Against St. Louis Catholic Education Center

* * *

R. J. M. I.

By

The Precious Blood of Jesus Christ, The Grace of the God of the Holy Catholic Church, The Mediation of the Blessed Virgin Mary, Our Lady of Good Counsel and Crusher of Heretics, The Protection of Saint Joseph, Patriarch of the Holy Family, The Intercession of Saint Michael the Archangel and the cooperation of

Richard Joseph Michael Ibranyi

To Jesus through Mary

Judica me Deus, et discerne causam meaum de gente non sancta as homine iniquo et doloso erue me

Ad Majorem Dei Gloriam

Original version: 4/2007; Current version: 4/2007

Mary's Little Remnant 302 East Joffre St. TorC, NM 87901-2878 Website: www.JohnTheBaptist.us (Send for a free catalog)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| INTRODUCTION | 5 |
|---|--------|
| LETTER | 5 |
| ON LOSING YOUR COMPANY AND AFFILIATION WITH ST. LOUIS CENTER | 5 |
| ON YOUR BROCHURE ON HERESY | 6 |
| PROTESTANTS ARE NOT CHRISTIANS, ONLY CATHOLICS ARE | |
| Who is with you? | 6 |
| THE MAIN LETTER | |
| | ······ |
| The Vatican II Church is not the Catholic Church | 7 |
| The Vatican II Church is not the Catholic Church Catholics are forbidden to read bad books | |
| The Vatican II Church is not the Catholic Church Catholics are forbidden to read bad books You have not correctly condemned sin and denounced sinners | |
| The Vatican II Church is not the Catholic Church Catholics are forbidden to read bad books | |

Introduction

Abbreviations:

- SLC St. Louis the King Catholic Education Center in Pompton Lakes, New Jersey. It is part of the Vatican II Church and is associated with the Saint Benedict Center in New Hampshire.
- SBC Saint Benedict Center in Richmond, New Hampshire. It is part of the Vatican II Church while not being under the man it believes is the pope or under its local Vatican II bishop.

Mr. X believes in the Salvation Dogma as infallibly defined by the Church. He was associated with the SLC. Mr. X emailed me regarding a confrontation he had with the SLC. He also sent me information about his and other SLC members' efforts in evangelizing non-Catholics. His valid claim against the SLC was that it is in heresy for not denouncing the Saint Benedict Center in New Hampshire, which it supports, as a heretical sect because of the well-known heresy of Br. Francis Maluf who believes Moslems worship the one true God and Br. Andrea's heresy that Protestants are Christians in the strict sense. For the record, those are only a couple of the current-day SBC's many heresies. (See my book *Against the Saint Benedict Center*.) Another valid point Mr. X made was that the SBC lies by claming to be under the local Vatican II bishop and Vatican II so-called pope, when it is not.

Letter

November 10, 2006 St. Andrew Avellino Ss. Tryphon, Respicius, and Nympha

Dear Mr. X and those who are with you,

This letter was prepared and ready to send in August 2006 before you lost your email address and had to get another. Now that you have responded with your new email address, here is the letter with additional comments about your being ostracized by the SLC, losing your company, and your brochure on heresy.

On losing your company and affiliation with St. Louis Center

Losing both your company and your affiliation with the St. Louis Center was a great blessing from God. God has removed you from these apostates who are in communion with notorious apostates and heretics. You yourself rightly teach that heretics do not belong to the Catholic Church. That is how we know that the members of the Vatican II Church are not Catholic and that the Vatican II Church is not the Catholic Church. To be consistent about your correct belief on heresy, you must acknowledge these facts or fall into heresy yourself...

On your brochure on heresy

It is true that a baptized person with the use of reason who denies one dogma is a heretic. However, some are formal heretics and others are material heretics. Only Catholics (which means those who know about the Catholic Church, profess belief in the Catholic faith, and submit to the Roman Pontiff or to the papacy if the Holy See is vacant) can be excused of formal heresy for denying a deeper dogma under certain circumstances and hence only be material heretics. But even they have to be denounced and treated as formal heretics until they prove their innocence due to a legitimate excuse. Any baptised person who denies a basic dogma is a formal heretic with no excuse for ignorance.

Therefore, your following statement is correct and you should keep it as you have it: "Definition of a Heretic: A baptized Catholic who after the age of reason (7 yrs) who rejects even one article of the Catholic Faith." It is true because both a material heretic and a formal heretic are heretics, and even material heretics must be denounced and treated as formal heretics until they prove their innocence due to a legitimate excuse. (See my book *Heresy and Heretics* for an in-depth teaching on this matter.)

Baptized children in Protestant families who reach the age of reason and embrace their parents' Protestant religion are formal heretics by association for being members of false sects and by commission if they profess belief in a heresy. They cannot be excused from the sin of heresy because they willingly adhere to a non-Catholic sect. (See my book *Baptized Non-Catholic Children*.) The apostate Dimond brothers heretically believe that certain children with the use of reason who are raised as Protestants and who never heard of Catholicism and the Catholic Church can actually be Catholic and thus inside the Catholic Church and in the way of salvation; hence the Dimonds deny the Salvation Dogma because of their obsessed idolization of children. (See my book *The Dimonds Deny the Salvation Dogma*.)

Protestants are not Christians, only Catholics are

It is true that Protestants and schismatics are not Christians. Only Catholics are Christians. Protestants and schismatics do not believe in the true Jesus. I always stress this truth. Sometimes the word Christian is used in the loose, non-strict sense to mean those who profess to believe in Christ even though some of them do not really believe in the true Christ. I do not like this non-strict use of the word any more than you do. It leads to the wrong impression in the minds of most people that Protestants really are Christians when they are not. I believe some popes may have used this term Christian for Protestants in this non-strict sense, and this was an error on their part but not heresy if they meant it in the non-strict sense. I must investigate if popes and saints have used this term in the non-strict sense. If they did, it was erroneous and very imprudent; and it instills a false sense of confidence in Protestants and schismatics by making them think they really are Christians when in the strict sense they are not Christians at all.

Who is with you?

Mr. X, after you are done reading the rest of this letter, would you please answer these following questions: Are you still associated with the St. Louis Center? Who is with you? What do you believe regarding the Vatican II Church and its apostate leaders and members?

The Main Letter

I and the rest of Mary's Little Remnant send our best wishes your way—the best wish being the salvation of your immortal souls. This letter is written first for the glory of God and then for the love of your immortal souls and in the hope of adding militant Catholics to the ranks of the Catholic Church.

I am a militant evangelist just as you are. This is a necessary trait to be a good Catholic. I am sure you would agree, as I see your work of evangelization. I attempt to convert non-Catholics and bad Catholics (that is, Catholics who are in mortal sin) by telling them that they are on the broad road to hell and cannot have a hope to be saved unless the non-Catholics enter the Catholic Church and the bad Catholics repent, confess their mortal sins, and amend their lives. All Catholics are obliged to admonish sinners when the situation demands it, or they sin by omission and share in the guilt of the sin they do not condemn and the sinner they do not denounce. In obedience to my Catholic obligation to profess the faith and perform the spiritual act of mercy of admonishing sinners, I tell all of you that you are outside the Catholic Church and hence on the broad road to hell. I say this because I truly love you and see much hope for your conversion. Even though you most probably do not agree with what I have just said, I do believe you will respect me for it because you also are not afraid to tell people they are on the road to hell and what they must do to be saved.

I am not being holier than thou. I was once where you are. If you heed my counsel, it will save you a lot of unnecessary anguish and mortally sinful mistakes during your arduous journey into the Catholic Church. Just because God is giving you signal graces does not mean you have ended your journey. God works in this way with all non-Catholics. By rewarding non-Catholics when they do good and punishing them when they do bad, God moves them forward toward their hopeful entry into the Catholic Church. It would take too long in this letter to tell you how God did this for me and my other Catholic brothers by increasing rewards that went with each step forward.

The Vatican II Church is not the Catholic Church

To be Catholic, a Church and church must hold and profess the full deposit of the Catholic faith. That is the first necessary mark of the Catholic Church and a Catholic church. Therefore the Vatican II Church and the Vatican II churches are not Catholic because they do not believe and profess the full deposit of the Catholic faith; instead, they deny many dogmas.

You have admitted that the Vatican II Council and the Vatican II Church that it created are attempting to destroy the true Catholic Church:

108 – Guy in Harlem... stated something to the effect that he couldn't get straight answers at his parish. I told him that was on purpose, part of the Vatican-2 revolution to destroy the Church.

The Vatican II Council and Vatican II Church cannot be the Catholic Church or else the Catholic Church would be destroying Herself, which is impossible.

A so-called Catholic cannot defend the Catholic Church and teach the Catholic faith from inside a non-Catholic Church that hence professes a non-Catholic faith, as you propose. You said, "In this era of apostasy, we as Catholics are supposed to stay in the Diocese, bring the truth to people, and fix the Church from inside." For one, the Catholic Church does not need fixing. She can never be broken or rent asunder: Pope Pius XI, *Mortalium Animos*, 1928: "[Saint Cyprian] marveled that anyone could believe that 'this unity of the Church built upon a divine foundation, knit together by heavenly sacraments, could ever be rent asunder by the conflict of wills.""

Pope Leo, XIII, *Satis Cognitum*, 1896: "4. The Church in respect of its unity belongs to the category of things indivisible by nature, though heretics try to divide it into many parts... The Church... cannot be divided into parts by the separation and cutting asunder of its members..."

Pope Pius X, Editae Saepe, 1910: "6. ... The Church ... not having spot or wrinkle or any such thing, but that she might be holy and without blemish. 8. ... In spite of a great number of pernicious opinions and great variety of errors (as well as the vast army of rebels) the Church remains immutable and constant, 'as the pillar and foundation of truth,' in professing one identical doctrine..."

It is the duty of all Catholics to defend and promote the Catholic Church and Her dogmas and other laws. To teach that one must stay inside a notoriously apostate and heretical sect and change it from within is no different from saying that Catholics can be members of a Lutheran sect and change it from within into the Catholic Church, or in carnal terms from saying that a virgin should be a member of a whorehouse in order to try to change it into a pure house. The whorehouse cannot be both a whorehouse and a pure house, just as a non-Catholic church cannot be both a non-Catholic church and a Catholic church. This would be to mix the sacred with the profane and Christ with Belial. It is a mortal sin against the Catholic faith to bear the yoke with unbelievers, as you propose:

"Bear not the yoke with unbelievers. For what participation hath justice with injustice? Or what fellowship hath light with darkness? And what concord hath Christ with Belial? Or what part hath the faithful with the unbeliever? And what agreement hath the temple of God with idols? For you are the temple of the living God; as God saith: I will dwell in them, and walk among them; and I will be their God, and they shall be my people. Wherefore, Go out from among them, and be ye separate, saith the Lord, and touch not the unclean thing." (2 Cor. 6:14-17)

If you do convert anyone, you are only converting him into a non-Catholic Church and sending him there to be taught apostasies and heresies by the apostate and heretical clerics and laymen. They will teach him heresies to sway him to their heretical beliefs. Even if they do not sway him, you mortally sin for endangering his soul and placing him in religious communion with notorious apostates and heretics.

All the good you are trying to accomplish by evangelizing will be ruined because you are sending your converts to non-Catholic churches and thus into the hands of notorious apostates, idolaters, and heretics who will un-teach them all the good that you taught them. You have admitted that the Vatican II bishops do not teach the truth:

116 - So instead of hearing the truth from the Bishops...

Not only do the lost sheep *not* hear the truth from the Vatican II bishops and priests, they also hear heresies. The Vatican II clerics and laymen will un-teach your converts by contradicting the dogmas you taught them. They will replace the dogmas with heresies. What is worse, bishops and priests armed with bad books with imprimaturs will be doing this and thus undermining your authority because you are only laymen. One of your encounters during evangelizing proves this:

7 – Gentleman returned the Rosary flyer that his girlfriend had taken. He was screaming 'You're nothing, you're not a Priest or Bishop, get out of here, shut up, etc.'

You are sending sheep into the jaws of wolves. In short, in the very act of your trying to convert lost sheep, you are un-converting them by sending them to non-Catholic churches to pray with and be taught by non-Catholics.

Let us look at a live example of the great evil and illogic of sending lost sheep to wolves (heretic clerics). I went with some friends to evangelize witches who were gathered together in Salem, Massachusetts, on Halloween. I held the sedevacantist position and did not attend Mass because I did not know of any Catholic priests and churches. One of my many confrontations was with a Lutheran and his so-called Catholic friend. I spoke with the Lutheran and told him that he needed to enter the Catholic Church to have a hope to be saved and that he did not believe in the true Jesus. To prove this to him, I asked him if he believed that Jesus created the Catholic Church, made the pope the head of it, and gave the pope the charism of infallibility. He said, "No."

I said, "Well, then, you do not believe in the same Jesus as I do. My Jesus did all those things." I also told him, "My Jesus teaches that men must not only have faith but also do good works to be saved and your Jesus says men only need faith and hence not good works to be saved. Clearly, then, we do not believe in the same Jesus."

During my conversation with the Lutheran, his so-called Catholic friend interrupted me very angrily and said to me, "I am ashamed of being called a Catholic after listening to you. You are not Catholic. You are full of hate."

I said to him, "You call yourself a Catholic, and your friend is a Lutheran." He said, "Yes."

I said, "Do you know the difference between your friend's religion and yours? Clearly you do not belong to the same Church and believe in the same religion. Do you not know that the Catholic Church and religion are the only true Church and religion and hence the Lutheran Church and religion are false? If you really loved your Lutheran friend, you would tell him he needs to become Catholic if he wants a hope to be saved. Therefore it is you who hate your friend, not me. I love him because I am offering him the only hope to save his soul."

Now the Lutheran listened very attentively to what I had to say. But his so-called Catholic friend walked away and could not bear to hear another word. I spoke to the Lutheran for about fifteen minutes more.

This confrontation took place right outside the local Vatican II church. After I finished speaking to the Lutheran, I went inside the church to call the priest to conversion. I professed the faith to him, condemned his heresies, denounced him as a heretic, and called him to conversion. As expected, one of his many heresies was that he denied the Salvation Dogma. Among other lies, he told me that the Bull *Cantate Domino* from the Council of Florence is not infallible. When I cornered him with the truth, which is irrefutable, he ran away. At that point, I left the church.

When I went outside, I saw the Lutheran again standing in front of the church. I went over to him and continued to try to convert him. He was listening attentively. After about five minutes, the Vatican II priest came out from hiding. I saw him walking out of the church. I then called to him. I said, "Father, come over here. I want to ask you a question." He then came over to the Lutheran and me. I said, "Father, does this Lutheran have to abjure his false religion and enter the Catholic Church if he wants a hope to be saved?"

The priest then got very nervous and agitated. He put his arm around the Lutheran and said, "No! No! Don't listen to him. You don't have to enter the Catholic Church. You're already in it. I have more in common with you than with him."

While the priest's arm was still around the Lutheran, I said to the Lutheran, "Do you believe in the pope and the papacy?"

The Lutheran said, "No, of course not!"

I then said to the priest, "Father, you have your arm around a heretic who does not believe in the pope and the papacy and say you have more in common with him. And that is true because you are a Protestant also."

The priest then became very nervous and left quickly. The Lutheran then said to me, "Whom am I supposed to believe? The priest says he is Catholic and you say you are Catholic, but both of you do not believe in the same things."

I said, "That priest is not Catholic. He is an apostate. We are living in the days of the Great Apostasy that St. Paul prophesied in 2 Thess. 2:2-3. In these days almost all of the so-called Catholics have revolted from the true Catholic faith and Catholic Church. Jesus also said in Luke 18:8 that few would remain faithful in these days. The Second Vatican Council in the 1960's was an apostate council that set up a new Church, which I call the Vatican II Church, which calls itself the Catholic Church. This Church denies many of the true Catholic Church's dogmas, and that is how you know it is not Catholic. This priest is one proof of that. What I have told you are dogmas that have been infallibly defined by true popes and hence can never change their meaning. From John XXIII onward all the so-called popes have actually been apostate antipopes because they have denied many dogmas."

Mr. X, you had a similar confrontation in which you were trying to convert a Methodist and a so-called Catholic attempted to undermine you:

162 – Went up to about 10 teenage boys. I believe one said he was a "Methodist" (heretic). I state that that heresy started in 1744. A Catholic kid started to get on my case yelling at me to leave. He wasted no time in stabbing the Church in the back, as the Vatican-II-ists have successfully brought him to that state.

You have rightly said the members of the Vatican II Church have brought this socalled Catholic to a state of attacking the true Catholic Church. Yet you send your converts to the Vatican II Church so that they too can be trained to stab the Catholic Church in the back. If this Methodist wanted to convert and heard you say that Vatican II-ers are corrupt and you then send him to Vatican II churches, he would think you are insane or a hypocrite.

Can you not see that if you attempt to defend the Vatican II Church and its members as Catholic, you will discredit and blaspheme the true Catholic Church and Catholic faith by giving them a bad name? You will also effectively destroy papal infallibility in the eyes of your listeners. All the good you are trying to do will be undone when you send your converts into the apostate Vatican II Church.

Let us now look at your following confrontation during which a woman told you that she lost faith in the Catholic Church by going to confession to a heretic priest:

204 – Older lady Sylvia was discouraged by a Priest in confession, was turned off by the Church and indicated that she was going to re-look things. Influenced by the sign and flyer.

Yet you will have her go back to confession to heretic priests like the one mentioned above who will again attempt to discourage her from wanting to be a member of the

Catholic Church. All of the Vatican II priests in these latter days of the Great Apostasy are heretics, apostates, and immoral on many counts. You send lost sheep to heretic priests to confess their sins and be counseled by them. Heretic priests most effectively spread their heresies and immoral beliefs in the confessional, from the pulpit, during catechism classes, and by handouts. Also, a penitent could not confess certain sins of heresy or immorality to heretical and immoral priests and get absolution and good counsel if those priests do not believe these sins are sins-this is beside the point that non-Catholic priests cannot validly administer the sacrament of penance and hence cannot absolve sins except in certain cases. (See my books "Exceptions to the Law: Canon 209" and "Faith Before the Mass: Undetected notorious heretics and the reception of sacraments (c. 209).") And this is beside the point that non-Catholics cannot have their sins absolved even from Catholic priests because non-Catholics are outside the Catholic Church and outside the Catholic Church there is no remission of sins. Hence non-Catholics must first abjure from their heresies and heretical sect they belong to and its heresies in order to enter the Catholic Church, and only then can they confess their sins to a Catholic priest and be absolved. (See my book The Abjuration from the Great Apostasy.)

But let us return to the point that heretical and immoral priests will not "absolve" sins that they believe are not sins and thus will give bad counsel in this regard. I will give you an example: When I was a so-called Catholic in the days before I started to convert, I was best man at a wedding in which a so-called Catholic priest and a Jewish rabbi married my so-called Catholic friend to a Jewish girl who practiced Talmudic Judaism. Half the ceremony was Catholic, the other half apostate Jewish. They said I had to wear a yarmulke, which instinctually I did not want to do but did anyway. Many years later when I started to convert, I knew that this was a grievous mortal sin against the Catholic faith. Before I knew that a heretic has to abjure before he can confess his sins, I confessed my sin of apostasy to a Vatican II priest in upstate New York. And what did the priest tell me? "Oh, that is no sin. The Second Vatican Council now allows this." I argued with him and told him that the Catholic Church infallibly teaches that it is a mortal sin against the Catholic faith for Catholics to knowingly pray with non-Catholics or participate in any way with their religious ceremonies. But he persisted that the Vatican II Church had the right to change these dogmatic teachings. Hence I never got absolved. What is worse is that I could have been swayed by his heretical belief if I had not diligently studied the Catholic faith. And worst of all, I brought down a curse upon my head for going to confession to this heretic priest, especially because I knew he was a heretic.

Take the following example: A so-called Catholic gets enlightened with the dogma that apostate Jews are under a curse, which he previously denied. Now this so-called Catholic goes to a so-called Catholic bishop of the Vatican II Church to abjure his heresy. Instead of accepting his abjuration, the bishop tells him that apostate Jews are not under a curse because Vatican II teaches this in *Nostrae Aetate*, Paragraph 4:

Apostate Vatican II, *Nostra Aetate* (Declaration on the Relationship of the Church to Non-Christian Religions): "4. ...It is true that the Church is the new people of God, yet <u>the Jews should</u> not be spoken of as rejected or accursed as if this followed from holy Scripture..."

The so-called Catholic bishop then tells this man that it is heresy to believe that apostate Jews are under a curse. Hence this bishop attempts to un-enlighten this man by binding him to heresy. Now I have many, many, many more such examples from my own and others' experiences. Let these few examples suffice as to the great mortal sin you commit by attending Mass at non-Catholic churches, going to confession to non-Catholic priests, and telling others to do the same.

You said that "Heretics are not Christians." This is most certainly true:

St. Robert Bellarmine: "A manifest heretic is not a Christian, as is clearly taught by St. Cyprian, St. Athanasius, St. Augustine, St. Jerome, and others." (*On the Church Militant*)

St. Augustine: "Heretics worship a God who is a liar, and a Christ who is a liar." (*Patrologiae Cursus Completus*: Series Graca, Fr. J. P. Migne, Paris: 1866, 42:207)

Hence you would agree that only Catholics are Christians; consequently, heretics are neither Catholic nor Christian. How is a Vatican II or Traditionalist heretic any different from a Protestant heretic? I will tell you: The Vatican II and Traditionalist heretics are the worst and most obstinate of all heretics! From my experiences they are the most obstinate, stupid, illogical, and otherwise bad-willed of all the people I try to convert. Your own evangelizing proves this. Who gives you the most problems? I quote from some of your confrontations with members of the Vatican II Church:

1 – Priest tearing up Rosary flyer.

2 – Bishop Smith denying the Salvation Dogma on the flyer.

3 – Priest telling people to not take the Rosary flyer.

11 – Mngr. Carton, St. Mark's, Sea Girt asks that the truth about salvation and the Rosary flyer not be distributed to the Catholics at St. Mark's. Threatens to call police.

You are sending your converts to these apostate monsters to be taught and otherwise influenced by them instead of telling them to avoid these false Catholics like the plague. One of the dogmas they will un-teach them is the very root dogma of the Catholic Church, the Salvation Dogma. You are undermining your own work and opening yourself to rightful charges of hypocrisy. One of the non-Catholic churches you send lost sheep to is St. Agnes in Manhattan on 143rd Street:

57 – Guy came up to me and asked where there was a Traditional Mass. ...I told him Our Lady of Mount Carmel and St. Agnes.

Fr. John Perricone is one of the priests at St. Agnes. He holds many heresies by sins of commission and omission. As expected, he denies the Salvation Dogma. Years ago I was trying to convert people who attended St. Agnes. Some of them showed me a weekly flyer that Fr. Perricone had handed out after Sunday Mass. In it Fr. Perricone said that an Aztec priest who offers up a human sacrifice to his false god would be saved if he died right after the sacrifice. He said he would be saved because he was following his own conscience regarding what he believes about his god and religion. Well, a few people were shocked and complained—however, most believed this. How did Fr. Perricone respond? On a following Sunday he handed out another flyer that reaffirmed his heresy. In it he said that if the Aztec priest did not offer up the human sacrifice to his false god and then died, he would go to hell because he had not followed his own conscience regarding his beliefs about his god and religion. According to the apostate, idolater, and heretic Fr. Perricone, a man could be saved by following his own conscience and hence without supernatural faith in Jesus Christ and the Holy Catholic Church's dogmas that must be heard and believed:

Vatican Council, 1870, Revelation: "It must be attributed to this [supernatural] divine revelation, ...divine things [that] are impenetrable to human reason... This revelation is said to be absolutely necessary... because God in His infinite goodness has ordained man for a supernatural end."¹

Vatican Council, 1870, Faith and Reason: "There is a twofold order of knowledge, and that these two orders are distinguished from one another not only in their principle but in their object; in one we know by natural reason, in the other by Divine faith; the object of the one is truth attainable by natural reason, the object of the other is <u>mysteries hidden in God, but which we have to believe</u> and which can only be known to us by Divine [supernatural] revelation."²

What is even worse, Fr. Perricone did not condemn the most heinous sin and damnable crime against God of worshipping a false god or the immoral sin of murder; instead he turned them into virtuous and even necessary acts by which one can be saved. Hence he calls evil good and black white. Now that is the church and priest you are sending lost sheep to! Can you not see your hypocrisy! Please, for the love of the one true God, His Holy Catholic Church, the Catholic faith, and the love of your own soul and the souls of others, stop doing this!

Can you now see how you mortally sin by placing lost sheep in the near and grave occasion of sins of apostasy, idolatry, and heresy? You have also caused them to commit mortal sins against the faith and morals by placing them in religious communion with notorious apostates and heretics. It is a mortal sin against the faith for Catholics to knowingly pray in communion with notorious heretics and other non-Catholics. And it is a mortal sin to participate in sacrilegious receptions of Holy Communion. And it is a mortal sin to not denounce these people from the rooftops. If, according to your obligation to profess the faith and admonish sinners, you correctly denounce the heretics you pray in communion with, starting with the heretic priest, you will be kicked out of the church. The fact that the heretic priest allows you to attend Mass at his non-Catholic church full of heretics is one proof that you have not correctly denounced all the heretics in it and hence mortally sin by omission and implicitly deny the Catholic faith. With the utmost care and attention, read my book *Faith Before the Mass*.

Catholics are forbidden to read bad books

You also mortally sin by telling people to read heretical publications such as the *Remnant* and the *Catholic Family News* (CFN):

90 - Guy in Trenton... Gave him website for Dogma, and to subscribe to CFN and Remnant...

190 - Talked to a Guy... I gave him the Tradition in Actions web site...

These publications and websites deny the Salvation Dogma and teach other heresies by sins of commission and omission. Catholics are forbidden to read any works written by a heretic, not just his heretical works:

Pope Clement XIII, *Christianae Reipublicae*, 1766: "2....We cannot neglect our duty in the face of these evil books....Exert yourselves to keep the sheep entrusted to you and redeemed by the blood of Christ at a safe distance from these poisoned pastures. For if it is necessary to avoid the company of evildoers because their words encourage impiety and their speech acts like a cancer, what desolation the plague of their books can cause! ...Watch over the most holy deposit of faith

... Reveal to the faithful the wolves which are demolishing the Lord's vineyard. They should be

¹ VC, sess. iii, chap. ii; D. 1786.

² VC, sess. iii, chap. iv, Faith and Reason; D. 1795; Translation taken from the *Catholic Encyclopedia*, "Faith," 1909.

warned not to allow themselves to be ensnared by the splendid writing of certain authors in order to halt the diffusion of error by cunning and wicked men. In a word, they should detest books which contain elements shocking to the reader; which are contrary to faith, religion, and good morals; and which lack an atmosphere of Christian virtue."

Pope Pius VI, *Inscrutibile*, 1775: "Forcefully and carefully banish poisonous books from the eyes of your flock, and at once courageously set apart those who have been infected, to prevent them harming the rest."

(See my book *Bad Books with Imprimaturs*: God Warns of Bad Books, Clergy, and Laymen.) It does not matter if these heretics and their heretical books teach 99 percent truth. It only takes one heresy to make a heretic and a bad book:

Pope Leo XIII, *Satis Cognitum*: "The Church has always regarded as rebels and expelled from the ranks of her children all who held beliefs on any point of doctrine different from her own. The Arians, the Montanists, the Novatians, the Quartrodecimans, the Eutychians did not certainly reject all Catholic doctrine: they abandoned only a certain portion of it. Still, who does not know that they were declared heretics and banished from the bosom of the Church? There can be nothing more dangerous, and yet by <u>one word, as a drop of poison, infect the real and simple faith</u> taught by our Lord and handed down by Apostolic tradition... St. Augustine notes that other heresies may spring up, to a single one of which, should any one give his assent, he is by the very fact cut off from Catholic unity... 'if any one holds to one single one of these [heresies] he is not a Catholic' (*S. Augustinus*, "*De Haeresibus*," n. 88)."

Heretical publications like the *Catholic Family News* and the *Remnant* contain many drops of poison and hence will un-teach the lost sheep all the good you have taught them and will place their souls in mortal danger. You also bring down a curse upon your own head for giving credence to these heretical authors and their publications. One can refer to forbidden publications for apologetic purposes but must warn the readers that the publications and authors are heretical.

You have not correctly condemned sin and denounced sinners

You do not correctly condemn the sins of the Vatican II Church and the sins of its members. And you do not correctly denounce the sinners. You do not condemn apostasy as apostasy, idolatry as idolatry, blasphemy as blasphemy, and heresy as heresy. And you do not denounce apostates as apostates, idolaters as idolaters, blasphemers as blasphemers, and heretics as heretics. Hence you sin by omission and share in the guilt of the sin you do not correctly condemn and the sinner you do not correctly denounce:

"If any one sin, and hear the voice of one swearing, and is a witness either because he himself hath seen, or is privy to it: if he do not utter it, he shall bear his iniquity." (Lev. 5:1)

"Son of man, I have made thee a watchman to the house of Israel: and thou shalt hear the word out of my mouth, and shalt tell it them from me. If, when I say to the wicked, Thou shalt surely die: thou declare it not to him, nor speak to him, that he may be converted from his wicked way, and live: the same wicked man shall die in his iniquity, but I will require his blood at thy hand. But if thou give warning to the wicked, and he be not converted from his wickedness, and from his evil way: he indeed shall die in his iniquity, but thou hast delivered thy soul." (Ez. 3:17-19)

Pope St. Felix III (483-492): "Not to oppose error, is to approve it, and indeed to neglect to confound evil men, when we can do it, is no less a sin than to encourage them."

Pope Leo XIII, *Inimica Vis*, 1892: "An error which is not resisted is approved; a truth which is not defended is suppressed... He who does not oppose an evident crime is open to the suspicion of secret complicity."

St. John Chrysostom (347-407): "What an evil! Covering up the rottenness of another! For the Lord says that you make yourself a sharer of the retribution that will come to them, and rightly too!"³

And because you do not correctly fulfill the obligation to profess the Catholic faith, you implicitly deny it:

1917 Code of Canon Law: "1325§1 Obligation to Profess the Faith - The faithful are bound to profess their faith openly whenever under the circumstances silence, evasion, or their manner of acting would otherwise <u>implicitly amount to a denial of the faith</u>, or would involve contempt of religion, an offense to God, or scandal to the neighbor."

Catechism **Question:** In how many ways may we either cause or share in the guilt of another's sin? **Answer:** We may either cause or share the guilt of another's sin in nine ways: $\dots 6$. By concealment, 7. By being a partner in the sin, 8. By silence,

For instance, in your Proposal 3 you rightly condemn Br. Francis Maluf of the St. Benedict Center in New Hampshire for teaching the heresy that Moslems worship the true God. Yet you have not denounced him as an apostate and heretic. I will give another example: Apostate Antipope John Paul II's kissing the Koran was an act of apostasy, idolatry, and blasphemy. Have you correctly condemned this sin and correctly denounced this sinner as an apostate, idolater, and blasphemer? If you have not, then in the eyes of God you also are an apostate, idolater, and blasphemer by sins of omission. You would also have the added mortal sin of hypocrisy: *"No hypocrite shall come before his* [God's] *presence."* (Job 13:16)

Just look at how you describe all the so-called Catholic priests who tore up your flyer because it teaches the Salvation Dogma. These priests are heretics! Yet, I do not see in any of your comments that you denounced them as heretics or even refer to them as heretics. You condemned one priest for believing in heresy and denounced him as a heretic, but you implied that he was a self-professed non-Catholic priest:

181 – Heretic was wearing a Latin Rite collar and talking heresy to people on the street. I was talking with him for a bit, he wasn't of very good will. He asked me, "What is the difference between you and me"? I stated "You're a heretic and I'm not."

You seem to have used your words very carefully in order to make it seem he was not a self-professed Roman Catholic priest. It seems that you never call them heretics or else you could not attend their Masses and pray in communion with them. You rightly believe that heretics are neither Catholic nor Christian. Hence if you denounce a so-called Roman-rite priest as a heretic, you would be forbidden under pain of mortal sin to attend his Mass and pray in communion with his heretical flock. So in order to attend Mass and receive the sacraments, you deny the Catholic faith by sins of omission and deny your very obligation to profess the Catholic faith and perform the spiritual act of mercy of admonishing sinners when you must. Can you not see your hypocrisy in this!

Jurisdictional matters are not the primary concern

The Catholic Church automatically supplies jurisdiction to Catholic clerics by the principle of epikeia, provided the clerics recognize an emergency situation—such as a local bishop becomes a notorious heretic and hence a Catholic cleric breaks off religious

³ "On Respect due the Church and Sacred Mysteries"; *Patrolgiae Cursus Competus*, 63: 623; "Sunday Sermons of the Great Fathers," 1955, II: 189.

communion with him. In this case the Catholic cleric gets jurisdiction automatically from the Catholic Church and not from the local bishop. This is what Fr. Feeney rightly did in the early days of the Great Apostasy. Fr. Feeney rightly denounced as heretics the bishops who denied the Salvation Dogma. The current-day St. Benedict Center in New Hampshire does not denounce as heretics any of the Vatican II bishops and priests who deny the Salvation Dogma or any other dogma. Hence it has no legitimate reason for separating from the Vatican II bishops and priests.

Regarding jurisdictional matters, the mortally sinful problem with the members of the St. Benedict Center in New Hampshire is that they lie by saying they are in religious communion with the local Vatican II bishop and the leader of the Vatican II Church, Benedict XVI. They also lie by saying they have jurisdiction and faculties from their local Vatican II bishop to say Mass and hear confessions. In short, they pretend that they are in good standing with and obedient to the local Vatican II bishop and so-called popes, when in fact they are not. What follows are two letters that prove that the SBC is not under its local Vatican II bishop, Bishop McCormack of Manchester, New Hampshire, whom it claims to be under, and that it has no jurisdiction from him. The first letter is from the bishop's secretary and the second from the bishop himself confirming the secretary's letter:



Secretariat for Pastoral Services Diocese of Manchester

August 26, 1999

Mr. Johnrocco Joseph Sibilia 72 Halleck Street Newark, NJ 07104

57

Dear Mr. Sibilia:

I write in reply to your letter of August 23, 1999 inquiring about the status of the Saint Benedict Center in Richmond, New Hampshire.

The Saint Benedict Center, which is under the direction of Brother Francis Maloof and the Slaves of the Immaculate Heart of Mary, has no recognized affiliation with the Diocese of Manchester. The center operates neither under the supervision nor with the approbation of the Bishop of Manchester. As such, the members of the Center are in a canonically irregular relationship with the Roman Catholic Church. Although the members claim adherence to the Roman Catholic Church, they do not accept the teachings of the magisterium of the church in its entirety.

Because the Center is not in regular communication with the Diocese of Manchester, I cannot testify to the canonical status of any clergy who may be present at the Center. While I can assure you that any clergy who may be present have not received ecclesiastical faculties from the Diocese of Manchester, I am unaware if any have faculties from any competent Roman Catholic authority. If the clergy who celebrate Mass there are validly ordained, then the Mass is valid. If they are validly ordained but do not have faculties, then the Mass is valid but illicit.

Canon 144.1 of the Code of Canon speaks of the executive power of governance being supplied in both the external and internal forum "in common error in case of fact or in case of law, and in positive and probable doubt of law or of fact." Canon 144.2 extends this provision to the case of three special faculties which are not the exercise of the ecclesiastical power of governance, namely, the faculties for the sacraments of confirmation, reconciliation, and marriage.

In regard to the circumstances at hand, there can be no positive or probable doubt of law or of fact in the case of priests who have been deprived of ecclesiastical faculties by the legitimate superior or who have failed to request them. These priests clearly know they are without faculties from legitimate ecclesiastical authority. It is true that if a validly ordained priest, knowing he is without proper faculties, presents himself *publicly* to one or more persons as if he were in possession of these faculties, then this person or persons enter into common error in the case of law, and if this error becomes prevalent in the community, the common error is in

> 153 Ash Street, P.O. Box 310, Manchester, N.H. 03105-0310 Tel. (603) 669-3100 FAX (603) 669-0377

case of fact. In these latter two instances, the church supplies the ecclesiastical power of governance. It is the public presentation that a priest has faculties when in fact he does not, and not the actual exercise of the faculty, that creates common error.

The unfortunate aspect of this situation is that priests who clearly know they are not in possession of ecclesiastical faculties mislead the faithful by presenting themselves as if they were in possession of faculties. This can only cause confusion within the Church.

In the late'1970's, Father Leonard Feeney and many of those associated with him were restored to regular status with the Roman Catholic Church through the assistance of the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith. The process for the regularization of their status was by no means complicated. The members of the Saint Benedict Center in Richmond, New Hampshire, however, have not expressed a desire to participate in this process of regularization.

I trust that the above information responds satisfactorily to your request and assists you in your discernment.

With cordial best wishes, I remain

Sincerely,

1 loe (Rev. Msgr.) Norman P. Bolduc Chancellor/Secretary for Pastoral Services



OFFICE OF THE BISHOP

September 9, 1999

Mr. Johnrocco Joseph Sibilia 72 Halleck Street Newark, NJ 07104

Dear Mr. Sibilia:

I write in reply to your letter of September 2, 1999, regarding the status of the Saint Benedict Center in Richmond, New Hampshire.

I understand that Msgr. Norman P. Bolduc, Chancellor of the Diocese of Manchester, responded to your original inquiry in a letter dated August 26, 1999. I write to inform you that the content of Msgr. Bolduc's letter accurately and appropriately presents the official status of the Saint Benedict Center within the Diocese of Manchester.

At the present time the Saint Benedict Center does not have a recognized affiliation with the Diocese of Manchester and does not operate under my supervision or ecclesiastical approbation. Whereas I would hope that the members of the Saint Benedict Center might enter a process of regularization with the Roman Catholic Church, currently these members are in a canonically irregular relationship with the Roman Catholic Church.

I trust that the above information responds satisfactorily to your request and assists you in your discernment.

With every good wish, I am

Sincerely yours in the Lord Bishop of Manchester

153 ASH STREET, P.O. BOX 310, MANCHESTER, NEW HAMPSHIRE 03105-0310 (603) 669-3100 FAX (603) 669-0377

The SBC's hypocrisy stinks to the high heavens in this regard because on one hand they speak of how loyal and obedient they are to the local Vatican II bishop and Benedict XVI and on the other they do not obey them in anything and refuse to submit to their authority.

Now even if the St. Benedict Center did not lie in this regard and admitted that they are not in religious communion with the Vatican II bishop and Benedict XVI, they still

could not get supplied with jurisdiction from the Catholic Church since the St. Benedict Center is not Catholic because it commits sins against the faith by commission and omission. Epikeia applies only to Catholics and catechumens. (See my book *Exceptions to the Law.*)

Ending

Dear Mr. X and those with you: To enter the Catholic Church, you must abjure from your heresies and/or from your association with the non-Catholic Vatican II sect and reject and condemn all the heretics and heresies of the non-Catholic Vatican II sect. Let this letter serve as a major wake-up call from God to you so that you may progress to the next step on your way into the Catholic Church. I would be glad to answer any questions you may have. (See my "Inquiry Letter.")

We few Catholics will be praying and sacrificing for your conversion into the Catholic Church.

In the eternal love of Jesus and Mary,

Richard Joseph Michael Ibranyi To Jesus through Mary Soli Deo Gloria