed, he and the SSPX walk two ways upon the earth. Out of one side of his mouth Bishop Williamson does not accuse John Paul II of teaching heresy and of being a heretic, yet, out of the other side of his mouth he teaches John Paul II, a man he believes is the pope, has deviated from infallible truths.

Ibid: “Peter has for prolonged period ...has to a significant extent—albeit not entirely—lost the truth.”

Bishop Williamson does not seem to think the loss of an infallible truth is a heresy and the one who teaches it is a heretic. If John Paul II has lost even one infallible truth then he is teaching heresy and is a heretic. A Catholic must profess all of the infallible truths. If a Catholic has lost one infallible truth he is no longer Catholic, and thus he is outside the Catholic Church.

Pope Leo XIII, *Satis Cognitum*: “The Church has always ‘regarded as rebels and expelled from the ranks of her children all who held beliefs on any point of doctrine different from her own.’ ...St. Augustine notes that other heresies may spring up, to a single one of which, should any one give his assent, he is by the very fact cut off from Catholic unity... ‘If any one holds to one single one of these [heresies] he is not a Catholic’ (S. Augustinus, De Haeresibus, n. 88).”

Protestants profess many individual truths, but they are not Catholic and do not have the Catholic faith, because they do not profess the full deposit of the Catholic faith. Bishop Williamson is saying that Peter, the pope, has lost the truth, but not entirely. What he is saying is that a pope can deny a dogma, as long as he professes other truths (other dogmas) and still be the pope, and thus not entirely lose the Catholic faith. This is heresy by teaching one can deny an infallible truth and either, still have the Catholic faith, or partially have the Catholic faith. The truth is, a man either has the Catholic faith, whole and entire, or he does not have it at all. Bishop Williamson clearly teaches that, John Paul II, whom he believes is the pope, has lost certain truths, and that can only mean John Paul II is teaching heresy and is a heretic, but Bishop Williamson will not use the “H” words “heresy” and “heretic.” The implication of Bishop Williamson’s teaching that a pope and teach contrary to past infallible truths and still be pope, is that the Apostolic See has become blemished and the Catholic Church has defected. Indeed, Bishop Williamson does teach the Catholic, Herself, the spotless Bride of Christ, has fallen into untruth.

Ibid: “What is unique about the Catholic Church amongst all organizations of men on earth is that it rises with the Truth and falls with untruth. ...As soon as Rome comes back to the truth—as it will—Rome will rise again.”

The Catholic Church never falls, or else She would defect and the gates of hell would have prevailed over Her. Bishop Williamson has spoke heresy and blasphemed the Catholic Church. It is men that fall into untruth by teaching heresy and/or schism and thus fall outside the Catholic Church by excommunication. It is not the eternal Rome, the Roman Catholic Church, which needs to come back to the truth. She is the infallible, unblemished, unadulterated truth. It is the men in Rome, the non-Catholic imposters that need to come back to the truth if they want to save their souls. The Holy Catholic Church does not need, nor depend upon the conversion of heretics to convert in order to rise again, because She can never fall in the first place. Fallen-away Catholics—being idolaters, apostates, heretics, and/or schismatics—need the Catholic Church if they want to rise again by repentance and conversion.

Being that Bishop Williamson teaches the Catholic Church has fallen from the truth, one would expect that he also explicitly teach that the Holy Catholic Church has become spotted and blemished. Yes, he does believe in, and teach this heresy, and goes out of his way to blaspheme

God, by blaspheming His Holy Catholic Church. He has referred to the Holy Catholic Church as being an “infectious mother” and of having a “disease,” and this is blasphemy and heresy!

Bishop Williamson, To Friends and Benefactors, February 4, 1998, St Thomas Aquinas Seminary: “As for our former colleague's nine arguments against the Society, they mostly come down to the same “Either, or”, to which the Society replies, ‘Both, and’. Imagine a devoted son hovering by the bedside of his gravely ill and infectious mother. Our former colleague would tell him, “Make up your mind. Either you go right up to her because you love her, or you get right away, because she's infectious, but you can't just stand there, ‘betwixt and between!’ To which the Society replies, “Our mind is made up! We must both stand close to that Church which is our Mother, and stand far enough off not to catch her disease which would make us unable to help her,<sup>2</sup> so here we stand, in a contradictory situation, but not contradicting ourselves”.

He admits that he and the SSPX are the fence sitting fallen angels by saying they are “betwixt and between.” This is a frank admission that they are bewitched, confounded, and confused because they are heretical rebels. He clearly states that he will not choose either, or, but will try to reconcile both, both light with darkness and good with evil, the sacred with the profane. In his attempt to reconcile the irreconcilable he has blasphemed God by teaching the Holy Catholic Church is an “infectious mother” and has a “disease.” Pope Pius XI condemns the wretched, infectious, and diseased, non-Catholic Bishop Williamson and the SSPX:

Pope Pius XI, *Mortalium Animos*, 1928: “During the lapse of centuries, the mystical Spouse of Christ has never been contaminated, nor can she ever in the future be contaminated, as Cyprian bears witness: ‘The Bride of Christ cannot be made false to her Spouse: she is incorrupt and modest. She knows but one dwelling, she guards the sanctity of the nuptial chamber chastely and modestly.’ The same holy Martyr with good reason marveled exceedingly that anyone could believe that ‘this unity in the Church which arises from a divine foundation, and which is knit together by heavenly sacraments, could be rent and torn asunder by the force of contrary wills.’ For since the mystical body of Christ, in the same manner as His physical body, is one, compacted and fitly joined together, it were foolish and out of place to say that the mystical body is made up of members which are disunited and scattered abroad: whosoever therefore is not united with the body is no member of it, neither is he in communion with Christ its head.”

The Holy Catholic Church is not diseased or infectious. She is pure, holy, unblemished, and undefiled. Yes, the Holy Catholic Church is abused, mocked and beat up, just as our dear Lord Jesus Christ was, but neither She or He, are infectious or diseased! Bishop Williamson admits that he is not residing in the bosom of what he believes to be the Catholic Church. He says the SSPX is close to the Church, but far enough away as not to catch her disease. “*We must both stand close to that Church which is our Mother, and stand far enough off not to catch her disease.*” It is clear that he does not see himself and the SSPX as residing in the bosom of what he believes to be the Catholic Church. Catholics, if they want to remain Catholic, must reside in the very inner most bosom of the Holy Catholic Church.

Council of Florence, Bull Cantate Domino: “No one, even if he pour out his blood for the name of Christ, can be saved, unless he remain within the bosom and the unity of the Catholic Church.”

Would God have Catholics reside in the bosom of a diseased and infectious Church? No, that is why the Church is spotless, pure, and unblemished, because She is the safe haven of Catholics in the Church militant. Bishop Williamson admits he and the SSPX are not resting in the bosom of what he believes is the Catholic Church, when he says that the SSPX is close, but far, united but separated. He is inside and outside the Catholic Church, but no, he teaches he is neither inside or outside the Catholic Church, rather he is “betwixt and between,” a sort of limbo for

---

<sup>2</sup> He infers that the SSPX is greater than the Holy Catholic Church in that the SSPX must save and perfect the Catholic Church. Is it not the Church that perfects and saves all men that submit to Her?

schizophrenic fallen-away Catholics. If this is not the biggest piece of double talk, borrowed from the Conciliar Church, then I don't know what is! It is the same heresy of the Conciliar Church that says Protestants and Schismatics are joined to the Catholic Church in a certain though imperfect way. Maybe Bishop Williamson will tell us that he and the SSPX belong to the soul of the Church while not belonging to Her Body. A Jackass has more sense the Bishop Williamson and the SSPX. Are you offended by my use of the word Jackass? Our Lord, Himself, teaches that faithless men are worse than animals.

“Hear, O ye heavens, and give ear, O earth, for the Lord hath spoken. I have brought up children, and exalted them: but they have despised me. The ox knoweth his owner, and the ass his master's crib: but Israel hath not known me, and my people hath not understood. Woe to the sinful nation, a people laden with iniquity, a wicked seed, ungracious children: they have forsaken the Lord, they have blasphemed the Holy One of Israel, they are gone away backwards.” (Isa. 1:2-4)

Out of one side of his mouth, Bishop Williamson, says, “Peter has for prolonged period ...has to a significant extent—albeit not entirely—lost the truth.” While out of the other side of his mouth he says this loss of the truth is simply an error and not heresy, and as a result he does not accuse John Paul II of being a heretic. This is the mark of a hypocrite who speaks with a double tongue.

Ibid, Bishop Richard Williamson: “That is why when John XXIII and Vatican II began seriously to err, easily most Catholics were caught off their guard. Whether they accepted error with their erring leaders and became liberal, or repudiated the erring leaders with the error and left the Church or became sedevacantists, either way they lost their Catholic balance.... For indeed neither sedevacantists nor the Society are responsible for the contradictory situation of the Vicar of Truth being immersed in error.”

Bishop Williamson only refers to the heresies and heretics as errors and erring leaders. He sins by omission by not condemning the crimes and the criminals for what they are. He also refers to the Vicar of Truth as being immersed in error. Does he mean heresy, or an allowable error regarding a non-infallibly defined doctrine? If he does not mean heresy, but an allowable error regarding an undefined doctrine, then by what right does he have to separate from a man he believes is the pope based upon an allowable error? But, the dilemma he finds himself in, is that even if he admitted John Paul II did teach heresy and is a heretic, his denial of the teachings that a manifest heretic cannot be pope, leaves him no choice but to remain under the discipline and government of a man he believes is the pope. In every case the reality is that whoever does not condemn John Paul II and the Conciliar Church, in these latter days of the Great Apostasy of being manifestly non-Catholic entities, shares equally in their crimes.

Because of Bishop Williamson's blasphemous teaching that the Holy Catholic Church is infectious and has a disease, he has attempted to turn the Catholic Church into something to be endured and not to be loved and whole heartily embraced.

Ibid, Bishop Richard Williamson: “For indeed neither sedevacantists nor the Society are responsible for the contradictory situation of the Vicar of Truth being immersed in error, but the difference is that while the sedevacantists (and liberals) short-circuit the contradiction, the Society endures it.”

What a horrible thing to say, “the Society endures it.” The “endures it” he is referring to is what he thinks is the Holy Catholic Church. The Holy Catholic Church is not to be looked upon by Catholics as something to endure, but as God's spotless and holy Bride on earth that is to be whole heartily embraced and loved, and the only true haven and joy on earth. What Catholics must endure is the cross they must carry because of sinful men, the evil world, and wickedness around them. Instead of loving the Catholic Church and abiding joyfully in Her innermost bosom, Bishop Williamson says, he and the SSPX must “endure” the Catholic Church, because

he believes the Conciliar Church is the Catholic Church. That's like saying the Apostles had to endure Jesus Christ; keep Him close, but far off. What Bishop Williamson and the SSPX are actually enduring is a non-Catholic harlot that he and the SSPX are joined to.

“For the lips of a harlot are like a honeycomb dropping, and her throat is smoother than oil. But her end is bitter as wormwood, and sharp as a two-edged sword. Her feet go down into death, and her steps go in as far as hell. They walk not by the path of life, her steps are wandering, and unaccountable [false ecumenism, apostasy, idolatry, heresy, willful ambiguity, etc.]. Now, therefore, my son, hear me, and depart not from the words of my mouth. Remove thy way far from her, and come not nigh the doors of her house. Give not thy honour to strangers [the Conciliar Church and John Paul II], and thy years to the cruel. Lest strangers be filled with thy strength, and thy labours be in another man's house [the Conciliar Church, and not the Catholic Church], And thou mourn at the last, when thou shalt have spent thy flesh and thy body, and say; Why have I hated instruction, and my heart consented not to reproof, And have not heard the voice of them that taught me, and have not inclined my ear to masters [the past popes infallible decrees]? (Prv. 5:3-13) For the price of a harlot is scarce one loaf: but the woman catcheth the precious soul of a man. Can a man hide fire in his bosom, and his garments not burn [Can a man be a member of the manifestly non-Catholic Conciliar Church, a harlot, and escape the fires of hell]? (Prv. 6:26-27) My son, give me thy heart: and let thy eyes keep my ways. For a harlot is a deep ditch: and a strange woman is a narrow pit. She lieth in wait in the way as a robber, and him whom she shall see unwary, she will kill [kill souls and send them to hell].” (Prv. 23:26-28)

The SSPX tries to reconcile the lie of the Conciliar Church with the truth of the Holy Catholic Church mingling falsehoods with truth, and in so doing has tripped over the cornerstone that has become a stumbling block. Why has this happened to them? - Because they too, are apostates, heretics, and schismatics, because they would not obey the truth. If those in the SSPX do not repent, convert, and abjure then this same cornerstone that has fallen upon them, will grind them into powder, that is to say, crush them eternally in the fires of everlasting hell.

“Jesus saith to them: Have you never read in the Scriptures: The stone which the builders rejected, the same is become the head of the corner... And whosoever shall fall on this stone, shall be broken: but on whomsoever it shall fall, it shall grind him to powder.” (Mt. 21:42,44)

Bishop Williamson has rejected Christ, the Cornerstone, by referring to the Conciliar Church as the Catholic Church, since the crimes are now manifest to all. I repeat Bishop Williamson's professed commitment and union with the Conciliar Church; “For indeed neither sedevacantists nor the Society are responsible for the contradictory situation of the Vicar of Truth being immersed in error, but the difference is that while the sedevacantists (and liberals) short-circuit the contradiction, the Society endures it.” The liberals are professed members of the Conciliar Church and believe it is the Catholic Church, even though they do not obey all the decrees of the Conciliar Church, just as the SSPX are professed members of the Conciliar Church but do not obey it either, and are worse than the liberals because they do not obey any of Her decrees. However, those who hold the sedevacantist position do not profess membership in the Conciliar Church and reject it as a non-Catholic entity. Therefore, there is no comparison of the two positions. One of the mandatory requirements, in these latter days of the Great Apostasy, if a man wants to be Catholic is that he hold the sedevacantist position, that being, the Holy See and local sees are vacant and the Conciliar Church is not the Catholic Church, but an imposter Church, a poorly disguised harlot who pretends to be the Catholic Church. There is no short circuit here, but a complete rejection of the Conciliar Church and its apostates and heretics. There is no mingling here of truth with falsehoods, of light with darkness, or Christ with Belial, or the Temple of God with that of idols. The liberals and the SSPX, who are actual liberals also, have both short-circuited the Conciliar Church that they believe is the Catholic Church by being rebellious and disobedient to it. The SSPX is worse than the professed liberals, because they

have short-circuited the Conciliar Church by setting up their own Church, with bishops, priests, and chapels, independent from the Conciliar Church. Catholics holding the sedevacantist position have completely and thoroughly condemned this non-Catholic harlot, exposing her for the fraud that she is, and removed themselves from this invention of Lucifer and defend the glory of the one True God and His one true Church in whom there is no lie mingled with truth and no profane ritual mingled with the holy, who would not sit in the assembly of the malignant. Bishop Williamson and the SSPX sit in the assembly of the malignant along with the liberals, both professing that they are in communion with Antipope John Paul II and the Conciliar Church, both praying *una cum* (one with) him!

**Warning:** As a side note of caution to the reader, just because a man or group holds the sedevacantist position does not mean they are Catholic. If they hold or practice any heresy then they are not Catholic. Many of those who hold the sedevacantist position are not Catholic and are the pre-Vatican II heretics that led to the Great Apostasy. Many are also ambitious rebellious heretics, who have taken advantage of the vacant sees.

### ***Two Heads of the Monster Meet: John Paul II and Bishop Fellay***

#### **Bishop Fellay: Give us the Mass Forget the Faith!**

The meeting between Bishop Fellay and John Paul II did take place. The Superior General of the heretical and schismatic non-Catholic sect of the Society of Saint Pius X, Bishop Bernard Fellay, had met with apostate, Antipope John Paul II on December 30, 2000, whom he believes is Catholic and a pope. This inevitable meeting is only more proof that the Society of Saint Pius X is an opposition party set up by Satan to keep Sunday “Catholics”—those who put the Mass before the Faith—in the Conciliar Church and thus under the power of Satan. This fateful meeting only confirms what is already known of the motives of the SSPX (Society of St. Pius X). I will quote from Bishop Fellay’s official statement on 1/22/2001 that describes his meeting with John Paul II.

4. December 30, during a few moments, the Superior General visits the Pope in his private chamber (no words of importance are exchanged).

If any true Catholic had even a minute to meet with apostate John Paul II it would be to denounce and condemn him from the rooftops as an apostate, heretic, idolater and sacrilegious blasphemer, and to present him with the evidence of his crimes and that of the Conciliar Church. Bishop Fellay had such an opportunity, to meet with the most evil man this world has ever known until this date, and “no words of importance are exchanged” between them. I remind the readers of a true Catholics obligation to profess the faith.

*1917 Code of Canon Law: “1325.1 Obligation to Profess the Faith - The faithful are bound to profess their faith openly whenever under the circumstances silence, evasion, or their manner of acting would otherwise implicitly amount to a denial of the faith, or would involve contempt of religion, an offense to God, or scandal to the neighbor.”*

Pope St. Felix III (483-492): Not to oppose error, is to approve it, and indeed to neglect to confound evil men, when we can do it, is no less a sin than to encourage them.”

Why did not Bishop Fellay speak of the apostasy, heresy, and idolatry when he met with John Paul II? - Because Bishop Fellay and his sect are guilty of the same crimes by way of commission and omission. The SSPX does not recognize the clear heresies in the Vatican II documents. They do not refer to heresy as heresy but only as errors or deviations, and thus they

do not condemn those who teach them of being heretics. Not once have they accused John Paul II or any of the Conciliar bishops of being apostates, heretics, idolaters, and blasphemers, and thus they are guilty of the same crimes that they do not denounce. What good would the Holy Mass do without the Catholic faith? This would only lead to more sacrilegious receptions of the Holy Eucharist. This only proves the point that the Holy Mass is being abused by traditional so-called Catholics, just as it was before Vatican II, in that these Sunday “Catholics” have no true regard (love) for the Catholic faith. “Without faith it is impossible to please God,” (Heb. 11:6) no matter how many Masses a heretic or schismatic attends.

“The offering of him that sacrificeth of a thing wrongfully gotten, is stained, and the mockeries of the unjust are not acceptable. The Lord is only for them that wait upon him in the way of truth and justice. The most High approveth not the gifts of the wicked: neither hath he respect to the oblations of the unjust, nor will he be pacified for sins by the multitude of their sacrifices.” (Eclcus. 34:21-24)

Bishop Fellay clearly shows where his priorities lie.

6. January 16, a new meeting with Cardinal Castrillon, during which the Superior General exposes the need for guarantees on behalf of Rome, before going further in possible discussion or agreement: +That the Tridentine Mass is granted to all the priests in the whole world. +That the censures which strike the bishops be cancelled.

Bishop Fellay has put Mass before the Faith, and to be exact, the Mass while forgetting or ignoring the Faith. If the faith is not put before the Mass then the Mass will only serve to damn those who receive unworthily to a deeper pit in hell. In truth what Bishop Fellay has said is, lets ignore and forget the Catholic faith for now, lets forget that John Paul II teaches Moslems worship the one true God and that he kissed the Koran; lets forget that he teaches and practices the heresy of Religious Liberty; lets forget that he teaches the apostate Jews worship the true God and that they are still in possession of the Covenant and are Catholics “elder brothers in the faith”; lets forget that John Paul II teaches Protestants and Schismatics are joined to the Catholic Church and that some have died as martyrs for the faith; lets forget that he teaches that Catholics are now allowed to pray in communion with non-Catholics; lets forget that he teaches that non-Catholic religions are a means to salvation; yes, lets forget and ignore all of that, just give us the Mass. We do not care if the priest or his flock teach and practice the Catholic faith, just give us the Mass. Dear reader, can you not see the truth of the matter? Where is the priority of Bishop Fellay and his sect, the SSPX? If you cannot see the truth, it is because you “will not to see it” and therefore God has sent you the operation of error that you may believe lying and thus be condemned to everlasting hell on your judgment day (2 Thess. 2:9-11).

## **Dishonest Tactics of the SSPX**

- 1) Omits Church teaching that manifest heretics cannot hold office
- 2) Misrepresent Sedevacantist Position
- 3) Put Family before God
- 4) Deceive by false analogies
- 5) Evasion by changing topic

The SSPX attacks the *sedevacante* position (the fact that the Holy See is vacant) quite often and with more vehemence than they attack the Conciliar Church and apostate, Antipope John Paul II. This indicates one of their main reasons for existence, that is, to keep confused fallen-away Catholics on the road to perdition by keeping them in the enemy camp, preventing them from making a necessary total break with the non-Catholic Conciliar Church and Antipope John Paul II who is bewitching them every time they attend chapels that pray one with (*una cum*) John Paul II. The snake (Satan) attaches to anyone who attends these chapels, and draws the lifeblood out of their souls, while injecting them with false consolations and feelings of false piety. This snake originates in John Paul II and connects, and holds fast, all those who are associated with him in anyway, both those who pray one with him, and those who teach that others can go to Masses that pray one with him. In these latter days of the Great Apostasy, this snake attaches itself to a man the very instant he enters a church to attend the Mass of a priest that prays in communion with John Paul II. This snake then travels with the person, even to chapels that hold the *sedevacante* position, if the priest who runs the chapel allows his flock to go to Masses that pray in communion with John Paul II. The snake then finds its home in these *sedevacante* chapels and attaches, and holds fast, all those who attend Mass at such chapels. This snake, which attaches itself to the bellies of its hosts—for their god is their belly—saps the vital energy a man needs to see clearly and take action. It pacifies the man by quelling his ability, not just to judge and condemn rightly, but, to judge and condemn at all. You will hear them use the term “judge not, lest you be judge” out of context to not judge at all, and that Catholics cannot condemn anyone, or anything, and then appeal to a false charity when a Catholic does judge and condemn, by saying he is not charitable.<sup>3</sup> They first become obsessed and then possessed by devils, depending on how long they stay. The lost sheep, who are of good will, are constantly fighting against this snake, by hacking at it during the week, trying to remove it from their belly, and thus have moments where they are free enough to see the truth, but alas, they return the next week to Mass at a non-Catholic church, and the snake reattaches. The lost sheep must then start hacking away again, just to get back to where he was the previous week. The lost sheep will either become fatigued and eventually quit and totally surrender to the snake, or they will eventually break free after a tedious, long, and hard fought battle. To stay home, to stay away from these churches is the step that must eventually be taken if he is ever to have a hope to see clearly the truth, not just for a moment, but for the truth to take root in him and strengthen his lost virtues of justice, fortitude, and courage in order to act upon the truth. The snake can then be hacked away, without coming back to cloud his mind and heart and cover him again with a veil of darkness that brings with it forgetfulness and then utter blindness; the utter blindness our Lord mentions when He says, they have eyes and do not see, and ears and do not hear. Yes, forgetfulness, when they see John Paul II kiss the Koran, and hear him teach that Moslems worship the one true God, they know it is wrong, and may even say it is wrong, but then, they attend Mass at a non-Catholic church and the snake fully reattaches to them, and they forget

---

<sup>3</sup> The pseudo-intellects are filled with a modern theology that literally either absolves the most manifest criminal of any crime at all, or makes it impossible for anyone to accuse, condemn, and thus avoid manifest criminals. Yes, this modern theology that was around in the late 19<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> centuries, is the Snake’s poison it injects into the pseudo-intellects. Their convoluted and twisted arguments are plain for anyone of good will to see. Their modern theology is an endless web of contradictions, confusion, and chaos. The worse of these pseudo-intellects revel in this, thinking that the more complicated their theology is to understand, the deeper and more profound it is, when to those with eyes of faith, or those with just common sense, see them for what they are, idiots that babble on endlessly, enjoying the web of confusion they have constructed, who enjoy twisting the minds of their readers, and that is pure unadulterated Satan.

what they had just seen and heard. “*For a necessity, of which they were worthy, brought them to this end: and they lost the remembrance of those things which had happened.*” (Wis. 19:4) Eventually in the last stages, utter darkness envelopes them, and they no longer see any real wrong done when John Paul II kisses the Koran, or teaches Moslems worship the true God. They set out immediately to defend John Paul II and make the crime seem as if it is no crime at all. Those who reach this stage of utter darkness are in the realm of the sin against the Holy Ghost. How many times will God allow them to utterly deny Him and His eternal truths, before He pull away His grace forever more from such souls? The snake, which holds fast the SSPX, manifests itself in many ways and shows its ugly head. Remember, the snake, Satan, is a liar, seducer, and deceiver, all crimes the SSPX are guilty of.

### **1) Omits Church teaching that manifest heretics cannot hold office**

While attacking the *sedevacante* position, the SSPX deliberately omits the Catholic Church teachings that a manifest heretic cannot be pope. They never once bring forward the evidence that proves this. By this evasion they have impugned the truth and mortally sin by omission. They never quote the Fathers, Doctors, saints, theologians, Canon Law 188.4 on “Tacit Resignation of Office” and the canonists’ commentary on it, and most grievously never quote, or even refer to the infallible Bull *Cum ex Apostolatus Officio*, by Pope Paul IV, 1559 that teaches a manifest heretic cannot be validly elected to the papacy, or any office in the Church.

### **2) Misrepresents Sedevacantist Teachings**

The official press organ of the SSPX in the United States, “The Angelus,” in an article in the November of 1998 edition attacked the *sedevacante* position, while trying to defend their own schismatic and heretical position. As always they resort to lies, half-truths and arguments based on pure sentiments without the least concern of justifying their position by solid theological or dogmatic arguments based upon the dogmas and canonical laws of the Church. This indicates a total disrespect and disobedience to Church dogmas and Ecclesiastical laws just like the Protestants and Greek Schismatics.

In the article, “The Angelus” used deception by misrepresenting the true *sedevacante* position that the Conciliar Church is not the Catholic Church and John Paul II is an apostate antipope; that John Paul II cannot be the pope in anyway. In the article they put forward the ridiculous and heretical Formaliter/Materialiter position, also known as the Cassiciacum Thesis formulated by the heretic and Thucite Bishop Michel-Louis Guérard des Lauriers. This position is not the *sedevacante* position, as those who hold it will readily admit. They believe that John Paul II is the pope, and he is not the pope. They believe he is formally not the pope, meaning he has no authority or jurisdiction, while at the same time he is materially the pope, meaning he physically occupies the Chair of Peter; that he is physically the pope, but not spiritually. Thus they have a body without a soul ruling the Church, a corpse ruling the Church. That is why it is a ridiculous position, because it insults common sense. It is also heretical because it denies the infallible teachings of the Vatican Council of 1870, that a pope has primacy, supreme power, and jurisdiction over the universal Church.

*The First Vatican Council, 1870: “That Apostolic See and the Roman Pontiff hold primacy over the whole world... full power to feed, rule, and guide the universal Church... If anyone thus speaks, that the Roman Pontiff has only the office of inspection or direction but not the full and supreme power of jurisdiction over the universal Church, not only in things which pertain to faith and morals, but also in those which pertain to discipline and government... let him be anathema.”*

Thus, to say that there can be such a thing as a pope without primacy, supreme power, and jurisdiction is heresy and those who teach it are heretics. This is the position, the Cassiciacum Thesis, that “The Angelus” put forward as the *sedevacante* position and it is an outright lie. They have done this to discredit the true position with a false and obviously foolish one. It is a typical tactic of deceivers, to represent something for what it is not, and thus discredit the position or person. Deceivers resort to such tactics because they know that they cannot stand against the truth. (See: *A Notorious Heretic cannot be the Pope*)

### **3) Puts Family Before God**

Does family come before God and His eternal truths? Is that what the martyrs thought? Many place the love of their family over the love of God, or, for the sake of temporal peace in their home they do not rebuke, admonish, nor avoid if necessary, family members. In the same article in “The Angelus” of November 1998, one of the SSPX’s arguments against the *sedevacante* position is that it divides families and creates chaos in homes. Well I guess our Lord Jesus Christ was wrong when he taught;

“Do not think that I came to send peace upon earth: I came not to send peace, but the sword. For I came to set a man at variance against his father, and the daughter against her mother, and the daughter-in-law against her mother-in-law. And a man’s enemies shall be they of his own household. He that loveth father or mother more than me, is not worthy of me; and he that loveth son or daughter more than me, is not worthy of me. (Mt. 10:34-37) There shall be from henceforth five in one house divided: three against two, and two against three. The father shall be divided against the son, and the son against the father...” (Lk. 12:51-53)

Catholic commentary on this verse; “I came to set a man at variance, etc... Not that this was the end or design of the coming of our Saviour; but that his coming and his doctrine would have this effect, by reason of the obstinate resistance that many would make, and of their persecuting all such as should adhere to him.”

The SSPX has put family above God. And even in this they are hypocrites, because their own heretical and schismatic position has caused splits and divisions in families, many of which are split in their allegiance to the Conciliar churches that offer the *Novus Ordo* Mass and the Conciliar churches that offer the indult Roman Rite of the Mass as codified by Pope Pius V offered by the *Fraternity of Saint Peter* and others, as opposed to those in the same families that attend the SSPX chapels. Divisions in families are caused by the SSPX position in opposition to those who attend the Conciliar churches. If, as the SSPX teaches, whatever causes divisions in families is a sure sign of evil, then they are condemning themselves.

The truth, as our Lord teaches, is that there will be divisions and endless division among heretics and schismatics, as is proven by the many Protestant and Schismatic Churches. Heretics and schismatics are ever dividing and splitting from one another, as there is no honor among thieves, and discord and division always reign where there is sin and iniquity. Out of all these divisions there can be only one group that is Catholic and thus divided from all the rest. Our Lord concerns Himself with His own sheep, which He says must be divided from obstinately non-Catholic family members and friends. The priority, then, is the faith, even if one must abandon his family due to their obstinacy in sins against the faith or immorality that they try to impose on other family members (1Cor. 5:11).

Let not your desire for companionship with family members and friends override your love of God and your primary duty as a baptized Catholic to spread the faith and wake up fallen-away Catholics and try to convert other non-Catholics. If God’s eternal truths cause conflicts within your family, then it is the family that must be cut asunder, not God and His eternal truths. Jesus

said to the disciples that would follow Him, “ *Follow me. And he said: Lord, suffer me first to go and to bury my father. And Jesus said to him: Let the dead bury their dead: but go thou and preach the kingdom of God. And another said: I will follow thee, Lord; but let me first take my leave of them that are at my house. Jesus said to him: No man putting his hand to the plough and looking back is fit for the kingdom of God.*” (Lk. 9: 58-62) Let the example of the following saints, who almost lost their faith because of an inordinate affection to family members, be an example to you.

SS. Mark and Marcellianus, June 18 of the Roman calendar: “...According to the *Acta* of St. Sebastian..., Mark and Marcellianus, after having fearlessly confessed the Christian Faith in the midst of torments, were on the point of denying it, being overcome by the tears of their parents who came to visit them in prison. Thus we see how great a danger to souls of the religious is to be found in unrestrained family affection, as St. Philip Neri well observes in reference especially to these two martyrs.”<sup>4</sup>

If a Catholic took the proper stance and accomplished God’s will, God would take care of his family members who are of good will. A Catholic’s stance against their obstinate family members is one of their only hopes of salvation. The family members that do not convert will be damned to hell. If the Catholic did not warn his family members that they are in damnation and separate from them if necessary, then he would go to hell with them for not warning them, by sins of omission and by way of association (Ezechiel 3:17-19).

Many are afraid to lose temporal possessions, their vacations, their fancy cars, their jobs, or other sources of income, etc., and/or physical persecution, so they abandon the faith. But with this attitude they will be persecuted even more, and not for the glory of God, but as a just punishment, as traitors to the faith. Men cannot escape God, and there is no true peace without obedience first and foremost to God. “*There is no peace to the wicked, saith the Lord.*” (Isa. 57:21) Even if all may seem peaceful and prosperous, do not be fooled for your time will come when Almighty God judges you. “*For though, for the present time, I should be delivered from the punishments of men, yet should I not escape the hand of the Almighty neither alive nor dead.*” (2 Mach. 6:26) Then you will see there truly is no peace for the wicked.

Those who love their families more than God, will be punished by their own families as an act of God’s justice, and they will come to realize, many too late, that compromise does not bring peace in families but a most wicked discord and abuse that they will not be able to escape because they put their trust in family and/or the world over that of God. The whole order of families is turned upside down. Children shall rule parents and women shall rule men, all as a punishment from God.

“And the people shall rush one upon another, and every man against his neighbour: the child shall make a tumult against the ancient, and the base against the honourable. ...As for my people, their oppressors have stripped them, and women have ruled over them [RJM: Feminism]. (Isaiah 3:5, 12) And the brother shall betray his brother unto death, and the father his son; and children shall rise up against their parents and shall work their death.” (Mark 13:12)

This is already occurring, and succeeded in a major way in the 1960’s, the beginning of the Great Apostasy, during the hippie and feminist rebellions, race riots, and Vatican II rebellion. Rebellious men are already punished by the discord in their life. Those who do not repent and convert, God shall surely destroy and punish them, and when, as a last resort, they finally call to God for help He will not hear them because they put their faith in the world, family, and friends instead of in Him, choosing them instead of Him.

---

<sup>4</sup> Fr. Lasance, *The New Roman Missal*, 1945, p.1701

“Then shall they cry to the Lord, and he will not hear them: and he will hide his face from them at that time, as they have behaved wickedly in their devices.” (Micheas 3:4)

When God allows them to be destroyed, on the brink of their destruction, they will know the utter worthlessness of their putting their faith in their families and the world over that of God, when their families will be destroyed before their face, just as God had allowed Babylon to destroy Egypt whom the unfaithful Israelites had put their trust instead of God. God destroyed both Egypt and the unfaithful Israelites. God does not change. He remains eternally the same. His justice as well as His mercy is perfect and HE WILL NOT BE MOCKED! Many may not see His justice on earth, because they are blind and faithless, but they surely will upon their judgment day, for it is a fearful thing to fall into the hands of God, who kills or saves, both body and soul eternally. “*It is a fearful thing to fall into the hands of the living God.*” (Heb. 10:31) Your family members will not judge you on judgment day. It is Almighty God, Jesus Christ the Second Person of the Divine, Holy, and Eternal Trinity who will judge you, as your soul stands naked before Him. Your family members and friends will not be able to console you in hell.

St. Catherine of Siena: “The will of the Blessed is so united with God that a father or mother seeing their son, or a son seeing his father or mother, in Hell, they do not trouble themselves, and they are even contented to see them punished as His enemies.”<sup>5</sup>

St. John Eudes: “Think how many saints there are in Heaven who see their fathers, mothers, brothers, or other relatives in the damnation of Hell, and, notwithstanding this, they adore, they love, they bless with joy and happiness that most just Will of God because they see that such is the decree of Divine Justice concerning these relatives... For the saints in Heaven, the accomplishment of the Will of God is so completely adequate to give them happiness and heavenly bliss that many of them, even beholding their dear loved ones, punished in Hell, must rejoice in the manifestation of God’s eternal justice.”<sup>6</sup>

St. Theresa of Avila: “It is great folly to be willing to violate the friendship of God rather than the law of human friendship.”<sup>7</sup>

#### 4) Deceive by false analogies

##### A Pope is a Spiritual Father, not a Biological Father

The SSPX deceives by using a false analogy between a pope and a biological father. They say that if a son caught his father stealing in a store, and the father told his son to steal, that the son is to disobey his father and not steal, but his father is still his father. They then say that a pope is the father to Catholics and that if he were to commit sins against the faith, Catholics are not to obey him by committing his sins, but he is still the pope. A pope cannot be compared to a *biological* father. The pope is the *spiritual* father to Catholics, and if he dies, resigns, or loses his office by tacit resignation, he would no longer be the spiritual father to Catholics. The pope that replaces him would then become the spiritual father of Catholics. Pope St. Celestine V had voluntarily resigned his office and Pope Boniface VIII was elected the next pope.

The Catholic Encyclopedia, 1913: “Pope St. Celestine V (PIETRO DI MURRONE.) Born 1215, in the Neapolitan province of Moline; elected at Perugia 5 July, 1294; consecrated and crowned at Aquila, 29 August; abdicated at Naples, 13 Dec., 1294; died in the castle of Fumone, 19 May, 1296. ... Celestine's resolution was irrevocably fixed; summoning the cardinals on that day, he read the constitution mentioned by Boniface in the "Liber Sextus", announced his resignation, and proclaimed the cardinals free to proceed

---

<sup>5</sup> Dialogue, Westminster, MD: Newman Press, 1950, p. 112

<sup>6</sup> *Letters and Shorter Works*, St. John Eudes, trans. Ruth Hauser, NY: Kennedy & Sons, 1948, p. 6; *The Life of Jesus in Christian Souls*, St. John Eudes, publ. Msgr. Wm. Doheny, CSC, 1945, p. 64.

<sup>7</sup> *Mary Help of Christians*, Fr. Bonaventure Hammer, OFM, NY: Benzinger Bros., 1909, p. 356

to a new election. After the lapse of the nine days enjoined by the legislation of Gregory X, the cardinals entered the conclave, and the next day Benedetto Gaetani was proclaimed Pope as Boniface VIII.”

Pope Boniface was then the spiritual father to Catholics, while Pietro di Murrone, the previous Pope Celestine, who was still alive, was no longer pope, and thus no longer the spiritual father to Catholics. Even though both men were alive at the time of Pope Boniface’s election of the papacy, Catholics did not have two spiritual fathers, but only one. Whereas, a biological father is a biological father for life, for eternity, no matter how many or what type of sins he commits. A pope who commits mortal sins of immorality is still Catholic, is still the pope, and therefore is still the spiritual father to Catholics. A pope who falls into sins against the faith, by heresy or apostasy, is no longer Catholic, is outside the Catholic Church, and automatically loses his office, and thus is no longer the pope and spiritual father to Catholics. A biological father is a biological father for life, a spiritual father or brother can cease to be a spiritual father or brother if he falls away from the Catholic faith and thus is no longer Catholic. *“Who is my mother and my brethren? ... For whosoever shall do the will of God, he is my brother, and my sister, and mother.”* (Mk. 3:33, 35) Catholics are related to one another by the Catholic faith not by the physical seed. *“That is to say, not they that are the children of the flesh are the children of God: but they that are the children of the promise are accounted for the seed.”* (Rom. 9:8) It is not our race or family line that makes us children of Abraham and children of God, it is the Catholic faith that does. *“Know ye therefore, that they who are of the faith, the same are the children of Abraham... For you are all the children of God by faith, in Christ Jesus.”* (Gal. 3:26) If a Catholic loses the Catholic faith he is no longer a member of the spiritual family of God, he is no longer a spiritual father or brother to Catholics. Dear reader, can you now see clearly how the SSPX has tried to deceive you by this false analogy.

## **5) Evasion by changing topic**

### Where is the Pope and the Church?

A tactic of a deceiver is to change topics when he cannot defend himself. The SSPX does this quite often. When we show the SSPX the evidence that John Paul II teaches and practices idolatry, apostasy, and heresy, and therefore, he is an idolater, apostate, and heretic, and thus is not the pope, because a manifest heretic cannot be pope, they change the topic and say, “Then where is the pope?” When we prove to them that the Conciliar documents and hierarchy are guilty of the same crimes, they change the topic and say, “Where is the Church?” One has nothing to do with the other. By changing topic they hope you will address their unrelated questions and thus avoid having to answer your first accusations. Pin them down on the heresies in the Vatican II documents, of John Paul II, and the Conciliar Church teaching instruments and do not let them change the topic. If they do change the topic and thus do not answer your accusations, they are guilty by omission. If they do address your accusations by trying to explain away the crimes and criminals, then they are guilty by sins of commission, for directly trying to defend heresy and heretics. If a man who calls himself a Catholic priest cannot detect and point out a heresy and accuse the perpetrators of being heretics, then what kind of a shepherd is this? He is a non-Catholic wolf in sheep’s clothing, who defends and patronizes other wolves.

### The Numbers Game: We are more than you.

When the truth is presented to them that the Conciliar Church cannot be the Catholic Church and that John Paul II is not Catholic and cannot be a pope, instead of addressing the evidence, the teachings and acts of idolatry, apostasy, and heresy, and the deposition teachings, they change

the topic and ask. Well if that is true where is the Catholic Church, or how will we get the next pope, etc. That is like a man who refuses to believe his house is on fire, because he has no other house to go to, and thus he stays in the house and burns to death. Someone may want to eat a steak, but all they have is chopped liver, and do not know where to get a steak. No amount of imagination will make the chopped liver a steak. If they want a steak they have to seek for it. No matter how much one imagines the Conciliar Church to be the Catholic Church it can never be the Catholic Church. A man will certainly not be able to find the Catholic Church until he first learns the Catholic faith. (See my book *Where are the Catholic Bishops and priests?*) You may have the Holy Mass, all the sacraments and sacramentals, the Gregorian chant, monasteries, all the beautiful hymns, prayer books, rosaries and processions, but without the Catholic faith, you do not have the Catholic Church. The Greek Schismatics appear to be very holy and pious, and have the Holy Eucharist, but in reality they are rotten and corrupted heretics and rebels. As I said to a heretic priest, I may not be able to tell you where there is a Catholic bishop or priest, and thus a church you can go to, but I guarantee you, the Church you are in is on fire, it is not the Catholic Church, it does not teach nor practice the Catholic faith. That is an indisputable fact. The priest evaded this indisputable fact by changing the topic. He played the number game. He sarcastically said, I suppose you few are the Catholic Church. I said, the Catholic Church is where the faith is, even if there was only one Catholic left in the world.

“The holy man is perished out of the earth, and there is none upright among men. (Micheas 7:2-4) Moreover all the chief of the priests, and the people wickedly transgressed. (2 Par. 36:14) For the children of Israel shall sit many days without king, and without prince, and without sacrifice, and without altar, and without ephod, and without theraphim. (Osee 3:4) Yet the Son of man, when he cometh, shall he find, think you, faith on earth?” (Lk. 18:8)

St. Athanasius: "Even if Catholics faithful to tradition are reduced to a handful, they are the ones who are the true Church of Jesus Christ."<sup>8</sup>

Anna-Katarina Emmerick, October 4, 1820: Even if there remained but one Catholic, the church would conquer again because she does not rest on human counsels and intelligence."

With God, it is not a numbers game. Our Lord teaches that the numbers, the vast majority, are never with Him, and that only few will be saved. In Noah's day only eight in the whole world remained faithful. Jesus Christ said the final days would be like the days of Noah (Mt. 24:37-39). The SSPX has chapels worldwide and is a fairly large visible structure, indicating one of the reasons many fallen-away Catholics are swayed to their side: by sheer force of numbers, the democratic principle; "the majority wins," so they throw in their lot with them. This shows that they do not love God above all, the faith not being their primary interest. Well, if they are right, that just because they have many chapels and followers worldwide, and that by this fact they are a legitimate Catholic entity, then I guess the Greek Schismatic Church must be better than the SSPX, because they have been around for almost 1000 years and have millions of followers worldwide. Why not go to their churches? They have valid bishops, priests, and the Holy Eucharist, and appear very holy and pious and even teach many truths on most Sundays.

We have now showed how the SSPX deceives by evasion. The effect of this tactic is served if you address the other unrelated topics the SSPX diverted to, instead of pinning them down on the initial topic of idolatry, apostasy, heresy, and the teachings that a manifest heretic cannot be a

---

<sup>8</sup> St. Athanasius, Coll. Selecta SS. Eccl. Patrum. Caillu and Guillou, Vol. 32, pp 411-412

pope, and pinning them down on their rebellion against what they believe is legitimate Church authority.

## **Mandatory Questions to ask SSPX Bishops and Priests**

The following are questions to ask the SSPX bishops, priests, and those who attend their chapels that will prove to those with an ounce of good will that they are schismatics.

- 1) Is John Paul II Catholic?
- 2) Is John Paul II the pope?
- 3) Does a Catholic bishop need a papal mandate from the pope to consecrate bishops?
- 4) Can a bishop consecrate bishops contrary to the expressed will of the pope?
- 5) Did Bishop Lefebvre consecrate bishops contrary to the expressed will of John Paul II, a man he believed was the pope?
- 6) By what right and authority did he have to do this?
- 7) Does the First Vatican Council infallibly teach in Session IV chapter 3, that the pope has primacy and universal jurisdiction over the entire Church and that you must be subject to the pope, not just in faith and morals, but also in discipline and government?
- 8) Are you under obedience to the discipline and government of John Paul II?
- 9) Does John Paul II have universal authority and primacy of jurisdiction over all the churches?
- 10) Does John Paul II have jurisdiction over your churches?
- 11) Do Catholic bishops need jurisdiction from the reigning pope to function as Catholic bishops?
- 12) Do your bishops receive jurisdiction from John Paul II?
- 13) If you say, the Conciliar Church is the Catholic Church and John Paul II is the pope, then why have you not placed your chapels under the jurisdiction, discipline, and government of the Conciliar Church that is ruled by John Paul II, a man whom you believe to be the pope?
- 14) By what right and by whose authority do you have to not share in this jurisdiction?
- 15) Have you not judged the pope of not being worthy of obedience in the disciplinary and governmental aspects of the Church?
- 16) Who made this judgment, that you should not obey the pope and his hierarchy, where obedience is due?
- 17) By what right and by whose authority do you have to judge a man whom you believe to be the pope by removing yourself from his jurisdiction by opening up independent chapels in opposition to the Conciliar churches that are under the jurisdiction of John Paul II and his local bishops?
- 18) If you are a member of the Conciliar Church, then who is Bishops Fellay's superior in the Conciliar Church? Provide his name and letters of approval from him.
- 19) Are the bishops appointed by John Paul II Catholic bishops?
- 20) Do the residential bishops (those in charge of the diocese) appointed by John Paul II have ordinary jurisdiction?
- 21) Do you share in the jurisdiction of the local bishops appointed by John Paul II?
- 22) Do Catholic priests need delegated jurisdiction (to be sent) from those in authority, Catholic bishops or religious superiors appointed by the pope?
- 23) Do your priest receive delegated jurisdiction from the bishops appointed by John Paul II?
- 24) Who gives your priests delegated jurisdiction?
- 25) Is the Conciliar Church the Catholic Church?
- 26) Are those that attend the Conciliar Church Catholic?
- 27) Are you members of the Catholic Church?
- 28) Then why have you separated from the Catholics of the Conciliar Church?
- 29) Is the Church rent in two and are there two separate groups of Catholics?
- 30) Has it ever been taught by the Church that a pope who publicly falls defects from the Catholic faith can be deposed by the Church Law?
- 31) Has it ever been taught that if a candidate publicly deviated from the Catholic faith before his election to the papacy that the election would be null and void?

When you present the questions to the bishops or priests, you will then know for sure that you are not in a Catholic Church and that you must repent, convert, and abjure. If the bishop or priest does not answer the questions and reproves you for asking him, then you will know that he is guilty of sins by omission. If he answers them wrong, as he must if he wants to stay in the SSPX, then you will know that he is guilty of sins by commission. They are already guilty of these sins, whether you ask them or not. These questions are first, for your benefit, so that you can come to the knowledge of the truth and have a hope to save your soul, and then hopefully for the good of

the bishop and priest, that they may come to their senses and repent, convert, and abjure from the SSPX.

## **Give us the Mass; Forget the Faith**

### ***Part One***

The Superior General of the heretical and schismatic non-Catholic sect of the Society of Saint Pius X, Bishop Bernard Fellay, had recently met with apostate Antipope John Paul II, whom he believes is Catholic and a pope. This inevitable meeting is only more proof that the Society of Saint Pius X is an opposition party set up by Satan to keep Sunday “Catholics”—those who put the Mass before the Faith—in the Conciliar Church and thus under the power of Satan. This fateful meeting only confirms what is already known of the motives of the SSPX (Society of St. Pius X). I will quote from Bishop Fellay’s official statement on 1/22/2001 that describes his meeting with John Paul II.

Bishop Bernard Fellay: “4. December 30, during a few moments, the Superior General visits the Pope in his private chamber (no words of importance are exchanged).”<sup>9</sup>

If any true Catholic had even a minute to meet with apostate John Paul II it would be to denounce and condemn him from the rooftops as an apostate, heretic, idolater and sacrilegious blasphemer, and to present him with the evidence of his crimes and that of the Conciliar Church. Bishop Fellay had such an opportunity, to meet with the most evil man this world has ever known until this date, and “no words of importance are exchanged” between them. I remind the readers of a true Catholic’s obligation to profess the faith.

*1917 Code of Canon Law*: “1325.1 Obligation to Profess the Faith - The faithful are bound to profess their faith openly whenever under the circumstances silence, evasion, or their manner of acting would otherwise implicitly amount to a denial of the faith, or would involve contempt of religion, an offense to God, or scandal to the neighbor.”

Pope St. Felix III (483-492): Not to oppose error, is to approve it, and indeed to neglect to confound evil men, when we can do it, is no less a sin than to encourage them.”

Why did not Bishop Fellay speak of the apostasy, heresy, and idolatry when he met with John Paul II? - Because Bishop Fellay and his sect are guilty of the same crimes by way omission and some by commission. The SSPX does not recognize the clear heresies in the Vatican II documents. They do not refer to heresy as heresy but only as errors or deviations, and thus they do not condemn as heretics those who teach them. Not once have they denounced John Paul II or the Conciliar bishops as apostates, heretics, idolaters, and blasphemers; thus, they are guilty of the same crimes and criminals they do not denounce by sons of omission. What good could one derive from attending the Holy Mass if he does not have the Catholic faith? This would only result in sacrilegious receptions of the Holy Eucharist. This, alone, proves that the Holy Mass is being abused by traditional so-called Catholics, just as it was before Vatican II, in that these Sunday “Catholics” have no true regard (love) for the Catholic faith. “Without faith it is impossible to please God,” (Heb. 11:6) no matter how many Masses a heretic or schismatic attends.

---

<sup>9</sup> Bishop Bernard Fellay, Priestly Fraternity Of Saint Pius X, “Statement of Bishop Fellay to SSPX Members and Friends,” Menzingen, January 22, 2001, Official Translation.

“The offering of him that sacrificeth of a thing wrongfully gotten, is stained, and the mockeries of the unjust are not acceptable. The Lord is only for them that wait upon him in the way of truth and justice. The most High approveth not the gifts of the wicked: neither hath he respect to the oblations of the unjust, nor will he be pacified for sins by the multitude of their sacrifices.” (Ecl. 34:21-24)

Bishop Fellay clearly shows where his priorities lie.

Bishop Bernard Fellay: “6. January 16, a new meeting with Cardinal Castrillon, during which the Superior General exposes the need for guarantees on behalf of Rome, before going further in possible discussion or agreement: +That the Tridentine Mass is granted to all the priests in the whole world. +That the censures which strike the bishops be cancelled.”<sup>10</sup>

Bishop Fellay has put Mass before the Faith, and to be exact, the Mass while forgetting or ignoring the Faith. If the faith is not put before the Mass, then the Mass will only serve to damn those who receive unworthily to a deeper pit in hell. In truth what Bishop Fellay has said is, lets ignore and forget the Catholic faith for now, lets forget that John Paul II teaches Moslems worship the one true God and that he kissed the Koran; lets forget that he teaches and practices the heresy of Religious Liberty; lets forget that he teaches the apostate Jews worship the true God and that they are still in possession of the Covenant and are Catholics “elder brothers in the faith”; lets forget that John Paul II teaches Protestants and Schismatics are joined to the Catholic Church and that some have died as martyrs for the faith; lets forget that he teaches that Catholics are now allowed to pray in communion with non-Catholics; lets forget that he teaches that non-Catholic religions are a means to salvation; yes, lets forget and ignore all of that, just give us the Mass. We do not care if the priest or his flock teach and practice the Catholic faith, just give us the Mass. Dear reader, can you not see the truth of the matter? Where is the priority of Bishop Fellay and his sect, the SSPX? If you cannot see the truth, it is because you “will not to see it” and therefore God has sent you the operation of error that you may believe lying and thus be condemned to everlasting hell fire on your judgment day (2 Thess. 2:9-11).

Future articles will continue to condemn the non-Catholic Society of St. Pius X and warn all those, who in these latter days of the Great Apostasy are in communion with them, that they will be damned to hell unless they repent, convert, and abjure from their association with the SSPX.

May 2001

## ***Part Two***

Again, the Society of St. Pius X (SSPX) proves their priority is the Mass and not the Faith, which they do not possess anyway—they deny the Salvation Dogma, they believe certain men can be saved who died worshipping false gods and practicing false religions; and, they are schismatics for consecrating bishops contrary to the expressed will of a man they believe is the pope. Accompanying the loss of the faith is the loss of reason, the loss of proper priorities.

The SSPX is in the process of leading its wayward flock back into open communion with the Vatican II Church, which they claim to belong to. Bishop Fellay, the Superior General of the SSPX, is negotiating reconciliation with the Vatican II Church. The two points he requires for reconciliation are the uninhibited use of the Tridentine (Pius V) Mass and the lifting of their excommunications. He does not even mention the faith issues, the multiple crimes of idolatry, blasphemy, apostasy and heresy of the Vatican II Church and its apostate antipopes. Bishop Fellay, indeed, says, give us Pius V’s Mass, but forget the Faith!

---

<sup>10</sup> Ibid.

**A move toward traditionalists?** - Lefebvrist leader sees reason for optimism - A sensational report that appeared in an Italian newspaper late in April, alleging that the Vatican was close to a final reconciliation with the schismatic Society of St. Pius X... The new negotiations between Rome and the Society of St. Pius X (SSPX) that began in 2000 reportedly opened with a letter in which Cardinal Castrillón Hoyos assured the traditionalists that the Vatican would allow their continued use of the Mass of Pius V... SSPX, Bishop Bernard Fellay, led a delegation to talks with Rome, during which the SSPX representatives expressed regret that two key traditionalist concerns had not yet been resolved. The group sought an assurance from the Holy See that all priests would be assured of the right to use the Tridentine liturgy, and that all disciplinary measures meted out against traditionalists after the 1988 break would be lifted. The traditionalists said that they had not gained assurances on either point... Bishop Fellay, on the other hand, said that his group is continuing talks with the Congregation for the Clergy. "The negotiations are continuing," he said. "They are not dead. But they are advancing with prudence on both sides." ...However he reiterated that traditionalists would insist on two conditions: every priest must have the right to use the pre-conciliar liturgy, and the excommunications that were imposed on traditionalist leaders in 1988 must be lifted. (*The Catholic World Report*, June 2003, "World Watch," pp. 8-9)

In essence, Bishop Fellay says, "We do not care about the blasphemies and crimes against God, just give us the Mass of Pius V and lift our excommunications. Restore our good name, but forget the good Name of God that is being blasphemed." Dear reader, can you not see how Pius V's Mass will not save these faithless bastards?

November 2003